# RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN DIET AND GROWTH IN RAINBOW TROUT (Salmo gairdneri), BROOK TROUT (Salvelinus fontinalis), AND BROWN TROUT (Salmo trutta).

GAYLORD R. ALEXANDER AND HOWARD GOWING

FISHERIES RESEARCH REPORT NO. 1841 SEPTEMBER 13, 1976

## MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES FISHERIES DIVISION

## Fisheries Research Report No. 1841

September 13, 1976

# RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN DIET AND GROWTH IN RAINBOW TROUT (SALMO GAIRDNERI), BROOK TROUT (SALVELINUS FONTINALIS), AND BROWN TROUT (SALMO TRUTTA)

By Gaylord R. Alexander and Howard Gowing

## ABSTRACT

Samples of trout were collected periodically from several lakes, ponds, and streams during their major growing season in the northern Lower Peninsula of Michigan. Stomach contents were examined to determine the kind, number, and volume of organisms present. Data were stratified by the age of the trout.

The mean quantity of food per stomach had a significant direct relationship with annual trout growth irrespective of species of trout, habitat (lake or stream), genetics (wild or hatchery), and age class. The regression accounted for 80.6% of the total variation. Since trout show extreme variability in their diet in most habitats, quantity, not quality, is the most important factor determining growth. The great difference between mean volume of food in stomachs and the potential capacity of their stomach indicate feeding in most environments is at a low level.

Empirical diet, in contrast to the real diet, tends to underestimate annelids, fish, and amphibians, and overestimate crustaceans and most insects. In adjusting for caloric content, fish become more important while crustaceans and insects diminish in importance.

Quantity of food in the stomachs of trout from streams is more variable than in trout from lakes. In lake habitats, forage fish comprise the single largest category in the diet, followed by crustaceans, and combined insects. In streams the trout diet was comprised of 50% annelids, 20% insects, 15% forage fish, and the balance molluscs, crustacea, terrestrial organisms, and unidentifiable material. Worms and terrestrial organisms make a greater contribution to the diet of trout in small streams than in large streams. Trout in large streams are more dependent on food produced within the stream whereas in small streams a greater amount of food originates outside the stream.

Experimentally, the stomach evacuation rate for trout varies with the kind of food organism and with temperature. The ratio of instantaneous stomach volume to daily ration is about 1:3. The observed (empirical) diet of trout was adjusted for taxa, temperature, and caloric content. Gastric evacuation rates can significantly alter the observed diet. Temperature corrections are minor in our environments but could be significant in marginal waters.

 $\stackrel{1}{\checkmark}$  Contribution from Dingell-Johnson Project F-35-R, Michigan.

### Introduction

Over the geographic range of trout, growth varies widely and, in fact, considerable differences in growth can be found within relatively small geographical areas. Biologists have attributed these growth differences to variables such as water temperature, food supply, and genetic differences in trout stocks. Little doubt exists that trout growth is directly related to the amount of food consumed. Under laboratory conditions, Baldwin (1956) demonstrated that brook trout growth was directly correlated to the amount of food eaten and that the amount of food eaten was related to water temperatures.

Our study seeks to answer three principal questions: (1) are the observed growth differences for trout in the natural environments of northern Lower Michigan mainly due to the quality or to the kinds of food consumed; (2) what is the relationship between volume of food per trout stomach which we observed and the trout's real daily ration; and (3) what is the composition of the trout diet in various habitats and its significance.

#### Methods

Trout diet and growth in 15 ponds, lakes, and streams in Michigan's northern Lower Peninsula were analyzed. Diet analysis was done for three species of trout collected over the major part of their growing season, from the last Saturday in April to mid-September which coincided with Michigan's regular trout fishing season. For most environments we divided the fishing season into ten consecutive, biweekly sampling periods but in others we sampled on a monthly basis (May-September). Most fish were sampled by angling, except some of the fish from East Fish Lake and Fuller Pond were captured by gill nets during the latter years of the study. Also, the Hunt Creek samples of trout were taken by electrofishing during the years 1971-1974.

The stomach was removed from the trout by cutting out the gills, stomach, and intestines as one unit. This viscera was then preserved in a 10% formalin solution with a waterproof identification tag inserted in the esophagus. After a period of preservation to harden the viscera, the

-2-

stomach was cut open and the contents and tag transferred to a vial containing 70% alcohol. Subsequent analyses consisted of sorting, counting, and measuring the volumes of various taxonomic groups of food by liquid displacement. For the purposes of this investigation, 1 ml is equivalent to 1 g net weight (Ball 1948).

The mean volume of food present per trout stomach was calculated for each of the sampling periods. The mean stomach-volume index for the entire growing season was then determined by averaging these means. Thus, samples throughout the growing season received equal weight in the index. This parameter was compared with the annual growth increments for trout in the various waters studied.

Annual growth of wild trout in streams was determined from population estimates of the trout as described by Shetter (1957). In general, the procedure was to calculate the trout population size by inch classes, and the proportion of fish belonging to various age classes was determined from scale readings. In this manner the number and average size of trout in a particular age class were determined. Growth during a particular year of life was the difference in average weight of two consecutive age classes.

Growth of wild trout in ponds was determined from back-calculations derived from scale readings. Hatchery trout stocked in ponds were of known age because all had been fin clipped. Therefore, growth increments were determined simply by comparing the annual change in average weight.

Laboratory feeding tests were conducted to determine the relative gastric evacuation rates of various kinds of food from trout stomachs and the effects of water temperature on these evacuation rates (Alexander 1975a). The procedure was to force-feed equal amounts, by weight, of fish flesh (minnows) and invertebrates (such as insects). Trout were held in aquaria for either 12 or 24 hours, then sacrificed and dissected to determine the amounts of undigested food. In other tests, fish flesh was force-fed to trout held at various water temperatures. After periods of 24, 48, and 72 hours trout were sacrificed and dissected to determine amounts undigested.

-3-

#### Results

#### Mean stomach content and growth

Annual gains in growth of trout varied from a low of 17 g to a high of 795 g for the array of 15 habitats studied (Table 1). The average volume of food per trout stomach also varied widely, from 0.2 ml to 8.0 ml. For some environments, certain years were pooled in Table 1 because they either shared a uniform stocking density, angling regulation, or habitat alteration.

Separate regressions of mean stomach volume on annual growth increments were calculated for the following strata: species of trout, lake or stream fish, stocks of wild or hatchery trout, and age class. No significant differences in the regressions were found. Therefore, all strata were pooled and a single regression was calculated:

Mean stomach volume = 0.34076 + 0.00935 (annual weight gain in grams)

Ninety-five percent confidence limits were:

$$\pm 2 \left( 0.72521 \sqrt{0.02041 + \frac{(\text{annual gain} - 155.27)^2}{1,180,892}} \right)$$

The average quantity of food per stomach was linearly related to annual trout growth (Fig. 1). The correlation coefficient (r) was 0.898; the coefficient of determination  $(r^2)$  was 80.7%.

