|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| DNRLogoWhiteBack | Michigan Department of Natural ResourcesForest Resources Division | SURVEY PROJECT NO.: |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  | **Survey Request** |  |
| Requesting AgencyMichigan Department of Natural Resources, Forest Resources Division |
| CountyMontmorency | TownshipT29N | RangeR02E | Section(s)28, 33 |
| LocationCompartment 10, Albert Township | PriorityHigh |
| Survey PurposeSurvey needed for running timber sale blue line | Desired Completion DateASAP(10/01/2025), Note that this is 2025 YOE Sale.  |
| Requirements (specify lines or attach map)The following 3 corners are needed in T29N R02E Section 28:-South 1/16 Corner on West Line-Center southwest 1/4 Corner-West 1/16 Corner on North LineThe following 1 corner is needed in T29N R02E Section 33:-North 1/16 Corner on Center LineSubmitted request in survey corner request application.  |
| Requested By Kody Beesley | Date 11/13/2024 |
| **SURVEY PROJECT ESTABLISHMENT** |
| Assigned Priority      | Expected Completion Date      |
| Assigned To      | Supervisor's Signature |
| **COMPLETION REPORT** |
| Miles of Boundary Line      | Date Completed      |
| Number of Corners Set      | Number of Corners Upgraded      |
| Maps To      | LCRC's To      |
| Surveyor's Signature: |

|  |
| --- |
| **SURVEY PRIORITY JUSTIFICATION** |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Is litigation involved now or being threatened? Explain:

No. |
| 1. If trespass is on state land, is the survey likely to prevent further trespass and harm, or is it to prove trespass? Explain:

N/A |
| 1. Is prevention of access to a significant area of state land involved? Explain:

N/A |
| 1. Will a survey allow harvest of timber that is being held from the market due to survey problems? What is the value of the proposed sale? Explain (i.e., give the compartment, year of entry, timber condition, etc.):

Yes, The survey will enable us to complete a timber sale that includes the harvest of the following stands in Compartment 10 of the Atlanta Forest Management Unit:Stand 51 68.0 acres Med Quality Mxd Upland Dec ~$800 per acre $54,400Stand 57 10.3 acres Med Quality Aspen ~$700 per acre $7,210Stand 58 33.2 acres Med Quality Planted Red Pine ~$1200 per acre $39,840Stand 67 13.6 acres low Quality Mxd Upland Dec ~$400 per acre $5,440 Total ~$107,000The overall estimated value of the timber that would be sold in the related sale is roughly $100,000-$150,000. The per acre timber value is based off recently sold timber sale data and a rough per acre value estimationThe survey will aid the private line sale boundary of Stands 51, 57, 58, and 67. |
| 1. Are there reasonable alternatives to a survey that will allow at least a partial or temporary solution? (Example: Reduce size of the sale, agreement with or notification of adjacent landowner until proper survey can be done, establish line yourself, etc.) Explain:

No, a reduction in acreage will affect planning goals, forest health concerns, and regeneration plans. Failing to harvest up to the private line will confuse the property line location and possibly invite future trespass issues. |
| 1. Other:

Met with neighbor across Granholm rd from stand 58 while looking for the South 1/16 Corner on West Line in section 28. He had a survey (I do not believe it was recorded with county) that showed the corner had been placed, but I was not able to find it. Metal detector did locate something where corner likely should be, but I only located a corroded piece of metal only a couple inches below road surface that was laying on its side. |