

JENNIFER M. GRANHOLM GOVERNOR

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES LANSING

REBECCA A. HUMPHRIES

December 7, 2007

Mr. Jonathon Cherry, P.E. Kennecott Eagle Minerals Company 1004 Harbor Hill Drive, Suite 103 Marquette, MI 49855

Dear Mr. Cherry:

The Department of Natural Resources (Department) has reviewed the comments received as a result of the public hearings, those provided directly to the Department, and those received from Kennecott on October 17, 2007. In addition, we have compared these and the Department's original comments on Kennecott's Mining and Reclamation Plan (MRP) with the conditions required in the Department of Environmental Quality's Part 632 draft mining permit.

As a result, additional questions have developed, and addition concerns have been expressed over several aspects of the MRP. The Department requires clarification about the following elements of the MRP:

- Kennecott has maintained that the proposed location for the portal and surface
 facility is the best from a resource, operations, and safety perspective. The
 Department has agreed, based on the information it has, that the proposed
 location is preferred. However, Kennecott has not provided specific detail to
 support these claims. Please provide the specific rationale and data to support
 why the proposed location for the surface facility and portal are superior to other
 available options.
- Kennecott has indicated they will develop a plan for subsidence monitoring. The
 metallic mining lease requires the lessee to demonstrate that mining is
 conducted in a manner which will prevent slumping and heaving. As such, the
 Department requires the plan for subsidence monitoring to be provided as part of
 the MRP. Please provide details on how Kennecott will monitor for surface and
 subsurface subsidence, including the frequency and sensitivity of the proposed
 method.

Mr. Jonathan Cherry Page Two December 7, 2007

- Kennecott has indicated they have developed a plan for response to a leak in the TDRSA, but the MRP and information included in Section 8 of the Mining Permit Application do not address a response to a leak in the TDRSA. Please provide details on how Kennecott will respond to the discovery of a leak in the TDRSA, including the actions to be taken to identify the source of the leak, methods to be used to repair the leak, operational contingencies during the period of leak repair, and analysis of causes of the leak to preclude possible future leaks.
- Kennecott has stated they will develop a Mine Groundwater Assessment Plan that will provide a response to inflow rates greater than 300 gallons per minute. Please identify how Kennecott will respond to sustained ground water flows greater than 300 gallons per minute, including operational methods to handle increased water flow, procedures to be used to reduce flow rates below 300 gallons per minute, and operational contingencies during periods of water flow above 300 gallons per minute.
- The COSA storage area and truck wash area contain impermeable surfaces that are not proposed to be underlain by secondary containment. Please identify the plan of inspection of the impermeable surfaces, to assure they are free from cracks and penetrations and an operational program to assure that collected fluids are kept to a minimum volume and remain on the impervious surfaces for a minimum period of time.
- While existing soil parameters are discussed in Exhibit A, there is no discussion of the effect on the soils of stockpiling them for extended periods of time. The Department's experience suggests that soils, which have been moved and stored for an extended period, will experience changes to their pH and fertility. The topsoil in this area is only a few inches thick. Successfully segregating thin topsoil over a large area will be dependent on the methods used. Please provide information discussing the changes expected in the stored topsoil, and discuss the means whereby Kennecott will successfully segregate and re-spread the topsoil. Please include an expected plan of fertilization and augmentation necessary to achieve a topsoil thickness and fertility commensurate with preconstruction conditions.
- Kennecott stated that it has modified its plan of abandonment for the portal to include placing boulders below the final grade level of the exterior of the portal plug to prevent access to the portal plug. This requirement will be incorporated into any approval of the MRP.

Mr. Jonathon Cherry Page Three December 7, 2007

The Department has also considered the comments referenced above with respect to the proposed Surface Use Lease. Please see enclosed draft Surface Use Lease and note the addition of paragraphs 4.A.4. and 11.J. Please also note that Paragraph 3 identifies the Lessee representative and alternate who are authorized to make decisions regarding the maintenance and operation of the Premises for the Lessee. Please provide the names of the Lessee representative and alternate. Finally, please note on page 8, the lease identifies the name and address to be used when sending notifications to Kennecott. Please provide this information in your response to the Department.

As discussed during last night's Natural Resources Commission (NRC) meeting, there is a narrow window of time available to provide the information requested above to the NRC and Director, in time for the January NRC meeting. Please provide this information by **December 14, 2007**. My return address is DNR, Forest, Mineral and Fire Management, P.O. Box 30452, Lansing, MI 48909-7952.

If you have any questions or need more information, please contact me.

Sincerely,

Themas Hellum

Thomas Wellman, Manager Mineral and Land Management Section Forest, Mineral and Fire Management 517-373-7666

Enclosure

cc:

Mr. Harold Fitch, DEQ

Ms. Lynne Boyd, DNR

Ms. Debbie Begalle, DNR Mr. William Brondyke, DNR

Mr. Milt Gere, DNR