Jor .

Original: Fish Division cc: Education-Game

INSTITUTE FOR FISHERIES RESEARCH

DIVISION OF FISHERIES MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION COOPERATING WITH THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

April 24, 1952

Report No. 1329

REPORT OF THE GENERAL CREEL CENSUS FOR 1951

Institute for Fisheries Research K. G. Fukano J. A. Scully C. T. Yoder R. S. Marks ADDRESS

MAY 22 1952

FISH DIVISION

ADDRESS UNIVERSITY MUSEUMS ANNEX ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN

ЪУ

K. G. Fukano

Abstract

This report includes the data for the twenty-fifth year of the general creel census in Michigan. Conservation officers obtained these catch records as a part of their duties. As usual they were compiled and analyzed by the Institute. The number of anglers interviewed on the different types of waters were as follows: (1) Trout waters--11,749 anglers or 23.1 percent of all anglers contacted; (2) Non-trout waters--37,274 fishermen or 73.3 percent; and (3) Great Lakes waters--1,822 anglers or 3.6 percent. Of 50,845 anglers interviewed 5,530 fishermen or 10.9 percent were non-residents and 8,540 or 16.8 percent were female anglers.

Brook trout continued to make up the bulk (69.74 percent) of the total trout catch from trout waters. The three species of trout-brook, brown, and rainbow--constituted 97.52 percent of all fish caught in trout waters. Thirteen other species of fish were reported taken from trout waters. The catch per hour for all trout waters was 0.76 fish and 0.74 trout which is an increase from the 1950 catch of 0.63 fish and 0.61 trout per hour.

ALBERT S. HAZZARD, PH.D. DIRECTOR



Conservation officers saw 28 different kinds of fish in the non-trout anglers' catch. Bluegill was the species caught in greatest numbers. The combined catch of bluegill and yellow perch made up 75.09 percent of the total catch from non-trout waters. For the entire state the catch per hour from non-trout waters was 1.50 fish, which is a drop of 0.15 fish per hour (1.65 fish per hour in 1950).

Yellow perch made up 94.29 percent of the total catch from Great Lakes waters and 13 other kinds of fish made up the remaining 5.71 percent. Fishermen angling in the Great Lakes and their connecting waters had a catch of 3.21 fish per hour. Fishing in the Great Lakes proper was better than in the connecting waters (3.50 fish per hour and 1.15 fish per hour respectively).

During the past ten years the catch per hour of all fish in trout waters has varied 0.4 fish per hour. The highest catch per hour during this period was in 1942 and 1943 with 0.9 fish per hour and in the next five years the catch per hour was 0.8 fish. In 1949 and 1950 the catch slipped 0.1 fish each year and in 1951 rose 0.2 fish per hour from the low of 0.6 fish per hour. The catch per hour of trout in trout waters has varied from 0.8 to 0.6 trout.

The catch per unit of effort in non-trout waters has remained greater than 1.1 fish during the past ten years. The catch of 1.5 fish per hour recorded in 1951 is the second highest for the ten year period. The highest was recorded in 1950 with 1.6 fish per hour.

The catch per hour for Great Lakes waters has remained consistently higher than that for trout and non-trout waters for the ten years these waters have been tabulated separately. Except for

1943 the catch per hour increased during the period between 1942 and 1945, but slipped to 1.6 fish per hour in 1946, and again increased each year to a new high in 1950. In 1951 the catch per hour dropped to 3.2 fish, which is the second highest recorded during the ten year period.

۰ ^۱۰

Anglers residing in all of the 83 counties of Michigan, 32 states in the Union, District of Columbia, province of Ontario, and Switzerland were recorded in the 1951 general creel census. Residents of Wayne County constituted 11.25 percent of all anglers interviewed in 1951. Other counties from which anglers were recorded in great numbers were Genesee, Kent, Muskegon, Ingham, Saginaw, and Bay counties. The four states bordering Michigan furnished 94.05 percent of all non-resident anglers. INSTITUTE FOR FISHERIES RESEARCH DIVISION OF FISHERIES MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION COOPERATING WITH THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

April 24, 1952

Report No. 1329

Original: Fish Division cc: Education-Game Institute for Fisheries Research ARCH K. G. Fukano J. A. Scully (ATION C. T. Yoder

R. S. Marks

ADDRESS UNIVERSITY MUSEUMS ANNEX ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN

ALBERT S. HAZZARD, PH.D. DIRECTOR

REPORT OF THE GENERAL CREEL CENSUS FOR 1951

Ъу

K. G. Fukano

The report of the general creel census for 1951, the twenty-fifth year in which such data have been gathered by conservation officers, includes information on the quality of fishing in the various types of lakes and streams throughout the state. As in past years conservation officers recorded the data on general creel census forms (see sample) as a part of their regular duties and usually incidental to patrol activities. The fine cooperation by the Division of Field Administration and the Game Division of the Conservation Department and the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service at Ann Arbor is greatly appreciated. The writer wishes especially to express his thanks to the conservation officers who collected the records, the Game Division for the use of the IEM sorting and tabulating machines, and Dr. James W. Moffett of the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service for the use of the IEM key-punch machine.

The aim of the general creel census is to obtain a sample of the sport fishing in all parts of the state. Fishing records have been divided into three major groups: trout, non-trout, and Great Lakes waters and each in turn has been subdivided into lakes and streams. It is believed that this division of the data gives the best available

	of La	ke or Str	eam.	1. 								Co	ounty		 r	·····
	. 1				то	TAL	NUM	BER	AND	SPE	CIES	CAU	GHT		 `т	R
sher sher	nber rmen irty:	urs party ructions)	rout	rout	Trout	uth Bass		uth Bass		erch	SB			ı Pike	SEC	:
	ale	d by inst	E.	vn T	woqu	emo	gills	llmo	fsh	ow F	k Ba	leye	ppies	ther	Residence:	
Male	Fem	Tota fishe (See	Broc	Brov	Rair	Larg	Blue	Sma	Sunf	Yell	Roc	Wal	Craj	Nor	County	State
						:										
																5 × •
			 7 ⁻¹		1											
-																

Rlah	1	т
TOU	1.8	-

Total number of fishermen, total hours fished, total number of fish taken, and catch per hour for each conservation district and region, all waters, 1951

	Number of male anglers	Number of female anglers	Total anglers	Total hours fished	Total fish caught	Catch per hour	<u>n</u>
District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4	1,731 2,894 1,239 2,407	202 411 150 338	1,933 3,305 1,389 2,745	4,772. 8 8,777.5 3,294.5 8,326.2	3,753 6,434 2,886 11,404	0.73 0.88 1.37	0.89 0.79 1.04 1.54
Region 1	8,271	1,101	9,372	25,171.0	24,477	0.97	1.07
District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8 District 9	5,732 2,641 6,092 5, 9 88 2,840	1,186 581 1,310 1,013 722	6,918 3,222 7,402 6,101 3,562	17,150.3 7,875.0 16,780.7 14,332.8 7,532.7	11,766 10,255 15,220 25,797 25,229	0.69 1.30 0.91 1.80 3.35	0.72 1.40 0.97 1.89 3.57
Region 2	22,393	4,812	27,205	63,671.5	88 ,2 67	1.39	1.50
District 10 District 11 District 12 Region 3	4,331 3,238 4,072 11,641	1,082 808 737 2,627	5,413 4,046 4,809 14,268	12,843.1 10,532.0 10,785.8 34,160.9	22,904 18,679. 14,586 56,169	1.78 1.77 1.35 1.64	1.95 1.76 1.27 1.67
State total	42,305	8,540	50.845	123,003.4	168,913	1.37	1.47

-2-



--

۰.

. .

.