The taxonomic composition of foods found in trout stomachs is shown in Table 2. Under Annelida are two principal classes: Oligochaeta (aquatic earthworms) and Hirudinea (leeches). The former were found mostly in the diet of trout in streams and the latter in the diet of trout in lakes. It is evident that much variability exists in the diet of trout in the various habitats. From this it may be concluded that quantity, not quality, of food is the overriding factor determining growth in these natural environments. On the other hand, we know that certain trout foods have properties that make them better than others. For example, Alexander (1975a) has shown that the gastric digestion rates are different for various kinds of trout food (Table 3). Other investigators (Hess and Rainwater 1939; Seaburg and Moyle 1964; Schneider 1973) have reported similar differences. Further, Cummins and Wuycheck (1971), Warren and Davis (1967), and Kelso (1973) have shown that the caloric content of various fish foods is variable (Table 4). One might ask why the importance of food quality is not evident in these data. We believe these trout, and probably trout in most natural environments (not only in Michigan but elsewhere), are feeding at such a low level compared to their real potential that quality is not manifested. For example, in our study the mean stomach volume of food of stream trout was only 1.2 ml; 2.2 ml for lake fish. For comparison, we have compiled Table 5 which we believe to be the maximum stomach capacity of various sizes of trout. These estimates are extrapolated from consumption rates of experimental rainbow trout fed all they wanted to eat during a 2-month period. Obviously, the food volumes present in trout stomachs in these natural environments are substantially below their potential capacity.

Monthly mean stomach volumes of food were tabulated in Table 6. Note that the quantity of food per stomach is more variable in stream trout than in trout from lakes. Generally, stomachs contain the most food in June and the least amount in August. This seasonal periodicity in food volumes corresponds closely with seasonal periodicity in growth of stream trout (Cooper 1953; Horton 1961), and also fishing success.

## Daily ration vs. mean volume of

### food in the stomach

It is important to know the relationship between the instantaneous food content of a trout's stomach and its daily ration. This information would be of great value in reconstructing the total annual consumption for an individual trout or a population of trout. The following procedures were used to estimate this relationship. At the outset we assumed that the growing season for trout is about 6 months long (May-October) or 180 days (Alexander and Shetter 1969). From Figure 1 we used the average instantaneous volume of food of 8.00 ml which results in an annual growth increment of 800 g. If we use an average conversion ratio of food to trout

-5-

flesh of about 5:1 (Richardson 1921; Schneider 1973) then the food consumed by a trout for the entire growing season is 4,000 g per growing season ( $800 \times 5$ ). This amounts to a daily ration of 22.2 g. Thus the relationship between instantaneous stomach volume and daily ration in this example is 8 to 22.2 or 36%, an average ratio of about 1:3 for the season. As the intercept for the regression is not zero, the ratio of observed volume of food to estimated daily ration is reduced slightly below 1:3 if smaller volumes of food are used. For example, a ratio of 1:25 (40%) would be obtained if the 2.2 ml mean volume observed in all lakes is used. Also, this relationship may change seasonally with water temperature.

## Composition of diet

The trout in these habitats show considerable variation in diet (Table 2). Apparently they exercise little selectivity but consume whatever organism is vulnerable.

As indicated above, the observed contents of the trout stomach may not accurately reflect the real diet because we know that the gastric evacuation rate of food from the stomach varies with the types of food (taxa) and with water temperature (Hathaway 1927; Molnar and Tolg 1962; Brett 1970; and Noble 1972). Therefore, to determine the trout's real diet we need to adjust the observed diet.

In Table 3 we arbitrarily designated the evacuation rate for fish flesh (minnows) as unity and compared the gastric evacuation rates of all other food to this. For example, oligochaetes evacuate much faster than fish, insects only half as fast, on the average, and adult crayfish much slower.

Furthermore, we know that gastric evacuation is fastest in trout at about 55-58 F. Therefore we considered the evacuation rate in this temperature range to be 1.00 and compared it with rates at other temperatures. We determined, for example, that evacuation is only 0.88 as fast at 65 F, 0.77 as fast at 45 F, and 0.41 as fast at 35 F as at 57 F (Alexander, unpublished). The data in Table 7 are presented to show how a portion of the empirical diet of brook trout from the North

-6-

Branch of the Au Sable River was adjusted to arrive at the real value of the dietary components. After the real diet composition is derived by using the correction for differential evacuation rates (interpolated from curve of observed values), this diet also should be adjusted for relative energy content of the various foods (Table 4) to assess its true dietary value.

From the example, it is evident that the correction for gastric evacuation rate is very important and changes the interpretation of the observed diet significantly. The correction for temperature has only a minor effect in our data because we dealt with good trout habitats having water temperatures near the optimal level. In environments where trout would be forced to live in waters of marginal temperatures, then the temperature correction factor could be significant. In making temperature corrections in our data for trout in lakes, we assumed that trout digest their food at water temperatures near the optimum. We know that trout in lakes forage in the littoral and surface waters which may be warm, but these forays are probably of short duration and trout actually spend most of their time in the deeper zone of the lake where water temperatures are optimal or preferred. We have observed on sonar instruments that most trout (and salmon) will be "marked" in zones having water temperatures near 55 F and this includes the Great Lakes. Also, it has been observed that trout tend to congregate in cold seepage areas of marginal streams as water temperatures increase during July and August (Shetter 1937).

The estimated percentage composition of the real diets of trout in several habitats is shown in Tables 8a, 8b, and 8c and the caloric value, percentage wise, of this food consumed by trout is shown in Tables 9a, 9b, and 9c. Figures 2 and 3 graphically illustrate the average diet relationships. The main differences between the empirical and estimated real diet are that the former underestimates the importance of Annelida, fish and amphibia, but overestimates Crustacea, Odonata, Hemiptera, Coleoptera, Trichoptera, and terrestrial organisms. The principal differences between the empirical and caloric diets are that the former also underestimates the value of Annelida, fish, and Amphibia whereas it overestimates values for Mollusca, Crustacea, Odonata, Hemiptera, Coleoptera, Diptera, and terrestrials.

-7-

The real diet composition varies considerably between trout living in lakes and trout in streams. In lake habitats forage fish comprise the highest single category in the diet (26%) followed by Crustacea (24%). All insects, when combined, comprised 32%. The most important insect order is Diptera, followed by Ephemeroptera. The remaining 18% of the diet is composed of annelids, molluscans, amphibians, terrestrial organisms, and unidentifiable material. By contrast, annelids constitute 50% of the diet of trout in streams. Insects contribute about 20%, forage fish 15%, and molluscans, crustaceans, terrestrial organisms, and unidentifiable material make up the balance.

