|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| DNRLogoWhiteBack | Michigan Department of Natural ResourcesForest Resources Division | SURVEY PROJECT NO.: |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  | **Survey Request** |  |
| Requesting AgencyDepartment of Natural Resources, Forest Management Division |
| CountyMontmorency | Township32N | Range03E | Section(s)7, 18 |
| LocationMontmorency County, Montmorency Township | PriorityHigh |
| Survey PurposeEstablish private vs state boundary for timber sale | Desired Completion DateA.S.A.P, a part of YOE 2023 timber |
| Requirements (specify lines or attach map)T32N, R03E Sec 7-West 1/16th corner on the center line-Southwest 1/16th T32N, R03E Sec 18-West 1/16th corner on the north line-Northwest 1/16th corner -North 1/16th corner on the west line (3/4” hexagonal rod found, legitimacy in question) |
| Requested By Dan Natzke | Date 06/22/2023  |
| **SURVEY PROJECT ESTABLISHMENT** |
| Assigned Priority      | Expected Completion Date      |
| Assigned To      | Supervisor's Signature |
| **COMPLETION REPORT** |
| Miles of Boundary Line      | Date Completed      |
| Number of Corners Set      | Number of Corners Upgraded      |
| Maps To      | LCRC's To      |
| Surveyor's Signature: |

|  |
| --- |
| **SURVEY PRIORITY JUSTIFICATION** |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Is litigation involved now or being threatened? Explain:

No |
| 1. If trespass is on state land, is the survey likely to prevent further trespass and harm, or is it to prove trespass? Explain:

Yes. There is a possible wire fence trespass between state and private land on the line common to NESW and NWSW Sec 7. The fence is not straight and possibly extends about 150 feet onto state land at times. A survey will define the private-state boundary which will be reenforced by a timber harvest up to the boundary line. In Sec 18, a fence separates state and private land. However, this fence may not be based on a survey. Trespass on state land is possible. |
| 1. Is prevention of access to a significant area of state land involved? Explain:

No |
| 1. Will a survey allow harvest of timber that is being held from the market due to survey problems? What is the value of the proposed sale? Explain (i.e., give the compartment, year of entry, timber condition, etc.):

Sec 7: Compartment 54070, stand 50, 2023 YOE, 15 acres of a sawlog oak at a value of about $15,000. Other stands included in the same sale have a value of about $160,000. The combined value is about $175,000Sec 18: Compartment 54070, stand 61, 2023 YOE, 98 acres of aspen poles at a value of about $98,000. Stand 77, 2023 YOE, 49 acres of aspen logs at a value of $49,000. Other stands included in the same sale have a value of about $90,000. The combined value is about $235,000.Combined value of stands in section 7 and section 18 is about $410,000. |
| 1. Are there reasonable alternatives to a survey that will allow at least a partial or temporary solution? (Example: Reduce size of the sale, agreement with or notification of adjacent landowner until proper survey can be done, establish line yourself, etc.) Explain:

Reducing the size of the sale will not address the question of where the private-state boundaries lie and may perpetuate possible trespasses. |
| 1. Other:

N/A |