Humber of anglers interviewed by conservation officers

during 1951, and 1950 by counties

County	Number of anglers in 1951	Number of anglers in 1950	County	Number of anglers in 1951	Number of anglers in 1950
Alcona	778	1.136	Lake	912	750
Alger	398	361	Lapeer	2,787	3,319
Allegan	835	617	Leelanau	278	286
Alpena	992	697	Lenawee	•••	• • •
Antrim	217	798	Livingston	733	827
Arenac	1.505	924	Luce	422	429
Baraga	264	388	Mackinac	309	79
Barry	624	466	Macomb	320	91
Bav	642	358	Manistee	527	458
Benzie	300	533	Marquette	894	1,622
Berrien		106	Mason	465	432
Branch	453	495	Mecosta	1,239	1,221
Calhoun	270	285	Menominee	634	238
Cass	49	179	Midland	•••	591
Charlevoix	683	448	Missaukee	670	935
Chebovgan	2,402	1,259	Monroe	32	89
Chippeva	911	180	Montcalm	1,554	1,536
Clare	776	781	Montmorency	1,323	1,013
Clinton	181	180	Muskegon	2,098	1,060
Cravford	1.240	680	Newaygo	432	665
Delta	188	206	Oakland	232	• • •
Dickinson	514	519	Oceana	346	798
Eaton	819	750	Ogenaw	1,391	1,356
Emmet	374	636	Ontonagon	517	847
Genesee	ě1		Osceola	609	674
Gladwin	383	435	Oscoda	1,194	1,634
Gogebic	626	824	Otsego	524	580
Grand Traverse	648	785	Ottawa	385	1,227
Gratiot	170	267	Presque Isle	403	55 ⁸
Hillsdale	221	173	Roscommon	1,433	1,399
Houghton	402	285	Saginaw	96	94
Huron	69	600	St. Clair	•••	835
Ingham	372	269	St. Joseph	1,349	2,027
Ionia	175	158	Sanilac	837	564
IOBCO	1,366	1,204	Schoolcraft	1,012	776
Iron	2,157	1,306	Shiawassee	90	240
Isabella	256	645	Tuscola	•••	<u>387</u>
Jackson	86	13¢	Van Buren	74	45 8
Kalamazoo		139	Washtenaw	555	1,011
Kalkaska	119	391	Wayne	451	432
Vent	368	797	Wexford	680	784

Total

50,845 53,844

indication of the fishing quality and to some degree fishing intensity in the six types of water administered by the state. The number of anglers interviewed on the different types of waters were as follows: (1) <u>Trout waters</u>, 11,749 anglers (23.1 percent of all anglers contacted) of whom 1,824 fished on designated trout lakes and the remaining 9,925 fished on streams; (2) <u>non-trout waters</u>, 37,274 fishermen (73.3 percent) of whom 32,333 fished on lakes and 4,941 fished on streams; (3) <u>Great</u> <u>Lakes waters</u>, 1,822 anglers (3.6 percent) of whom 1,674 fished in the Great Lakes and the other 148 fished in the connecting waters.

During 1951 the officers interviewed 50,845 anglers of whom 5,530 fishermen (10.9 percent of all anglers contacted) were non-residents; female anglers constituted 16.8 percent (8,540) of all those interviewed.

According to the March 31, 1952, tabulation of fishing licenses sold in 1951, of a total of 1,119,791 licenses 280,929 were non-resident (25.1 percent). Of these 140,798 (12.6 percent of all fishing licenses sold) were temporary non-resident fishing licenses. The difference in percentage of non-residents interviewed in the general creel census and non-resident licenses sold may be due in part to the probability that the conservation officer is less likely to interview the ten-day license holders because their fishing season is so short; also nonresidents cannot fish through the ice in six southern Michigan counties from January 1 to the opening of the trout season. Based on the percentage of trout fishermen contacted (23.1 percent) in the general creel census and the total number of licenses sold (1,119,791) it may be estimated that approximately 258,672 anglers did some trout fishing. About 2.1 percent of all fishermen were resident female anglers fishing trout waters. Assuming that most of these were married and therefore not required to purchase a trout stamp, it can be estimated that about

-5-

Table	3
	~

Number and percentage of fishermen interviewed on trout, non-trout, and

District	Trou	t waters	Non-tr	out waters	Great I	akes waters	Total
or region	Number anglers	Percentage of anglers	Number anglers	Percentage of anglers	Number anglers	Percentage of anglers	anglers
District 1	1,090	56.39	843	43.61	•••	•••	1,933
District 2	1,044	31.59	2,261	68.41	•••	•••	3,305
District 3	764	55 .00	50 6	36.43	119	8.57	1,389
District 4	1,666	60.69	985	35.88	94	3.43	2,745
Region 1	4,564	48.70	4,595	49.03	213	2.27	9,372
District 5	1,993	28.81	4,879	70.53	46	0,66	6,918
District 6	1,083	33.61	2,053	63.72	86	2.67	3 ,222
District 7	2,031	27.44	5,342	72.17	29	0.39	7,402
District 8	833	13.65	5 ,2 68	86.35	•••	•••	6,101
District 9	363	10,19	2,435	68.36	764	21.45	3,562
Region 2	6,303	23.17	19,977	73-43	92 5	3.40	27,205
District 10	351	6.48	5,062	93.52	•••	•••	5,413
District ll	123	3.04	3 ,92 3	96,96	•••	•••	4,046
District 12	408	8.49	3,717	77.29	684	14.22	4,809
Region 3	882	6.18	12,702	89.03	684	4.79	14,268
State total	11,749	23.11	37,274	73.31	1,822	3.58	50,845

Great Lakes waters by conservation districts and regions, 1951

-6-

·. · · ·

District	Brook	trout	Brown	trout	Rainb	ow trout	Total
or region	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	trout
District 1	1,368	77.03	89	5.01	319	17.96	1,776
District 2	1,324	79.76	231	13.92	105	6.32	1,660
District 3	1,466	82.82	43	2.43	261	14.75	1,770
District 4	6,137	96.86	58	0.91	141	2.23	6,336
Region 1	10,295	89.19	421	3.65	826	7.16	11,542
District 5	2,448	61.90	411	10.39	1 ,0 96	27.71	3,955
District 6	791	39.22	412	20.42	814	40.36	2,017
District 7	1,270	46.28	1,135	41.36	339	12,36	2,744
District 8	733	41.06	634	35.52	418	23.42	1,785
District 9	122	42.07	144	49.65	24	8,28	290
Region 2	5,364	49.71	2,736	25.35	2,691	24.94	10,791
District 10	194	45.97	13 8	32.70	90	21.33	422
District 11	87	57.62	37	24.50	27	17.88	151
District 12	268	80.24	5	1.50	61	18.26	334
Region 3	549	60.53	180	19.85	178	19.62	907

3,337

69.74

State total 16,208

14.36

3,695

15.90 23,240

Number and percentage of total trout catch made up by each of the three species

of trout--all trout waters, by conservation districts and regions, 1951

-7-

•.

••.

General creel census data for trout lakes, trout streams, and all'trout waters

combined, by conservation districts and regions, 1951

				Frout lake	8					
	Number anglers	Hours fished	Total fish caught	Catch per hour	Total trout caught	Tpout catch per hour	<u> </u>	Number anglers	Hours fished	Total fish caught
District 1	12	34.0	68	2.00	4	0.12	1.73	1,078	2,534.6	1,788
District 2	153	539.0	188	0.35	165	0.31	0.50	891	1,897.0	1,517
District 3	393	766.5	7 9 8	1.04	798	1.04	1.36	371	882.0	972
District 4	501	1,210.3	1,622	1.34	1,622	1.34	1.56	1,165	3,759.2	4,727
Region 1	1,059	2,549.8	2,676	1.05	2,589	1.02	1.33	3,505	9,072. 8	9 ,00 1
District 5	566	1,522. 8	1,145	0.75	998	0.66	1.00	1,427	3,902.8	3,030
District 6	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	1,083	3,066.0	2,091
District 7	50	128.0	177	1.38	177	1.38	1.66	1,981	5,595.4	2,628
District 8	17	55.0	6	0.11	6	0.11	0.14	816	2,502.0	1,783
District 9	132	\$ 97.0	132	0.44	56	0.19	0.41	231	556.0	242
Region 2	765	2,002. 8	1,460	0.73	1,237	0.62	0.92	5,538	15,622.2	9,774
District 10	•••	• • •	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	351	859 . 5	427
District 11	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	123	347.0	153
District 12	•••	• • •	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	408	1,062.8	337
Region 3	•••	•••	•••		•••	•••	•••	882	2,269.3	917
State total	1,824	4,552.6	4,136	0.91	3,826	0.84	1.16	9,925	26,964.3	19,695