Trout diet also varies considerably within the habitat type. For example, in Hunt Creek during one study covering several years, annelids made up over 80% of the brook trout diet, whereas brook trout in the North Branch of the Au Sable River had only a 6% diet of annelids. In streams annelids usually comprise about 50% of the real diet, on the average. We believe that, in general, worms and terrestrial organisms make a greater contribution to the diet of trout in small streams than in large streams because of the "edge effect." Trout in large streams are more dependent on foods produced within the stream; about 10% of the food originates outside the system compared to 54% for small streams.

Generally, anglers have a distorted picture of a trout's real diet. For example, many anglers believe stoneflies contribute significantly to the diet of trout when in fact in streams their contribution is less than 1% and in lakes it is almost naught. Many fly fishermen tend to underestimate the importance of worms and forage fish.

The trout diet also varies considerably between one lake and another. The crustacean component is most variable. In the deeper and generally larger lakes, planktonic crustaceans (mostly cladocerans) are commonly the predominant crustacean in the diet, whereas in shallow and generally smaller lakes and ponds the crayfish is dominant. The forage fish component of the trout's diet in lakes is also quite variable, but part of this comes about because of periodic chemical treatment of lakes to rid them of competing fish populations. This of course reduces forage fish

-8-

abundance. However, the removal of all competing fish from lakes, including forage fish, generally enhances trout production by reducing competition for foods of the lower trophic level (Alexander 1975b and 1975c).

## Discussion

## Management considerations

### and observations

Trout growth in most environments is dependent primarily upon the quantity of food ingested. The quality of the food item, i.e., foods with high gastric evacuation rates, become important only at high feeding levels. If an environment produces large quantities of quality foods then trout growth may be increased significantly through manipulation of stocking rates. By limiting trout numbers, fewer but larger fish can be grown. These are the waters which produce trophy trout. On the other hand, if the environment produces mostly poor quality foods then individual trout growth will be relatively slow and greatest trout production can be achieved only at comparatively high trout densities. Manipulation of trout food supplies by replacing poor food types with higher quality foods could result in greater trout production. Likewise, the replacement of food types with low availability and utilization with food types of high availability and utilization could also result in production gains. Another aspect to consider is the shorter the food chain, the more efficient is food production. In view of the relatively low daily rations of trout in most natural environments, the greatest overall gains could be made simply by increasing the production of all good types.

Trout growth is directly related to food ingested irrespective of species or habitat type. Rainbow trout generally grow better than brook trout and some strains of brook trout grow better than others. This is so because they eat more food per day and/or are more efficient converters of food to fish flesh. It could be simply a genetic factor influencing the appetite level, or that the faster growing species and strains are more efficient foragers. Behavioral characteristics also could limit food intake because some fish have a very limited forage territory.

-9-

## Acknowledgments

Otis H. Williams and Jack D. Rodgers Jr. assisted in the collection, processing, and analyses of trout stomach samples. We are grateful to many past members of the Hunt Creek Fisheries Research Station for their help in this phase of the study. James R. Ryckman of the Office of Statistical Services assisted with the statistical analyses. We appreciate the helpful editorial suggestions made by our colleagues James C. Schneider, Mercer H. Patriarche, and William C. Latta. We acknowledge the skill and patience provided by Margaret S. McClure who typed the manuscript. Alan D. Sutton drafted the figures. Table 1.--Mean volume (ml) of food in stomachs and annual growth (g) of trout in their second and third growing season

(Species and origin: Rainbow, R; brook S; brown, B; hatchery, H; and wild, W)  $% \left( {{\left( {{{K_{\rm{B}}}} \right)}} \right)$ 

Location, species and year	Number of trout	Mean volume of food in stomachs	Weight (age I or II)	Weight (age II or III)	Annual gain
Sec	ond growi	ng season, age	e I to II		
Fullon <b>D</b> ond					
RH 1966-1967	132	1.7	27	204	177
Sage Lake					
RH 1964-1965	95	1.9	59	304	245
W. Lost Lake					
SH 1966	54	0.3	29	65	36
Ford Lake					
SH 1966	50	0.2	29	46	17
Lost Lake					
SH 1966	47	0.4	29	70	41
C Truin Lake					
<u>SH 1966</u>	38	0.3	29	141	112
		0.0	20		
Hemlock Lake	26	0.3	20	125	106
SH 1900	20	0.3	23	130	100
N. Twin Lake	0.0			000	150
SH 1966	23	1.1	29	202	173
Hunt Creek (ZA)					
SW 1971-1974	393	0.6	6	35	29
Hunt Creek (BC)					
SW 1971-1974	388	0.5	6	32	26
Th	ird growin	ng season, age	II to III		
E. Fish Lake					
RH 1959-1963	677	8.0	104	794	690
1964-1965	248	6.4	104	899	795
1966	102	4.9	104	490	386
1967	97	2.6	104	327	223
1968	94	1.1	104	245	141
1969	103	1.4	104	200	96
1973	39	5.5	104	463	359

(continued, next page)

Location and	Location, species Nu and year of		Mean volume of food in stomachs	Weight (age I or II)	Weight (age II or III)	Annual gain
	Г	Third grow	ing season, cor	nt.		
E. Fish	Lake					
SH	1959-1963	162	2.7	114	481	367
	1964-1965	64	4.3	114	409	295
	1966	64	2.2	114	277	163
	1967	43	2.4	114	222	108
	1968	35	1.9	114	182	68
	1969	36	1.5	114	186	72
	1973	91	2.8	114	245	131
SW	1956-1965	68	2.0	132	300	168
Fuller P	ond					
RH	1970	148	2.4	195	463	268
	1971	150	1.2	218	418	200
	1972	146	1.1	136	295	159
	1973	101	2.5	173	345	172
	1960-1965	363	3.0	104	395	291
SW	1958-1965	223	1.0	82	145	63
$\mathbf{SH}$	1958-1967	229	2.0	232	386	154
BH	1965 <b>-</b> 1966	119	2.6	127	354	227
D Pond						
SW	1957-1960	98	1.0	54	163	109
W. Fish	Lake					
RH	1965	50	0.7	114	154	40
BH	1965	34	1.1	114	209	95
Sutton P	ond					
SW	1957-1965	52	0.7	36	68	32
Ford La	ko					
SH	1966	17	0.3	167	177	10
T ( T - 1						
Lost Lai	1966	<b>9</b> 9	0.8	71	84	14
511	1900	22	0.0	•1	04	14
S. Twin SH	Lake 1966	18	0.3	117	215	98
Hemlock	Lake					
SH	1966	26	0.5	99	206	107

Table 1. -- continued

(concluded, next page)