	· .						-					• • •
	Trout	Streams					All tro	ut waters				1
	Catch per hour	Total trout caught	Trout catch per hour	<u> </u>	Number anglers	Hours fished	Total fish caught	Catch per hour	Total trout caught	Trout catch per hour	<u>Ex</u> n	
	0.71	1.772	0.70	0.80	1,090	2,568.6	1,856	0.72	1,776	0.69	0.81	
	0.80	1.495	0.79	0.73	1,044	2,436.0	1,705	0.70	1,660	0.68	0.69	
	1.10	972	1.10	1.23	764	1,648.5	1,770	1.07	1,770	1.07	1.30	
	1.26	4.714	1.25	1.34	1,666	4,969.5	6,349	1.28	6.336	1.27	1.40	
	0.99	8,953	0.99	1.01	4,564	11,622.6	11,680	1.00	11,542	0,99	1.08	
	0.78	2,957	0.76	0.75	1,993	5,425.6	4,175	0.77	3,955	0.73	0.82	
	G 68	2,017	0.66	0.73	1,0 83	3,066.0	2,091	0.68	2,017	0.66	0.73	
5 e j	0.47	2,567	0.46	0.47	2,031	5,723.4	2,805	0.49	2,744	0.48	0.50	·
	0,71	1,779	0.71	0.80	833	2,557.0	1,789	0.70	1,785	0.70	0.79	
-	0.44	234	0.42	0.39	363	853.0	374	0.44	290	0.34	0.39	
	q. 63	9,554	0.61	0.64	6,303	17,625.0	11,234	0.64	10,791	0.61	0.67	
*	.50	422	0.49	0.43	351	859.5	427	0.50	422	0.49	0.43	
T,	0. 44	151	0.44	0.40	123	347.0	153	0.44	151	0.44	0.40	
	0.32	334	0.31	0.30	408	1,062.8	337	0.32	334	0.31	0.30	
1. 1. j. j.	0.40	907	0.40	0.37	882	2,269.3	917	0.40	907	0.40	0.37	
	0.73	19,414	0.72	0.75	11,749	31,516.9	23,831	0.76	23,240	0.74	0.81	
			ļ						<u></u>			

235,156 should have been sold in 1951. However, 184,199 trout stamps were sold; this number constitutes 16.4 percent of the total fishing licenses sold. The discrepancy may be due in part to more law enforcement problems on trout waters; therefore, the officers spent more time on trout waters than the others and secured more records of this type of fishing. Also minors under 17 years of age are not required to purchase either fishing licenses or trout stamps.

Intensive stream and lake census records such as secured at the Hunt Creek and Pigeon River Research Areas, the Rifle River Area, and experimental lakes with liberalized fishing regulations have not been included in this report.

The term "fisherman-day" denotes the time which the angler had spent fishing that day prior to being interviewed by the conservation officer. The number of anglers or fisherman as used in this report should be understood to mean the number of fisherman-days, and not separate individuals. Only legal-size fish caught by sport anglers have been considered.

Detailed Analysis

During 1951 conservation officers interviewed 50,845 anglers, a decrease of 2,999 (5.6 percent) under the records (53,844) collected in 1950. The 1951 records represent 123,003.4 hours of fishing, a decrease of 7,295.2 hours (5.6 percent) from the 130,298.6 hours recorded the previous year. The number of fish caught in 1951 was 168,913 fish, a decrease of 40,748 (19.4 percent) below the previous year (209,661 fish). The catch per hour for all fishing was 1.4 in 1951 as compared to 1.6 fish per hour in 1950.

-9-

Percentage catch of the most important species from non-trout waters, by

conservation districts and regions, 1951

	Bluegill	Perch	C ra ppi e	P'seed	Rock bass	Pike	L.M. bass	Walleye	Sucker	S.M. bass
District 1	11.54	31.89	4.69	4.16	3.74	7.06	1.42	9.17	•••	2.79
District 2	22.90	22.92	15.37	2.64	1.16	14.80	2.41	11.00	0.34	5.75
District 3	12.37	39.83	0.21	2.62	1.05	17.19	8.18	11.95	0.21	5.56
District 4	9.13	63.40	•••	3.74	5.05	8.88	0.11	2.35	0.04	2.26
Region 1	15.15	40.77	6.76	3.29	3.01	11.57	1,85	7.56	0.17	3•97
District 5	15.50	34.35	1.35	3.69	9.46	12.53	3.51	2.36	0.84	3.85
District 6	40.57	29.17	1.64	2.45	10.09	2.28	2.53	4.22	0.61	3.35
District 7	33.47	35.21	4.01	7.26	7.18	5.67	2.00	1.52	0.84	1.74
District 8	35.33	40.49	10.22	3.80	2.32	1.68	0. 88	1.22	3.15	0.23
District 9	7.92	79.84	7.99	0.35	1.44	0.88	0.34	•••	0.12	0.01
Region 2	26.71	47.14	6,66	3.44	4.61	49	1.42	1.42	1.48	1.19
District 10	72.93	10.90	6.74	4.12	1.04	1.27	1.05	0.10	0.04	0.15
District 11	75.20	10.37	2.93	5.40	1.34	0.73	2.86	0.08	0.23	0.48
District 12	39.67	28.22	9.77	6.54	3.52	0.86	1.54	0.03	5.39	0.16
Region 3	66.97	14.24	5.98	5.07	1.65	0.99	1.80	0.08	1.20	0.27
State total	41.55	33.54	6.40	4.07	3.29	3.26	1.61	1.46	1.25	1.08
والبي علاف الأليق عبد يهم بال										

,

.

Percentage composition of the total catch for non-trout waters

(most abundant game and pan fish only)

Species	1942	1943	1944	1945	1946	1947	1948	1949	1950	1951	
Bluegill	37.4	48.3	44.2	48.0	27.2	30.2	44.3	47.6	43.5	41. 6	
Yellow perch	23.8	17.8	21.1	18.4	53.7	40.0	23.1	24.4	29.1	33.5	
Black crappie	5.8	8.3	5.8	9.2	4.3	6.8	9•3	8.5	7.6	6.4	
Pumpkinseed	5.1	4.4	4.8	3.6	2.4	2.4	4.2	3.8	3.8	4.1	
Rock bass	4.2	3.2	3.6	2.3	2.1	2.1	4.3	3.2	3.1	3.3	
Pike	3.4	3.3	4.6	5.3	2.8	3.0	4.3	4.8	2.9	3.3	
Largemouth black bass	2.2	2.5	2.6	2.6	1.0	1.2	2.2	1.5	1.5	1.6	
Walleye	2.8	3 .2 .	3.6	2.0	1.2	0.9	1.9	1.2	1.2	1.5	
Smallmouth black bass	2.2	1.7	1.8	1.1	0.7	0.8	1.8	1.1	1.0	1.1	
Total	86.9	92.7	92.1	92.5	95.4	87.4	95.4	96.1	93•7	96.4	

Table 8

-12-

• • • • •

Number and percentage of the total catch for the whole state of each of 10

Species	Regio Number	on 1 Percentage	Regio Number H	on 2 Percentage	Region 3 Number Percentage		Total fish	Total percentage
Bluegill	1,832	3.38	17,797	32,89	34,487	63.73	54,116	100,00
Yellow perch	4,931	11.29	31,413	71.92	7,332	16.79	43,676	100.00
Black crappie	81 8	9.81	4,437	53.23	3,081	36.96	8 , 336	100.00
Pumpkinseed	398	7.50	2,294	43.26	2,611	49.24	5,303	100.00
Rock bass	364	8.49	3 ,072	71.66	851	19.85	4,287	100.00
Pike	1,399	33.00	2,329	54.94	511	12.06	4,239	100.00
Largemouth black bass	224	10.68	9 48	45.1 8	926	44.14	2,098	100.00
Walleye	914	48.05	949	49.90	39	2.05	1,902	100.00
Sucker	20	1:24	984	60.70	617	38 .06	1,621	100.00
Smallmouth black bass	480	34.02	791	56 .0 6	140	9 •9 2	1,411	100.00
Totals or percentages	11,380	8.96	65 ,01 4	51.20	50,59 5	39,84	126,989	100.00

.

species tabulated by conservation regions -- all non-trout waters, 1951

No records of fishing were submitted in 1951 from six counties, Berrien, Kalamazoo, Lenawee, Midland, St. Clair, and Tuscola, which have mainly non-trout lakes and non-trout streams within their boundaries. A lack of fishing records from these counties and other counties from which there are only a few records tend to prejudice the state-wide sample of fishing. The number of records submitted by counties are given in Table 2.

In this report the various types of waters are separated into Conservation Districts which were formerly called Field Administration Districts (see map). Data from Alger County (which lies in District 3 and 4) have been separated according to the district to which the officer has been assigned.

Fishing in Trout, Non-trout, and Great Lakes Waters by Conservation Districts

The data for 1951 on the number and percentage of anglers using the various waters are given in Table 3.

The greatest percentage of records for trout fishing in any district was taken in District 4 where 60.69 percent of the 2,745 anglers were contacted while fishing in trout waters. Districts 1 and 3 followed with 56.39 percent based on 1,933 angling-days and 55.00 percent based on 1,389 anglers respectively. The nine districts which make up Regions I and II furnished 92.49 percent of all the trout fishing. Also, the trout fishing in these two regions constituted 29.71 percent of all the fishing in that area. Trout anglers in Region III contributed the remaining 7.51 percent of all trout fishing records and these made up only 6.18 percent of all fishing recorded in this region.

Ta	ъ	1	e	9
	_	-	_	-

Number and percentage of each species caught in the total catch in

Species	Regi	on l	Regi	ion 2	Region 3		
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	
Bluegill	1,832	15.15	17,797	26.71	34,487	66.97	
Yellow perch	4,931	40.77	31,413	47.14	7,332	14.24	
Black crappie	818	6.76	4,437	6.66	3 ,0 81	5.98	
Pumpkinseed	398	3.29	2,294	3.44	2,611	5.07	
Rock bass	364	3.01	3 ,072	4.61	851	1.65	
Pike	1,399	11.57	2,329	3.49	511	0.99	
Largemouth black bass	224	1.85	948	1.42	926	1.80	
alleye	914	7.56	949	1.42	39	0.0 8	
Bucker	20	0.17	984	1.48	617	1.20	
Smallmouth black bass	480	3•97	791	1.19	140	0.27	
Fotals or percentages	11,380	94.10	65,014	97.56	50,595	98 .25	

each of the three conservation regions -- all non-trout waters, 1951

٠.

•• .

ı

General creel census data for non-trout lakes, non-trout streams, and all non-trout waters

		Non	-trout lakes				Non	-trout stre	ams			All non-trout waters				
	Number anglers	Hours fished	Fish caught	Catch per hour	<u>n 3</u>	Number anglers	Hours fished	Fish caught	Catch per hour	<u> </u>	Number anglers	Hours fished	Fish caught	Catch per hour	<u></u> n	
District 1	763	2,077.2	1,833	0.88	1.04	80	127.0	64	0.50	0.47	843	2,204.2	1,897	0.86	0.99	······
District 2	1,610	4,670.0	3,696	0.79	0.90	651	1,671.5	1,033	0.62	0.69	2,261	6 ,3 41.5	4,729	0.75	0.84	
District 3	456	1,123.0	910	0.81	0.85	50	102.5	հի	0.43	0.43	506	1 ,2 25.5	954	0.78	0.80	
District 4	926	2,867.5	4,485	1.56	1.79	5 9	143.2	29	0.20	0.28	985	3,010.7	-14,514	1.50	1.70	
Region 1	3,755	10,737.7	10,924	1.02	1.14	840	2,044.2	1,170	0.57	0.62	4,595	12,781.9	12,094	0.95	1.05	 با
District 5	4,419	10,758.0	6,231	0.5 8	0.62	460	8 37. 7	1,266	1.51	1.26	4,879	11,595.7	7,497	0.65	0.6 8	
District 6	1,886	4,278.0	6,922	1.62	1.73	167	299.0	85	0.28	0.22	2,053	4,577.0	7,007	1.53	1.61	
District 7	5,133	10,549.3	10,692	1.01	1.02	209	439.0	1,376	3.13	3.80	5,342	10,988.3	12,06 8	1,10	1.13	
District 8	5,026	11,189.8	22,433	2.00	2.04	25 2	586.0	1,575	2.69	2.59	5,268	11,775.8	24,008	2.04	2.06	
District 9	787	1,656.5	2,0 79	1.26	1.33	1 ,6 48	3,232.2	13,982	4.33	4.57	2,435	4,888.7	16,061	3.29	3.52	
Region 2	17,251	38,431.6	48,357	1.26	1.30	2,726	5,393.9	18,284	3.3 9	3.51	19,977	43,825.5	66,641	1,52	1.61	
District 10	4,986	11,724.1	22,351	1.91	2.07	76	259.5	126	0.49	0.79	5,062	11,983.6	22,477	1.88	2.05	
District 11	3,664	9 , 619.0	18,183	1.89	1.88	259	566.0	343	0.61	0.65	3,923	10,185.0	18 ,526	1.82	1.80	
District 12	2,677	5,892.5	7,568	1.28	1.25	1,040	2,186.0	2,926	1.34	1.13	3,717	8,078.5	10,494	1.30	1,22	
Region 3	11,327	27,235.6	48,102	1.77	1.82	1,375	3 ,011. 5	3,395	1.13	1.02	12,702	30,247.1	51,497	1.70	1.73	
State total	32,333	7 6,40 4.9	107,383	1.41	1.46	4,941	10,449.6	22,849	2.19	2.33	37,274	86,854.5	130,232	1.50	1.58	

:

combined, by conservation districts and regions, 1951

Percentage composition of the total catch for Great Lakes waters

(only the 6 most abundant species for 1951 are given)

Species	1942	1943	1944	1945	1946	1947	19 48	1949	1950	1951	
Yellow perch	84.23	76.67	72,16	86.46	65.73	82.48	86.26	90.64	96.17	94.29	
Cisco	0.09	0.12	1.52	3.28	12.47	2.07	0.75	0.09	1.22	1.61	
Walleye	1.6 8	6.53	6.50	3.09	7.81	8.23	5.21	3.91	1.36	1.48	
Rock bass	3.80	2.95	3.82	0.60	3.19	1.31	1.56	0.47	0.20	0.84	
Pike	1.17	1.74	2.12	2.51	2.33	3.02	0.93	0.79	0.26	0.62	
Spallmouth black bass	2.10	6.29	3.81	1.72	3.15	1.40	1.18	0.24	0.3 8	0.54	
Totals	93.07	94.30	89.93	97.66	94.68	98,51	95.89	96.14	99•59	99.38	-

4

-16-

۰.

۰.

District 11 had 96.96 percent non-trout reports based on 4,046 fisherman-days. District 10 followed with 93.52 percent based on 5,413 records and District 8 with 86.35 percent based on 6,101 fisherman-days.

Of the twelve districts only one, District 11, does not border one of the Great Lakes or their connecting waters. Seven of the remaining eleven districts submitted some records on Great Lakes sport fishing. Officers obtain relatively few records on Great Lakes sport fishing which is restricted somewhat to sheltered bays, island areas, and certain docking areas. District 9 furnished the highest percentage with 21.45 percent based on 3,562 fisherman-days; District 12 had 14.22 percent based on 4,809 anglers and District 3 had 8.57 percent based on 1,389 fisherman-days.

Number of Trout Caught in Trout Waters

by Conservation Regions

As in the past brook trout made up the bulk of the total trout catch (69.74 percent). Rainbow trout (15.90 percent) and brown trout (14.36 percent) made up the remainder of the trout catch. The number and percentage of each of the three main species of trout are given in Table 4. These figures indicate an increase in the percentage of brook trout (64.75 percent in 1950) and a decrease in the percentage of brown trout (15.94 percent in 1950) and rainbow trout (19.31 percent in 1950).

Of the 16,208 brook thout recorded by officers in the 1951 general creel census 10,295 or 63.52 percent were reported caught in Region I. A total of 5,364 brook trout or 33.09 percent was taken in Region 2. The remaining 549 or 3.39 percent were caught in Region 3.

-17-

In 1951 a total of 3,695 mainbow brout were recorded caught. Of this total 2,691 or 72.83 percent were taken in Region II, 826 or 22.35 percent in Region I, and 178 or 4,82 percent in Region III.

The greatest percentage of brown trout (81.99 percent) were taken in Region II, Regions I and III followed with 12.62 and 5.39 percent respectively. Of the 23,240 trout reported, 96.10 percent were caught in Regions I and II.