Location and	, species d year	Number of trout	Mean volume of food in stomachs	Weight (age I or II)	Weight (age II or III)	Annual gain					
	Third growing season, cont.										
N. The Labo											
N. Twin SH	1966	13	1 0	146	313	167					
011	1000	10	1.0	110	010	10,					
N.Br.Au	ı Sable R.										
SW	1957-1965	88	1.0	50	127	77					
$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{W}$	1957-1965	64	2.4	82	213	131					
Hunt Cre	eek (ZA)										
SW	1957-1960	626	0.9	35	72	37					
SW	1971-1974	197	1.5	35	72	37					
Hunt Cre	ock (BC)										
SW	1057 - 1060	570	0.8	3.0	66	34					
SW GW	1957-1900	579	0.0	J2 22	00	J4 94					
SW	1971-1974	202	1.0	32	66	34					
Fuller C	reek										
SW	1957-1960	268	0.9	36	64	28					

Table 1. -- concluded

Table 2.--The empirical diet (percentage by volume) of trout during their second and third growing season

(Species and origin: Rainbow, R; brook, S; brown, B; hatchery, H; and wild, W)

Loca spe a y	ation, ecies, and ear	Annelida	Mollusca	Crustacea	Aquatic insects ¥	Terrestrial insects	Ŧish	Amphibia	Othe <b>r</b>	Unidentified	
Second growing season											
Fuller RH	r Pond 1966 <b>-</b> 1967	0.0	1.1	29.5	18.2	3.8	43.1	0.4	1.4	2.5	
Sage ( RH	Lake 1964 <b>-</b> 1965	0.1	2.5	44.4	36.7	0.3	7.3	3.7	3.2	1.8	
W. Lo SH	ost Lake 1966	20.9	0.0	45.7	24.0	4.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.7	
Ford SH	Lake 1966	0.0	1.1	14.8	49.1	14.9	20.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Lost SH	Lake 1966	0.0	0.0	31.5	30.2	34.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5	
S. Tw SH	vin Lake 1966	0.0	0.0	11.7	74.7	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.2	
Hemle SH	ock Lake 1966	0.0	0.0	17.1	70.3	0.0	12.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	
$\frac{N. T_{\Lambda}}{SH}$	win Lake 1966	0.0	0.0	2.6	86.4	0.1	0.0	0.9	0.0	10.0	
Hunt SW	<u>Creek (ZA)</u> 1971-1974	60.3	2.1	0.2	26.2	3.5	2.3	0.5	0.3	4.6	
Hunt SW	<u>Creek (BC)</u> 1971-1974	46.1	1.6	0.4	31.3	10.7	2.5	0.2	0.6	6.6	
			Thir	d grow	ing se	eason					
E. Fi RH	ish Lake 1959-1963 1964-1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1973	0.2 tr 0.0 0.0 0.3 0.0 0.0	tr 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.3	$\begin{array}{r} 48.9\\ 37.3\\ 65.5\\ 77.8\\ 73.7\\ 32.8\\ 15.6 \end{array}$	48.1 49.5 25.1 13.9 16.2 52.7 20.6	$0.1 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.9 \\ 1.9 \\ 5.5 \\ 2.7$	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.9\\ 10.6\\ 8.4\\ 6.2\\ 2.8\\ 3.6\\ 60.0\\ \end{array} $	tr 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.2 0.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.6 2.1 0.7 1.2 4.9 5.0 0.8	

(continued, nest page)

Lo s	cation, pecies, and year	Annelida	Mollusca	Crustacea	Aquatic L insects L	Terrestrial insects	Fish	Amphibia	Other	Unidentified
	Third growing season, cont.									
Е. F	ish Lake									
SH	1959-1963	0.0	tr	38.3	39.7	0.1	17.2	1.6	1.0	2.1
	1964-1965	0.0	tr	15.3	45.3	0.1	37.4	0.0	0.4	1.5
	1966	0.0	0.0	29.4	22.1	0.1	47.4	0.0	0.0	1.0
	1967	0.0	0.0	28.4	42.6	9.5	8.9	0.0	tr	10.0
	1968	0.0	0.2	20 4	34.0 10 1	19.4	20.1 51 0	0.0	0.0	4.0
	1909	0.0	tr	20.4	13 1	29	74 9	tr	0.0	1 3
SW	1956-1965	0.0	0.0	33.2	52.2	0.5	9.9	0.0	2.3	1.9
	n Dond	0.0	0.0	00.1	00.0		0.0			
PUIL	1970	0 9	52 2	0 5	30 9	05	06	18	02	11 የ
1111	1971	2.6	10.1	0.3	49.7	0.4	19.0	6.8	0.1	11.0
	1972	0.7	4.1	9.0	41.4	2.5	26.1	1.5	0.1	14.6
	1973	0.2	6.1	65.3	14.1	1.0	7.8	1.4	0.0	4.1
sw	1958 <b>-</b> 1965	0.0	7.0	11.4	40.0	1.7	25.2	2.0	6.0	6.7
SH	1958 <b>-</b> 1967	0.1	12.7	25.6	23.7	0.8	13.9	1.0	19.4	2.8
$_{\rm BH}$	1965 <b>-</b> 1966	0.0	11.0	35.5	10.7	0.2	40.0	0.6	1.8	0.2
D Po SW	ond 1957-1960	1.2	3.1	2.1	32.9	9.0	42.7	1.4	6.4	1.2
W. I	Fish Lake									
RH	1965	0.5	0.0	8.1	19.0	41.6	0.7	3.2	13.8	13.1
BH	1965	0.0	0.0	22.0	55.5	0.4	3.7	10.8	2.8	4.8
Sutto SW	on Pond 1957-1965	3.0	4.8	8.9	62.4	11.8	1.2	6.8	0.0	1.1
Ford	l Lake									
$_{\rm SH}$	1966	0.0	1.2	0.0	39.7	10.5	14.9	0.0	33.1	0.6
Lost SH	Lake 1966	6.2	0.0	29.1	30.3	18.2	0.0	2.6	0.0	13.6
<u>s. т</u> sн	win Lake 1966	0.0	0.0	52.1	45.4	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0
Hem SH	lock Lake 1966	0.0	0.0	10.2	68.6	0.0	20.1	0.0	0.0	1.1

(concluded, next page)

Table 2. -- continued

Location, species, and year	Annelida	Mollusca	Crustacea	Aquatic insects 2	Terrestrial insects	Fish	Amphibia	Other	Unidentified
		Third	l grow	ing se	ason,	cont.			
N. Twin Lake SH 1966	0.0	0.0	70.5	28.2	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
N. Br. Au Sable SW 1957-1965 BW 1957-1965	R. 5.4 2.3	4.9 1.5	9.7 $12.9$	57.6 35.7	$\begin{array}{c} 4.4\\ 3.2 \end{array}$	8.0 33.3	0.3 0.0	1.6 1.4	8.1 9.7
Hunt Creek (ZA) SW 1957-1960 SW 1971-1974	15.5 54.8	$0.4 \\ 1.3$	0.7 10.7	38.2 17.6	$15.5 \\ 5.7$	10.3 4.5	0.0 1.4	2.3 0.0	17.1 4.0
Hunt Creek (BC) SW 1957-1960 SW 1971-1974	17.1 40.1	1.4 0.2	$4.7 \\ 2.3$	$27.1 \\ 21.7$	11.5 10.4	15.5 17.2	1.2 1.6	4.4 tr	17.1 6.5
Fuller Creek SW 1957-1960	16.3	0.4	15.2	24.5	14.4	8.5	0.9	4.6	15.2

Table 2. -- concluded

 $\stackrel{1}{\checkmark}$  Aquatic insects include: Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Odonata, Hemiptera, Coleoptera, Trichoptera, and Diptera.