Other Species Caught in Trout Waters

The three species of trout constituted 97.52 percent of all fish caught in trout waters. Thirteen other species of fish were reported as taken from trout waters and are listed in order of abundance as follows:

Suckers	193	Black crappie	15
Bluegill	161	Pike	15
Pumpkinseed	81	Lake trout	7
Yellow perch	48	Redhorse	7
Largemouth black bass	24	Smallmouth black bass	2
Rock bass	19	Menominee whitefish	1
Walleye	18	m - + - 3	
		TOTAL	591

Catch per hour--Trout Waters

by Conservation Districts

Trout anglers were recorded in all twelve districts. Trout fishermen, 23.1 percent of all anglers contacted in 1951, had better fishing success (0.76 fish per hour and 0.74 trout per hour) than they did in 1950 when the catch per hour was 0.63 fish per hour and 0.61 trout per hour. As shown by the catch per hour, trout fishing was best in District 4 (See Table 5). Separating trout waters into lakes and streams revealed that

General creel census data for Great Lakes and connecting waters, and such waters

			Great Lak	es			c	onnecting	waters		• 	A11 (reat Lakes	vaters		
	Number anglers	Hours fished	Fish caught	Catch per hour	<u><u> </u></u>	Number anglers	Hours fished	Fish caught	Catch per hour	<u> </u>	Number anglers	Hours fished	Fish caught	Catch per hour	<u></u> n	_
District 3	119	420.5	162	0.39	0.38	•••	•••	•••	•••	••••'	119	420.5	162	0.39	0.38	·
District 4	54	152.0	464	3.05	3.59	40	194.0	77	0.40	0.43	94	346.0	541	1.56	2.24	
Region 1	173	572.5	626	1.09	1.38	40	194.0	77	0.40	0.43	2 13	766.5	703	0.92	1.21	
District 5	46	129.0	94	0.73	0.87	•••	• • •	• • •	•••	•••	46	129.0	94	0.73	0.87	
District 6	86	232.0	1,157	4.99	4.94	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	86	232.0	1,157	4.99	4.94	۲ -
District 7	29	69.0	347	5.03	4.45	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	29	69 .0	347	5.03	4.45	Ψ
District 9	764	1,791.0	8,794	4.91	5 .2 3	•••		•••		•••	764	1,791.0	8,794	4.91	5 .2 3	
Region 2	925	2,221.0	10,392	4.68	4.96	•••	•••	•••	•••	• • •	92 5	2,221.0	10,392	4.68	4.96	
District 12	576	1,262.0	3,167	2.51	2.22	108	382.5	588	1.54	1.83	684	1,644.5	3,755	2.28	2.16	
Region 3	576	1,262.0	3,167	2.51	2.22	108	382.5	588	1.54	1.83	684	1,644.5	3,755	2.28	2.16	
State total	1,674	4,055.5	14,185	3.50	3.65	148	576.5	665	1.15	1.45	1,822	4,632.0	14,850	3.21	3.47	

÷

. . .

.

-

combined by conservation districts and regions, 1951

Number of fishermen, resident and non-resident, and percentage of non-resident

, <u></u>	Total	Resident	Non-resident	Percentage
	anglers	anglers	anglers	non-residents
District 1	1,933	1,615	318	16.45
District 2	3,305	2,380	92 5	27.99
District 3	1,389	1,117	272	19.58
District 4	2,745	2,313	432	15.74
Region 1	9,372	7,425	1,947	20.77
District 5	6,918	5,810	1,1 0 8	16.02
District 6	3,222	2,829	393	12.20
District 7	7,402	6,654	748	10.11
District 8	6,101	5,613	488	8.00
District 9	3,562	3,511	51	1.43
Region 2	27 ,20 5	24,417	2,788	10.25
District 10	5 , 413	4,810	603	11.14
District ll	4,046	3,922	124	3.06
District 12	4,809	4,741	68	1.41
Region 3	14,268	13,473	795	5.57
State total	50,845	45,315	5,530	10.88
المحالية فالمحالية فتعدي المحالية فتعاليه			اجدى مجنده باليود فلتستحج الأجوان والبران وتتنا	

fishermen in each conservation district, all waters, 1951

the catch per hour in trout lakes was better than in the streams. However, the majority (84.48 percent) of trout fishermen fished in streams. The highest catch per hour for both designated trout lakes (1.34 trout) and trout streams (1.25 trout) was recorded in District 4.

Composition of Catch--Non-trout Waters

by Conservation Districts and Regions

During 1951 the officers recorded 28 different kinds of fish in the non-trout angler' catch. Bluegills were caught in greatest numbers. Other important species recorded were: yellow perch, black crappie, pumpkinseed, rock bass, pike, largemouth black bass, walleye, suckers, and smallmouth black bass. These ten species comprised 97.51 percent of the total catch from non-trout waters and the remaining 18 species constituted 2.49 percent. The remaining species not listed in Table 6 in order of abundance are as follows:

Bullheads	1,067	Brown trout	21
Brook trout	459	Warmouth bass	12
Rainbow trout	430	Garpike	12
Carp	425	Dogfish	11
Smelt	380	Muskellunge	6
Cisco	304	Sturgeon	4
Chub	42	Sauger	4
White bass	38	Sheepshead	2
Lake trout	25	Burbot	1
		Total	3,243

The three species of stream trout--brook, brown, and rainbow-made up only 0.70 percent of the total catch from non-trout waters.

The ten species most frequently caught in non-trout waters and their percentage abundance in the total catch for each conservation district are given in Table 6. In each district these fish made up 76.5 percent of the total catch. Furthermore, they constituted more than 95 percent in nine of the districts.

-21-

-22 -

.

.

Table 14

Residence of fishermen interviewed in the general creel census, 1951

County of residence	Male anglers	Female anglers	Total anglers	County of residence	Male anglers	Female anglers	Total anglers	State of residence	Male anglers	Female anglers	Total anglers	
Michigan	108		108	Lake	168	36	198 '	Alahama	6	4	10	
Alcona	103	22	125	Lapeer	829	156	985	Arizona	ĩ	i	2	
Alger	170	17	187	Leelanau	96	1)0	105	California	21	7	28	
Allegan	328	50	378	Lenawee	90	18	108	Connecticut	1		1	
Alpena	436	61	497	Livingston	128	38	166	Florida	14	5	19	
Antrim	114	25	139	Luce	479	26	505	Tdaho	6	,	6	
Arenac	176	45	221	Mackinac	59	12	71	Tilinois	831	225	1.056	
Baraga	180	20	200	Macomb	282	60	34.2	Trdiana	1.087	343	1.430	
Barry	126	39	165	Manistee	171	20	101	Towa	23	5	28	
Bay	1.016	222	1.238	Marquette	728	63	701	Kansas	ĩĩ	á	14	
Benzie	143	4	147	Mason	371	15 15	b 16	Kentucky	16	5	21	
Berrien	57	13	70	Mecosta	653	140	703	Louisiana		í	2	
Branch	419	36	455	Menominee	373	140 140	195	Mervland	5	_	5	
Celhoun	419	90	509	Midland	<i>та</i> т 212	116	550	Magsachusetts	á	1	Á	
Calloui	47	15	62	Miggoukoo	170	110	108	Minnesota	3	-	3	
Charlevoir	210	30	240	Manrae	103	20	120	Missouri	15	8	ย วั	
Cheboygan	569	43	612	Nonteelm	676	120	808	Nehrecke	ź	• • • •	ž	
Chinnewa	283	34	317	Montmomeney	312	132	287	New Jersey	2	2	4	
Cleve	401	40	441	Musbagon	1.686	()	1 06	New Verico	ī	_	i	
Clinton	237	85	322	MUDICEOU	208	210	218	New York	18	8	26	
Crewford	201	42	346	Newaygo	088	20	1 100	Nowth Carolina	1		1	
Delto	153	18	171	Oakland	242	204	1,192	Obio	1.787	581	2.368	
Dickinson	665	53	718	Oceana	226	27	201	Oklahoma	L 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2	-, 500	
Feton	530	238	777	Ogemaw	550	43	519	Dennerir	37	11	48	
Ed COLL Funnet	23F	25	269	Ontonagon	320	30	470	Penney Ivania	1		1	
	5, 700	590	2,000	Oscenta	060	59	300 20k	South Datota	าน้	•••	17	
Cledvin	196	20	146	Oscoda	209	35	304	Teunessee	11	3	14	
Grandia	271	34	305	Otsego	250	20	204	Vincipio	2	ĩ		
Coend Tremeres	300	56	365	ULLAWA	201	29	1(9	Virginia	5	1	Ğ	
Grand Traverse	370	89	438	Presque Iste	J24 157	40	370	West Minginis	22	3	25	
Utiledele	175	ží	196	Roscommon	1 270	27	104	West Virginia	288	50	347	
HITTPUATE .	300	27	417	Saginaw	1,510	296	1,000	Wisconsin Wasanda a	200) <u>)</u>	2	
HUNGENEON	53	12	65	St. Clair	856	19	135	Wyoming	· <u> </u>	-	1	
Tachen	1 304	452	1.846	St. Joseph	2020	129	905	wasnington D. C.	2	•••	<u>h</u>	
Ingnam	266	66	332	Sanilac	522	42	304	Untario	2	1	2	
Total	200	72	362	Schoolcrait	472	44	490	Switzerland	L	ے 		
10800		13	1 108	Shlawassee	211	58	269		h ohr	1 095	5 520	
iron	1,001	7k	1,120	Tuscola	110	24	142	Total	4,245	1,207	7,750	
Isabella	520	(4	200	Van Buren	113	12	125					
Jackson	274	09	545	Washtenaw	309	71	380					
Kalamazoo	443	104	24 (74	Wayne	4,704	1,017	5,721					
Kalkaska	61	17	0)	Wexford	443	80	523	_				
Kent	1,858	504	2,502			7 955	45, 215					
Keweenaw	52	2	24	Total	38,060	[[]]						
				Grand total	42.305	8,5 40	50,845					

94.) 2

5

2

5

1.

Conservation officer did not record county of residence.

1

Grand total 42,305 (Resident and non-resident)

Number of resident and non-resident anglers, number of hours spent fishing, number fish caught, and the catch per hour for each group--all waters,

by conservation districts, 1951

			Resident a	nglers			Non-resident anglers				All	anglers				
	Number anglers	Hours fished	Number fish	Catch per hour	<u>£x</u> n	Number anglers	Hours fished	Number fish	Catch per hour	<u>Ex</u> n	Total anglers	Hours fished	Number fish	Catch per hour	<u>**</u> n	
District 1	1,615	3,888.0	3,242	0.83	0.93	318	884.8	511	0.58	0.65	1,933	4,772.8	3,753	0.79	0.89	
District 2	2,380	6,302.5	4,254	0.67	0.72	925	2,475.0	2,180	0.88	0.97	3,305	8,777.5	6,434	0.73	0.79	
District 3	1,117	2,631.0	2,291	0.87	1.04	272	663.5	595	0.90	1.05	1,389	3 ,294. 5	2,886	0.88	1.04	
District 4	2,313	6,867.2	9,885	1.44	1.59	432	1,459.0	1,519	1.04	1.27	2,745	8,326.2	11,404	1.37	1.54	
Region 1	7 ,42 5	19,688.7	19,672	1.00	1.09	1,947	5,482.3	4,805	0.88	0.99	9,372	25,171.0	24,477	0.97	1.07	
District 5	5,810	14,723.1	10,511	0.71	0.76	1,108	2,427.2	1,255	0.52	0.54	6 ,91 8	17,150.3	11,766	0.69	0.72	
District 6	2,829	6,994.0	8,941	1.28	1.38	393	881.0	1,314	1.49	1.58	3 ,22 2	7,875.0	10,255	1.30	1.40	
District 7	6,654	15,198.7	13,555	0.89	0.97	748	1,582.0	1,665	1.05	0.99	7,402	16,780.7	15,220	0.91	0.97	
District 8	5,613	13,185.2	23,865	1.81	1.90	488	1,147.6	1,932	1.68	1.77	6,101	14,332.8	25,797	1.80	1.89	
District 9	3,511	7,433.2	25,045	3.37	3.60	51	99•5	184	1.85	1.75	3,562	7,532.7	25 ,22 9	3.35	3.57	
Region 2	24,417	57,534 .2	81,917	1.42	1.56	2,788	6,137.3	6,350	1.03	1.04	27,205	63,671.5	88,267	1.39	1.50	
District 10	4,810	11 ,2 83.6	21,077	1.87	2.04	603	1,559.5	1,827	1.17	1.19	5,413	12,843.1	22,904	1.78	1.95	
District 11	3,922	10,111.0	18,252	1.81	1.78	124	421.0	427	1.01	1.11	4,046	10,532.0	18,679	1.77	1.76	
District 12	4,741	10,641.8	14,391	1.35	1.28	68	144.0	195	1.35	1.17	4,809	10,785.8	14,586	1.35	1.27	
Region 3	13,473	32,036.4	53,720	1.68	1.70	795	2,124.5	2,449	1.15	1.17	14,268	34,160.9	56,169	1.64	1.67	
State totals	45,315	109,259.3	155,309	1.42	1.52	5,530	13,744.1	13,604	0.99	1.04	50,845	123,003.4	168,913	1.37	1.47	

•

•

.

-23-

· · · · · ·

Table	16

.

Comparison of data from the general creel census for the past ten years

	1942	1943	; 1 9 44	1945	1946	5 1947	1948	1949	1950) 1951	Simple average
CATCH PER HOUR:											
All waters	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.3	1.4	1.1	1.3	1.6	1.4	1.3
Resident	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.4	1.5	1.2	1.3	1.7	1.4	1.3
Non-resident	0.8	1.1	1.1	0.9	0.8	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.0
Trout waters	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.8	0.8
Resident	0.9	1.0	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.8	0.8
Non-resident	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.6	0.7	0.7
Non-trout waters	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.4	1.4	1.2	1.3	1.6	1.5	1.3
Resident	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.4	1.5	1.2	1.3	1.7	1.6	1.3
Non-resident	0.9	1.0	1.0	0.8	0.8	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.0	1.0
Great Lakes waters	1.7	1.6	1.8	2.2	1.6	2.1	2.9	3.1	4.0	3. 2	2.0
Kesident	2.0	1.2	1.0	2.2	1.0	2.1	3.1	3.2	4.9	J.4	2.0
Non-resident	0.9	1.0	2.1	1.4	0.0	1.9	T *0	1.2	2.1	T •2	1.0
PERCENTAGE OF ALL ANGLERS REPRESENTED BY: Non-residents Female anglers	15.7 17.1	11.2 16.3	11.3 15.1	10.1 16.9	11.1 19.4	9.7 13.9	15.6 18.7	9.8 16.5	10.4 16.5	10.9 16.8	16.7
PERCENTAGE OF TROUT ANGLERS REPRESENTED BY: Non-residents Female anglers	11.0 10.2	4.0 7.6	4.5 7.1	4.9 8.3	7.7 7.4	6.6 9.0	6.1 10.1	6.4 11.6	6.9 9.9	5.7 10.0	6.4 9.1
PERCENTAGE OF NON-TROUT ANGLERS REPRESENTED BY: Non-residents Female anglers	17.3 19.1	12. 5 17.8	13.8 16.3	11.7 18.4	12.5 21.9	11.5 15.9	18.6 21.3	10.9 17.7	11.7 18.4	12.7 18.9	13.3 18.6
PERCENTAGE OF GREAT LAKES ANGLERS REPRESENTED BY: Non-residents Female anglers	9.7 11.6	13.3 13.1	4.9 19.3	6.7 16.5	6.1 18.2	2.9 9.4	12.7 17.0	6.3 16.1	4.1 12.9	8.0 17.9	7.5 15.2

•

The composition of the total non-trout catch has been determined by conservation regions also. Two methods of comparing the catch in the three regions have been made: (1) The percentage of the total state catch of each species caught tabulated by regions (Table 8), and (2) the percentage of each species in the total catch for each of the three regions (Table 9).

The bluegill was caught in greater numbers from non-trout waters than any other single species. More than 63 percent of all bluegills reported in the 1951 general creel census were taken in Region III. The yellow perch was caught most frequently in Region II and next in Region III, and lastly in Region I. Over nine-tenths (96.62 percent) of all bluegills recorded and over eight-tenths (88.71 percent) of all yellow perch recorded in the 1951 general creel census were daught in the Lower Peninsula. The walleye was the species which was reported most often in Region I. Yellow perch, black crappie, rock bass, pike, largemouth black bass, sucker, and smallmouth black bass were caught most frequently in Region II. In Region III the bluegill and pumpkinseed were the species which were reported most often in the catch.