Kind of food	Rate
Minnows	1.00
Amphipoda	0.58
Isopoda	0.63
Cladocera	1.32
Decapoda: young of year	0.49
Decapoda: yearlings	0.17
Oligochaeta aquatic	1.68
Hirudinea	0.54
Gastropoda	0.66
Diptera: Tipulidae	0.86
Ephemeroptera	0.60
Trichoptera: with case	0.33
Odonata	0.21
Hemiptera	0.25
Coleoptera	0.17
Orthoptera: terrestrial	0.14
Salmon eggs	1.21
Percidae: darters	0.80

Table 3.--Relative gastric evacuation rates of various kinds of food in trout stomachs at 55 F, compared to minnows  $\sqrt[1]{}$ 

 $\sqrt[1]{}$ Arbitrarily expressed as 1.00

	Calories per gram dry weight	Calories per gram ash free dry weight	Calories per gram wet weight	Percent water
<u></u>				
Annelida		0 000	-	0.1
Oligochaeta	5,574	6,689	760	91
Hirudinea	5,443	6,532	760	81
Mollusca	2,0 $24$	5,675	430	82
Crustacea and				
Cladocera	5,133	5,504	392	81
Copepoda and				
Amphipoda	3,877	4,845	834	73
Isopoda and				
Decapoda	3,541	4,773	1,077	82
Insecta				
Ephemeroptera	5,469	6,553	1,124	85
Plecoptera	5,360	6,207	1,000	80
Odonata	5,117	5,898	1,023	80
Hemiptera	5,159	5,963	1,008	80
Coleoptera	5,371	5,908	1,074	80
Trichoptera	4,999	5,789	1,000	81
Diptera	4,269	5,527	612	80
Megaloptera	5,210	5,375	1,042	80
Terrestrial	5,274	5,673	2,008	68
Fish	5,191	5,296	1,493	89
Amphibia	5,813	5,933	1,493	89
Other	4,955	5,835	1,003	81
Unidentified	4,955	5,835	1,003	81

Table 4.--Mean caloric values for some categories of trout foods (Data derived from Cummins and Wuycheck, 1971)

Total trout length	Observed rainbow trout	Observed brook trout	Experi- mental rainbow trout	Esti- mated potential
3.5 4.5 5.5 6.5 7.5 8.5 9.5 10.5 11.5 12.5	····· ···· ···· 32.3 36.8 38.9	$\begin{array}{c} 2.4\\ 3.1\\ 9.6\\ 9.9\\ 24.4\\ 11.6\\ 24.5\\ 17.2\\ 21.4\\ 23.0 \end{array}$	  55.3 63.6 64.4	$\begin{array}{c} 2.5\\ 11.5\\ 21.0\\ 31.0\\ 41.5\\ 51.0\\ 61.0\\ 71.0\\ 80.5\\ 90.5\end{array}$
13.5 14.5 15.5 16.5 17.5	$\begin{array}{c} 45.8\\ 57.4\\ 44.9\\ 61.5\\ 63.6\\ 97.7\end{array}$	$   \begin{array}{r}     37.1 \\     11.6 \\     24.9 \\     \dots \\   \end{array} $	100.3  76.9	100.5 110.5 120.5 130.5 140.5
19.5 20.5 21.5 22.5 23.5 24.5 25.5	$ \begin{array}{r} 14.5\\ 101.2\\ 42.3\\ 20.0\\ 71.1\\ 93.6\\ 53.3\\ \end{array} $	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	109.0 171.1 	160.5 160.5 171.0 180.5 190.5 200.5 210.5 221.0

Table 5. --Maximum volumes of food observed in some trout stomachs and estimates of potential capacity based on daily voluntary feeding at excess levels (grams wet weight or milliliters preserved material, 80% alcohol)

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  Conditioned to feeding daily at maximum voluntary rates for 2 months and then sacrificed to determine volume of stomach contents.

Table 6.--Monthly mean volumes of food per trout stomach for fish in their second and third growing season

(Species and origin: Rainbow, R; brook, S; brown, B; hatchery, H; and wild, W)

Location, species	м	od	May -			
and year	May	June	July	Aug	Sep	September
	Sec	ond growi	ng seaso	n		
Fuller Pond	1 0	0.0	1 0	1 4	0.1	1 7
RH 1966-1967	1.0	2.2	1.6	1.4	2.1	1. (
Sage Lake						
RH 1964-1965	2.8	3.6	1.0	0.7	1.4	1.9
W Lost Lake						
SH 1966	0 1	04	0.1	0.4	0.6	0.3
511 1000	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0
Ford Lake						
$\mathrm{SH}$ 1966	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2
Lost Lake						
SH 1966	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.3	1.4	0.4
S Twin Lake						
SH 1966	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.3
511 1000	0.0			•••		
Hemlock Lake		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	<u> </u>
SH 1966	0.1	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
N. Twin Lake						
SH 1966	0.5	0.4	0.8	0.2	3.8	1.1
Means (lakes and						
pond)	0.6	1.0	0.6	0.5	1.3	0.8
Hunt Creek (ZA)						
SW 1971-1974	0.5	1.0	0.7	0.5	0.3	0.6
Hunt Creek (BC)						
SW 1971-1974	0.6	0.8	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.5
Mana (atom a)	0.6	0 0	0.6	0.4	0.2	0.6
Means (streams)	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.4	0.5	0.0
	7	Third gro	wing sea	son		
E. Fish Lake	-					
RH 1959-1963	8.0	10.8	6.4	5.5	9.3	8.0
1964-1965	6.1	10.6	5.8	3.3	6.2	6.4
1966	8.2	3.8	4.1	4.2	4.0	4.9
1967	2.6	1.4	2.0	3.2	3.8	2.6
1968	1.1	1.8	1.0	0.6	1.0	1.1
1969	1.0	2.8	1.2	1.0	1.0	1.4
1973	2.8	4.8	2.6	7.4	9.9	5.5

(continued, next page)