In all three regions the combined catch of bluegills and yellow perch constituted more than half of the total catch (55.92 percent in Region I, 73.85 percent in Region II, and 81.21 percent in Region III). For the entire state these two species made up 75.09 percent of the total non-trout catch. Pike was the only other species which made up more than 10 percent of the total catch of any one region (11.57 percent in Region I).

-25-

Table	17

Catch per hour for all waters by conservation districts and regions since 1942

	1942	1943	1944	1945	1946	1947	1948	1949	1950	1951	Simple average
District 1	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.7
District 2	0.8	1.2	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.9	0.7	1.1	0.7	0.8
District 3	0.8	0.7	0.9	1.6	0.9	0.9	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.9	0.9
District 4	1.9	1.2	1.2	0.9	0.8	1.0	1.3	1.5	1.6	1.4	1.3
- Region 1	0.9	1.0	0.8	0. 8	0. 8	0. 8	1.0	0.9	1.1	1.0	0.9
District 5	0.6	0.9	1.1	0.7	0. 8	1.1	0.7	0.7	0. 8	0.7	0.8
District 6	1.9	1.5	1.3	1.1	1.0	1.5	1.1	1.2	1.9	1.3	1.4
District 7	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.7
District 8	1.5	1.2	1.1	1.4	1.4	1.6	1.3	1.4	1.9	1.8	1.5
District 9	1.2	1.4	1.6	1.2	2.9	3.0	1.2	1.9	2.6	3.3	2.0
- Region 2	1.1	1.0	1.0	0.9	1.5	1.5	1.0	1.1	1.5	1.4	1.2
District 10	1.3	1.4	1.6	1.6	1.2	1.6	1.6	1.8	2.0	1.8	1.6
District 11	1.3	1.1	1.3	1.1	1.2	1.0	1.1	1.4	1.7	1.8	1.3
District 12	1.4	1.4	1.7	1.6	1.6	2.5	2.2	1.9	2.4	1.4	1.8
Region 3	1.3	1,3	1.5	1.4	1,4	1.7	1.6	1.7	2.1	1,6	1.6
Entire state	1,1	1.2	1.2	1,1	1.3	1.4	1.1	1.3	1.6	1.4	1.3

Table TC

Catch per hour for trout waters by conservation districts and regions since 1942 (Trout only)

	1942	19 43	1944	1945	1946	1947	1948	1949	1950	1951	Simple average
District 1	1.0	0.7	0.6	0.9	0. 8	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.9	0.7	0.8
District 2	1.2	0.7	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.5	0.7	0.8	0. 8	0.7	0.7
District 3	0.8	0. 6	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	1.1	1.0	0.6	1.1	0.8
District 4	0.7	1.2	0.8	0.7	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.0	1.1	1.3	1.0
Region 1	0.9	0.7	0.7	0. 8	0. 8	0.7	0.9	0. 8	0.8	1.0	0.8
District 5	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.9	0. 8	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7
District 6	0.8	0.6	1.0	0.9	0.6	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.7	0.7	0. 8
District 7	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.6
District 8	0.9	0.7	0.7	0.7	1.0	0. 8	0.6	0.7	0. 6	0.7	0.7
District 9	0.2	0. 8	0.7	0.6	0. 6	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.5
Region 2	0.6	0.5	0. 8	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.7
District 10	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.5
District 11	1.0	1.6	0.1	0.4	0.5	•••	0.5	0.4	0.6	0.4	0. 6
District 12	0.6	1.7	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.6	•••	0.6	0.6	0.3	0.6
Region 3	0.7	0.9	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.6
Entire state	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.7	0. 8	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.7

-27-

· · · · · ·

Catch per hour -- Non-trout Waters

by Conservation Districts

For non-trout waters the highest catch per hour was recorded in District 9 with 3.3 fish per hour (Table 10). All districts had catches of better than 1.0 fish per hour except Districts 1, 2, 3, and 5. According to the catch per hour, lake fishing was best in District 8 where the anglers caught 2.0 fish per hour, followed by Districts 10, 11, and 6 with 1.9, 1.9, and 1.6 fish per hour respectively. For non-trout streams District 9 yielded the highest catch per unit of effort (4.3 fish) followed by Districts 7, 8, and 5 with 3.1, 2.7, 1.5 fish per hour respectively. In 1951 the catch from non-trout waters for the entire state was 1.50 fish per hour, which is a drop of 0.15 fish per hour (1.65 fish per hour in 1950).

Composition of Catch--Great Lakes Waters

A total of 14,850 fish were recorded from Great Lakes waters. The yellow perch made up the bulk of the total catch, 94.29 percent (Table 11). The following six species are arranged according to their abundance in the eatch: yellow perch, cisco, walleye, rock bass, pike, and smallmouth black bass. These species constituted 99.4 percent of all fish caught from Great Lakes waters and 8 other species of fish were included in the remaining 0.6 percent.

The other species of fish are listed as follows:

Fumpkinseed	38	Largemouth black	bass 3
Black crappie	20	Bluegill	3
Bullheads	14	Catfish	3
Rainbow trout	6	Total	93
Smelt	6	TOCAT	

-28-

Catch per Hour--Great Lakes Waters

by Conservation Districts

In 1951 fishing records from the Great Lakes and their connecting waters were submitted by officers in seven districts. District 11 does not border on the Great Lakes or their connecting waters and Districts 1, 2, 8, and 10 did not submit any catch records from the Great Lakes waters. The greatest success in fishing Great Lakes waters was reported from District 9 (5.23 fish per hour). This high catch per hour is attributed to 8,694 yellow perch taken in 1,785 hours by 760 anglers in Arenac and Bay counties (Table 12). In four of the districts the anglers experienced a catch of better than 2.0 fish per hour and the average for all Great Lakes waters was 3.21 fish per hour. Fishing in the Great Lakes proper was better than in the connecting waters (3.50 fish per hour an 1.15 fish per hour respectively).

Quality of Fishing, All Waters

by Conservation Districts and Regions

The fishing quality is usually expressed in terms of the number of fish caught per hour of fishing and this varies considerably with the method of angling used by the fishermen as well as with the skill of the angler. Districts 9, 8, and 10 had catches per hour of 3.35, 1.80, and 1.78 fish respectively. In District 9 the high figure was due to the huge number of yellow perch taken in non-trout streams (12,598) and in Great Lakes waters (8,745). The high catch per hour was caused in District 8 by the great percentage of fishermen angling in non-trout waters with good success and in District 10 by the great percentage of fishermen angling in non-trout lakes with good success.

-29-

Table	19
-------	----

Catch per hour for non-trout waters by conservation districts and regions since 1942

		1942	1943	1944	1945	1946	1947	1948	1949	1950	1951	Simple average
District]	L	0.5	0.7	0.5	0.7	0. 8	0.4	0.8	0.9	1.0	0.9	0.7
District 2	2	0.6	1.3	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.9	0.7	1.1	0.7	0.7
District 3	3	0.7	0.7	0. 8	0.9	0.9	0.6	1.2	0. 8	0.9	0. 8	0.8
District 4	+	1.5	0.9	1.4	0.8	0.7	0. 8	1.4	1.7	1.8	1.5	1.2
Region 1		0.7	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.6	1.0	0.9	1.2	0.9	0.8
District 5	5	0.6	1.0	1.1	0.6	0.7	1.2	0.7	0.7	0.9	0. 6	0.8
District 6	5	1.9	1.4	1.2	1.1	0.8	1.4	1.2	1.5	2.4	1.5	1.4
District 7	7	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.8	0.9	1.2	1.1	0.8
District 8	3	1.7	1.2	1.3	1.7	1.4	1.8	1.5	1.5	2.1	2.0	1.6
District 9)	1.2	1.5	1.5	1.3	3.2	3.5	1.0	1.8	2.3	3.3	2.1
Region 2		1.1	1.0	0.9	0.9	1.6	1.7	1.0	1.1	1.7	1.5	1.2
District]	LO	1.3	1.4	1.7	1.6	1.2	1.6	1.7	1.8	2.1	1.9	1.6
District 1	1	1.3	1.1	1.3	1.1	1.2	1.0	1.1	1.4	1.7	1.8	1.3
District 1	2	1.2	1.4	1.4	1.2	1.1	1.3	1.4	1.2	1.1	1.3	1.3
Region 3		1.3	1.3	1.5	1.3	1.2	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.7	1.7	1.4
Enti r e sta	ite	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.4	1.4	1.1	1.3	1.6	1.5	1.3

-30-

Tab	le	20
TOND		<u> </u>

Catch per hour for Great Lakes waters by conservation districts and regions since 1942

	1942	1943	1944	1945	1946	1947	19 48	1949	1950	1951	Simple average
District 1	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.9	0.3	•••	•••	0.3
District 2	•••	•••	1.5	2.3	3.4	1.8	2.9	4.8	•••	•••	2.8
District 3	0.3	1.0	1.0	4.1	1.2	1.0	1.0	0.9	2.5	0.4	1.3
District 4	3.1	2.3	1.2	1.5	0.7	1.1	1.1	2.9	4.9	1.6	2.0
Region 1	1.5	2.2	1.1	2. 7	0.6	1.0	1.1	1.1	4.2	0.9	1.6
District 5	1.3	3.0	2.7	1.6	1.0	4.2	1.7	0.4	0.5	0.7	1.7
District 6	0.5	5.9	4.8	0. 8	4.6	8.2	12.2	3.6	2.9	5.0	4.8
District 7	•••	•••	0. 8	4.2	•••	0.9	0.3	5.9	•••	5.0	2.8
District 8	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	2.8	•••	2. 8
District 9	•••	•••	3.8	2.2	2.0	5.7	5.8	5.4	5.7	4.9	4.4
Region 2	0.5	5.7	3.3	2.5	2.4	7.1	5.5	4.9	5.1	4.7	4.2
District 10	•••	2.9	9.0	•••	2.8	•••	•••	6.4	6.6	•••	5.5
District 11	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••
District 12	1.6	1.4	1.9	2.0	2.0	4.0	3.9	3.3	4.7	2.3	2.7
Region 3	1.6	1.4	1.9	2.0	2.0	4.0	3.9	3.4	4.8	2.3	2.7
Entire state	1.7	1.6	1.8	2.2	1.6	2.7	2.9	3.1	4.8	3.2	2.6

1.1

۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ In terms of fish caught per hour the best fishing was in Region III with a catch of 1.64 fish per hour, whereas Regions II and I had catches per hour of 1.39 and 0.97 fish respectively. Furthermore 88,267 fish (52.26 percent) of the total 168,913 recorded in the census were caught in Region 2, 56,169 fish (33.25 percent) were taken in Region III, and the remaining 24,477 (14.49 percent) were caught in Region I.

Residence of Anglers -- All Waters

Of the 50,845 anglers recorded in the 1951 general creel census, there were 45,315 (89.12 percent) who resided in Michigan and the remaining 5,530 (10.88 percent) lived outside the state (Table 13). Conservation officers in District 5 contacted the greatest number of non-resident anglers. In this district 1,108 anglers (16.02 percent of all fishermen interviewed in the district) were from outside the state. However, District 2 had the highest percentage of non-resident anglers to total anglers with 27.99 percent. Officers in District 9 interviewed the fewest non-residents (51) and these anglers comprised only 1.43 percent of all fishermen recorded in the district. The lowest percentage of non-resident anglers was recorded in District 12.

Anglers residing in all of the 83 counties of Michigan were recorded in the 1951 general creel census. Residents of Wayne County constituted 11.25 percent of all anglers interviewed in 1951. Other counties from which anglers were recorded in great numbers were Genesee County (5.90 percent), Kent County (4.65 percent), Muskegon County (3.86 percent), Ingham County (3.63 percent), Saginaw County (3.28 percent), and Bay County (2.43 percent). Residents from the above mentioned counties accounted for 35.00 percent of all anglers contacted.

-32-

Catch per hour for all waters, trout, non-trout waters, and Great Lakes waters

Year	All waters	Trout waters	Non-trout waters	Great Lakes waters	
 1928	1.09	1.17	1.05		
1929	0.96	1.17	0.88	•••	
1930	0.88	0.93	0.85	•••	
1931	0.91	0.97	0.88	• • •	
1932	1.26	1.10	1.32	• • •	
1933	0.97	0.68	1.28	• • •	
1934	1.73	0.79	1.80	• • •	
1935	1.58	0.80	1.85	•••	
1936	1.40	0.79	1.66	• • •	
1937	1.46	0.76	1.68	• • •	
1938	1.29	0.91	1.41	• • •	
1939	1.06	0.83	1.12	• • •	
1940	0.99	0.78	1.04	• • •	
1941	1.00	0.77	1.06	•••	
1942	1.14	0.89	1.11	1.67	
1943	1.16	0.90	1.17	1.60	
1944	1.16	0.79	1.13	1.81	
1945	1.12	0.83	1.05	2.16	
1946	1.31	0.80	1.37	1.56	
1947	1.42	0.79	1.44	2.72	
1948	1.14	0.80	1.15	2.92	
1949	1.29	0.72	1.28	3.06	
1950	1.61	0.63	1.65	4.84	
1951	1.37	0.76	1.50	3.21	
Simple					
average	1.22	0.85	1.28	2.56	

as indicated by the general creel census since 1928

Out-of-state fishermen came from 32 states in the Union, District of Columbia, province of Ontario, and Switzerland. The four states bordering Michigan furnished 94.05 percent of all non-resident anglers. Fishermen from Ohio made up 42.82 percent; from Indiana, 25.86 percent; from Illinois, 19.10 percent; and from Wisconsin, 6.27 percent. The county of resident for Michigan fishermen and the state of residence for non-residents are given in Table 14.

Catch per Hour--Resident and Non-resident

Anglers -- All Waters

Resident had a higher catch per hour (1.52 fish) than did the non-resident anglers (1.04 fish). Comparison of resident and non-resident anglers is given in Table 15.

Sex of Anglers -- All Waters

A total of 8,540 female anglers was interviewed in 1951. Of all anglers contacted 16.8 percent were female anglers.

Comparison of 1951 General Creel Census

Data with that of Other Years

Tables 16 to 21 summarize the general creel census data for the past ten years. There was a decrease in the catch per hour for all waters from 1938 through 1940, but from 1941 to 1943 there was a slight but steady increase. The catch per hour for 1943 and 1944 was identical (1.16 fish per hour), but slipped to 1.12 fish in 1945, and rose in 1946 and 1947 (1.31 fish and 1.42 fish respectively). In 1948 the catch dropped to 1.14 fish per hour and climbed to 1.29 fish per hour in 1949 and to 1.61 fish per hour in 1950 and dropped to 1.37 fish per hour in 1951.

-34-

During the past nine years the catch per hour of all fish in trout waters has varied 8.4 fish per hour. The highest catch per hour during this period was in 1942 and 1943 (0.9 fish per hour) and in the next five years the catch per hour was 0.8 fish. In 1949 the catch slipped to 0.7 fish per hour, in 1950 the catch dropped to a new low of 0.6 fish per hour, and in 1951 the catch rose to 0.8 fish per hour. The catch per hour of trout in trout waters has varied from 0.8 to 0.6 trout. In 1942 the catch per hour was 0.8 trout, in 1943 and 1944 it was 0.7 trout, in 1945 and 1946 it was 0.8 trout, in 1947 it was 0.7 trout, in 1948 it was 0.8 trout, in 1949 it was 0.7 trout, in 1950 it was 0.6 trout, and in 1951 it was 0.7 trout.

The catch per unit of effort in non-trout waters has remained more than 1.1 fish during the last ten years. The catch per hour for nontrout waters is very similar to the catch per hour for all waters, because the number of records from non-trout waters is so great.

The catch per hour for Great Lakes waters has remained consistently higher than that for trout and non-trout waters for the ten years these waters have been tabulated separately. In the Great Lakes waters the anglers averaged 2.6 fish per hour as compared to an average of 1.3 fish per hour in non-trout waters over the same period.

The appendix to this report in the form of detailed tables has been omitted as in recent years. The detailed tables for the data herein presented are on file at the Institute for Fisheries Research, University Museums Annex, Ann Arter, Michigan.

INSTITUTE FOR FISHERIES RESEARCH

K. G. Fukano

Approved by: A. S. Hazzard Typed by: M. C. Tait -35-