Location, species Mean stomach volume of food							May -
E	and year	May	June	July	Aug	Sep	September
		Third g	growing s	eason, c	ont.		
E. Fis	sh Lake						
$_{\rm SH}$	1959 <b>-</b> 1963	2.8	3.9	2.1	2.7	2.2	2.7
	1964-1965	3.7	5.7	5.3	3.9	3.1	4.3
	1966	2.1	2.2	1.8	1.2	3.7	2.2
	1967	1.3	1.7	4.9	1.2	2.9	2.4
	1968	1.8	2.8	2.5	0.6	•••	1.9
	1969	3.9	1.3	0.8	1.2	0.2	1.5
	1973	1.7	2.9	1.6	4.9	•••	2.8
$\mathbf{SW}$	1956 <b>-</b> 1965	2.2	2.8	2.2	1.8	1.2	2.0
Fuller	Pond						
RH	1970	2.2	1.8	2.2	2.5	3.5	2.4
	1971	0.8	1.0	1.5	1.8	0.8	1.2
	1972	0.5	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.7	1.1
	1973	1.2	1.2	5.1	1.7	3:2	2.5
	1960-1965	2.8	2.4	3.0	3.4	3.4	3.0
CIT	1050 1005	1 0	1 0	0.0	06	0 0	1 0
SW	1958-1965	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
SH	1958-1967	2.4	2.0	2.4	2.2	1.0	2.0
BH	1965-1966	2.4	3.2	2.0	2.1	2.0	2.0
D Pon	d						
SW	1957-1960	1.4	1.0	0.8	0.8	1.2	1.0
W. Fi	sh Lake						
RH	1965	0.2	0.5	0.9	0.6	1.5	0.7
BH	1965	0.9	0.7	0.9	0.8	2.4	1.1
Sutton	Pond	0 0	1 0	0 7	0.0	0.0	0 7
SW	1957-1965	0.8	1.0	0.7	0.3	0.6	0.7
Ford	Lake						
SH	1966	0.4	0.1	0.6	tr	0.5	0.3
Toot							
	1066	0 7	1 0	07	0 2	1 9	0.8
ы	1900	0.7	1.0	0.7	0.2	1.2	0.0
S. Tw	in Lake						
$\mathbf{SH}$	1966	tr	0.1	0.8	tr	0.6	0.3
Hemlo	ock Lake						
SH	1966	0.8	0.3	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.5
		•••					
$\frac{N. Tw}{CTT}$	vin Lake		0.7	0.9	0.0		1 0
SH	1966	• • •	2.1	0.2	0.2	• • •	1.0
Means	s (lakes and						
	ponds)	2.2	2.5	2.1	2.0	2.4	2.2

Table 6. -- continued

(continued, next page)

Locatio	od	May -					
	and year	May	June	July	Aug	Sep	September
		Third	growing	season, d	cont.		
$\frac{N. Br.}{SW}$	Au Sable R. 1957-1965	1.4	1.2	0.8	0.9	1.0	1.1
BW	1957-1965	3.2	3.2	2.4	1.0	2.4	2.4
Hunt C	reek (ZA)						
SW	1957-1960	1.0	1.1	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.9
SW	1971-1974	1.1	2.2	2.0	1.4	0.7	1.5
Hunt C	reek (BC)						
SW	1957-1960	1.2	1.2	0.8	0.4	0.6	0.8
SW	1971-1974	1.0	1.6	1.5	0.6	0.4	1.0
Fuller	Creek						
SW	1957-1960	1.2	1.2	0.8	0.6	0.7	0.9
Means	(streams)	1.4	1.7	1.3	0.8	0.9	1.2

Table 7.--Example of the procedure to determine the average real diet (grams) per trout from the observed diet of brook trout from the North Branch Au Sable River  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  (Monthly mean water temperatures (F) are in parentheses)

		<u></u>										
	Annelida2	Mollusca	Crustacea	Ephemer- optera	Odonata	Hemiptera	Coleop- tera	Trichop- tera	Diptera	Terres- trials	Fish	Totals
May (55 F) Ten	np-											
erature factor 1	1.00											
Observed food	.039	.039	.114	• <b>4</b> 57	. 237	.000	.001	. 244	.034	.009	.184	1.510
	.039	.039	. 114	. 407	. 201	.000	.001	. 244	.034	.003	. 104	1.010
June (63 F) Ten	np- 93											
Observed food	.000	.150	.128	.394	.006	.022	.011	.344	.067	.028	.039	1.294
Adjusted food	.000	.140	.119	.366	.006	.020	.010	.320	.062	.026	.036	1.203
July (65 F) Tem	np-											
erature factor.	88											
Observed food	.026	.024	.031	. 226	. 105	.005	.019	.112	.038	.031	.090	0.826
Adjusted food	.023	.021	.027	. 199	.092	.004	.017	.099	.034	.027	.079	0.727
Aug (62 F) Tem	p-											
Observed food	,073	. 008	. 108	. 142	.062	.008	.031	. 142	.019	.080	.000	0.742
Adjusted food	.070	.008	.104	. 136	.060	.007	.030	. 136	.018	.077	.000	0.712
Sep (57 F) Tem	p-											
erature factor 1	.00											
Observed food	. 140	.030	.120	. 150	.000	.025	.000	.005	.020	.075	.095	0.760
Adjusted food	.140	.030	. 120	.150	.000	.025	.000	.005	.020	.075	.095	0.760
Adjusted mean	. = .				0.50		. 1 .	101	0.00	0.4.0	0.50	
(May-Sep)	.054	.048	.097	. 262	.079	.011	.012	. 161	.033	.043	.079	0.982
Taxa factor	1.68	0.66	0.17	0.60	0.21	0.25	0.17	0.33	0.86	0.14	1.00	· • • • •
Real diet	.091	.032	.016	.157	.017	.003	.002	.053	.028	.006	.079	0.547
Percent of												
all items	16.6	5.8	2.9	28.7	3.1	0.6	0.4	9.7	5.1	1.1	14.4	100.0
Empirical												
mean diet	.056	.050	.100	.274	.082	.012	.012	.169	.036	.045	.082	1.026
Empirical diet												
comp. (%)	5.4	4.9	9.7	26.7	8.0	1.2	1.2	16.5	3.5	4.4	8.0	100.0

 $\checkmark$  Data for Plecoptera, Amphibia, and the categories other and unidentified are omitted from this summary, therefore sums of rows in the body of the table do not equal the total columns.

 $\stackrel{2}{\checkmark}$  Mostly Oligochaeta.

Table 8a	The real	diet, b	y percent,	of trout	during	their	second
growing sea	son						

(Species	and	origin:	Rainbow,	R;	brook,	S;	brown,	В;	hatchery,	Н;
and wild	, W)									

Location, species and year	Annelida 🕹	Mollusca	Crustacea	Fish	Amphipoda	Other	Unidentified
<u>Fuller Pond</u> RH 1966-1967		1.2	8.5	72.9	0.7	1.4	2.5
Sage Lake RH 1964-1965	0.1	2.2	43.5	9.7	4.9	2.5	1.4
W. Lost Lake SH 1966	45.5		22.4		•••	•••	5.5
Ford Lake SH 1966	•••	1.5	22.2	40.8	•••		
Lost Lake SH 1966	•••		51.7		•••	•••	6.3
S. Twin Lake SH 1966	•••		16.7	•••	•••		12.0
Hemlock Lake SH 1966	· • •	· • •	15.0	14.3	•••	•••	0.4
N. Twin Lake SH 1966	••••		1.7		1.2		8.3
Hunt Creek (ZA) SW 1971-1974	83.3	1.1	0.1	1.9	0.4	0.2	2.3
Hunt Creek (BC)           SW         1971-1974	76.0	1.0	0.1	2.5	0.2	0.4	3.9

 $\stackrel{1}{\vee}$  Taxa adjustment based on mean evacuation rate for Oligochaeta and Hirudinea.

-24-

Table 8a. -- continued

Location, species and year	Ephemerop- tera	Plecoptera	Odonata	Hemiptera	Coleoptera	Trichop- tera	Diptera	Terrestrial
<u>Fuller Pond</u> RH 1966-1967	6.3		1.4	2.0	0.7	0.1	1.4	0.9
<u>Sage Lake</u> RH 1964-1965	1.3		1.1	0.3	tr	0.9	32.1	tr
W. Lost Lake SH 1966	1.8		•••	1.7	2,6	· • •	19.2	1.3
Ford Lake SH 1966	2.1		13.7	2.0	1.3	0.7	11.5	4.2
Lost Lake SH 1966			8.5	8.1	1.1	•••	9.8	14.5
S. Twin Lake SH 1966	2.8		•••	8.2	1.3	•••	58.9	0.1
Hemlock Lake SH 1966	0.4		0.3	0.2		· • •	69.4	•••
<u>N. Twin Lake</u> SH 1966	0.8	· • •	1.7	4.5	0.9	•••	80.9	tr
Hunt Creek (ZA) SW 1971-1974	2.7	tr	0.1	tr	0.1	4.0	3.4	0.4
Hunt Creek (BC) SW 1971-1974	2.5	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	5.7	5.6	1.5

wild,	W)								
Loc	eation, species and year	a Annelida <sup>1</sup>	Mollusca	Crustacea	Fish	Amphibia	Other	Unidentified	
Fract	Fich Isko								
RH	1959-1963 1964-1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1973	0.3 tr  0.4	tr 0.1 0.2 0.4 0.2	$\begin{array}{c} 47.4\\ 34.7\\ 63.6\\ 77.2\\ 75.7\\ 37.2\\ 13.6 \end{array}$	2.513.311.08.3 $3.95.570.7$	tr  	0.3 0.3 	$\begin{array}{c} 0.5 \\ 1.6 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.6 \\ 4.1 \\ 4.6 \\ 0.6 \end{array}$	
SH	1959-1963 1964-1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1973	· · · · · · · · · · · · ·	tr tr  0.2 0.4	37.0 13.1 25.5 33.7 21.7 18.8 6.4	22.443.255.514.345.664.583.5	2.1    tr	0.8 0.3  tr	1.6 1.0 0.7 10.2 4.1 1.9 0.9	
SW	1956-1965	•••	•••	31.7	12.8	•••	1.8	1.5	
Fulle	r Pond								
RH	1970 1971 1972 1973 1960-1965	1.8 5.3 1.4 0.6	$63.5 \\ 12.2 \\ 5.1 \\ 11.6 \\ 8.8$	$0.2 \\ 0.1 \\ 2.9 \\ 31.8 \\ 6.2$	$1.1 \\ 34.9 \\ 48.4 \\ 22.4 \\ 43.7$	3.2 12.5 2.8 4.0 15.9	0.2 0.1 1.1 5.1	$12.2 \\ 12.1 \\ 16.3 \\ 7.1 \\ 8.9$	
SW SH BH	1958-1965 1958-1967 1965-1966	0.2	$8.7 \\ 17.6 \\ 12.4$	3.7 9.1 10.3	47.5 29.2 68.5	3.8 2.1 1.0	6.8 $24.4$ $1.9$	7.6 3.5 0.3	
D Por SW	nd 1957-1960	2.0	3.0	0.5	63.7	2.1	5.7	1.1	
W.F RH BH	ish Lake 1965 1965	1.5	· • •	$3.7 \\ 7.2$	1.9 7.2	8.7 21.0	$22.4 \\ 3.3$	$\begin{array}{c} 21.3\\ 5.6 \end{array}$	
Sutto: SW	n Pond 1957-1965	7.4	7.0	3.3	2.7	15.1		1.5	

Table 8b.--The real diet, by percent, of trout during their third growing season in lakes and ponds

(Species and origin: Rainbow, R; brook, S; brown, B; hatchery, H; and

Location, s and yea:	Annelida <u>I</u>	Mollusca	Crustacea	Fish	Amphibia	Other	Unidentified	
Ford Lake								
SH 1966		1.6		29.9	• • •	39.8	0.7	
<u>Lost Lake</u> SH 1966	15.6		36.4		5.9		18.6	
S. Twin Lak SH 1966	<u>e</u>		67.4				1.6	
Hemlock Lal SH 1966	<u></u>		9.5	25.2			0.8	
N. Twin Lak SH 1966	<u></u>		57.4				· • •	

Table 8b. -- continued

 $\sqrt{\frac{1}{T}}$ Taxa adjustment based on mean evacuation rate for Oligochaeta and Hirudinea.

Loca	tion, species and year	Ephemerop- tera	Plecoptera	Odonata	Hemiptera	Coleoptera	Trichoptera	Diptera	Terrestrial
East F	Tish Lake								
RH	1959-1963	10.9		tr	0.1	tr	tr	38.0	tr
	1964-1965	6.9		tr	0.1	tr		43.1	tr
	1966	8.0			tr	tr		16.8	t <b>r</b>
	1967	4.8			0.1	tr	tr	8.8	0.2
	1968	5.5		tr	0.1	0.3	0.1	9.3	0.4
	1969	38.8		0.1	0.1	0.2		11.9	1.2
	1973	14.1		0.1	tr	tr	• • •	0.2	0.5
SH	1959 <b>-</b> 1963	15.3		0.5	tr	tr	0.2	20.1	tr
	1964-1965	3.0	• • •	0.2	0.1		0.1	39.0	tr
	1966	6.2	· • •	tr	tr	t <b>r</b>	0.5	11.6	tr

(continued, next page)

Locati	on, species and year	Ephemerop- tera	Plecoptera	Odonata	Hemiptera	Coleoptera	Trichoptera	Diptera	Terrestrial
East F	ish Lake								
SH	1967	37.8	•••	0.6	0.1	tr	0.5	0.7	2.1
	1968	10.3	•••	4.1	0.3	0.6	2.3	6.2	4.6
	1969	8.8	• • •	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.3	3.5	1.2
	1973	7.5	•••	tr	tr	0.1	tr	1.1	0.5
Fuller	Pond								
RH	1970	0.3		3.0	2.6	0.4	8.0	3.4	0.1
	1971	0.1		7.2	12.1	0.3	1.6	1.4	0.1
	1972	2.4		4.4	10.4	0.3	2.2	1.6	0.7
	1973	14.8		0.4	1.9	0.1	tr	4.9	0.4
	1960 <b>-</b> 1965	0.3	• • •	4.3	1.2	1.0	0.5	3.3	0.8
sw	1958-1965	0.1		4.9	2.7	2.7	7.7	4.0	0.4
SH	1958-1967	0.3	•••	2.4	1.5	1.7	6.7	1.1	0.2
BH	1965-1966	1.3	• • •	0.8	2.1	tr	1.1	0.2	0.1
D Pone	đ								
SW	1957 <b>-</b> 1960	0.7	• • •	2.5	1.4	0.6	5.2	9.6	1.9
W. Fis	sh Lake								
RH	1965	• • •		3.2	0.5	2.0	• • •	19.1	15.7
$_{\rm BH}$	1965	• • •	• • •	0.6	1.8	0.6	17.5	35.1	0.1
Sutton	Pond								
SW	1957-1965	5.4	•••	6.9	9.3	2.4	2.5	32.8	3.7
Ford I	Lake								
SH	1966	•••	•••	10.4	3.1	0.8	•••	10.7	3.0
Lost I	ake								
SH	1966	•••	•••	1.2	6.3	5.5	•••	4.7	5.8
S. Tw	in Lake								
SH	1966	• • •	• • •	2.6	4.8	0.8		22.7	0.1
Hemlo	ck Lake								
				0 0	0.0				
SH	1900	•••	• • •	2.3	0.6	0.2	•••	61.4	•••
N. Tw	in Lake								
SH	1966	•••	•••	•••	0.3	•••	•••	42.0	0.3

Table 8b. -- concluded

Table 8c.--The real diet, by percent, of trout during their third growing season in streams

(Species and origin:	Rainbow,	R;	brook,	S;	brown,	В;	hatchery,	Н;	and
wild, W)									

Locati	on, species and year	Annelida J	Mollusca	Crustacea	Fish	Amphibia	Other	Unidentified	
N. Br	. Au Sable R	•							
SW BW	1957-1965 1957-1965	16.6 5.8	5.8 1.5	2.9 3.3	14.4 50.4	0.6	1.8 1.3	8.6 8.8	
Hant C	Creek (ZA)								
SW SW	1957 <b>-</b> 1960 1971 <b>-</b> 1974	37.2 80.6	0.4 0.8	$\begin{array}{c} 0.3 \\ 3.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 14.7\\3.9 \end{array}$	 1.2	2.0 	$\begin{array}{c} 14.7\\2.1\end{array}$	
Hunt C	Creek (BC)								
SW SW	1957 <b>-</b> 1960 1971 <b>-</b> 1974	39.1 66.2	$1.3 \\ 0.1$	2.1 0.8	$21.1 \\ 16.9$	$1.6 \\ 1.6$	3.6 tr	14.0 3.8	
<u>Fuller</u> SW	<u>Creek</u> 1957-1960	41.0	0.4	7.5	12.8	1.4	4.1	13.6	
Locati	on, species and year	Ephemerop- tera	Plecoptera	Odonata	Hemiptera	Coleoptera	Trichoptera	Diptera	Terrestrial
N. Br	. Au Sable R								
SW BW	1957-1965 1957-1965	28.7 21.4	0.6 0.4	3.1 0.6	0.6 tr	0.4 0.3	9.7 3.6	5.1 1.9	$\begin{array}{c} 1.1 \\ 0.7 \end{array}$
Hunt (	Creek (ZA)								
SW SW	1957-1960 1971-1974	10.8 1.5	0.3 0.1	0.5 0.1	0.3 tr	0.1 0.1	7.4 2.6	$8.2 \\ 3.2$	$3.1 \\ 0.7$
Hunt C	Creek (BC)								
SW SW	1957 <b>-</b> 1960 1971 <b>-</b> 1974	3.8 1.6	0.6 0.1	$\begin{array}{c} 1.5\\ 0.2 \end{array}$	0.3 0.6	0.3 0.1	5.0 3.8	$3.5 \\ 2.8$	2.2 1.4
Fuller	Creek	9.0	0 7	1 5	0.5	0.0	4 0	0.0	
SW	1927-1960	2.6	0.7	1• 9	0.5	0.3	4.3	6.3	3.0

 $\checkmark$  Taxa adjustment based on mean evacuation rate for Oligochaeta and Hirudinea.

Table 9a.--The caloric value, by percent, of the trout diet during their second growing season in lakes and ponds

(Species and origin: Rainbow, R; brook, S; brown, B; hatchery, H; and wild, W)

	<u> </u>	P					ed	
Location, species and year	Annelida	Mollusca	Crustacea	Fish	Amphibia	Other	Unidentifi	
Fuller Pond           RH         1966-1967	• • •	0.4	6.7	79.2	0.7	1.0	1.9	
<u>Sage Lake</u> RH 1964-1965	0.1	1.2	38.9	17.6	8.9	3.1	1.8	
W. Lost Lake SH 1966	41.2		27.4	· • •	•••	•••	6.6	
Ford Lake SH 1966	•••	0.6	14.4	53.5				
Lost Lake SH 1966	•••	•••	42.5		•••	•••	6.1	
S. Twin Lake SH 1966	•••	•••	14.5	•••	•••	•••	16.9	
Hemlock Lake SH 1966	•••		14.5	27.9	•••	•••	0.7	
N. Twin Lake SH 1966	•••		2.2		2.7		12.1	
Hunt Creek (ZA) SW 1971-1974	79.3	0.6	0.1	3.5	0.8	0.2	2.8	
Hunt Creek (BC) SW 1971-1974	70.3	0.6	0.1	4.5	0.4	0.4	4.7	

(continued, next page)

•

Location, species and year	Ephemerop- tera	Plecoptera	Odonata	Hemiptera	Coleoptera	Trichoptera	Diptera	Terrestrial insects
Fuller         Pond           RH         1966-1967	5.2		1.0	1.4	0.5	0.1	0.6	1.3
<u>Sage Lake</u> RH 1964-1965	1.7		1.4	0.3	tr	1.1	23.8	0.1
W. Lost Lake SH 1966	2.4	•••	•••	2.0	3.3	•••	14.0	3.1
Ford Lake SH 1966	2.0		12.2	1.8	1.2	0.6	6.2	7.5
Lost Lake SH 1966	•••	•••	8.4	7.8	1,1		5.8	28.3
S. Twin Lake SH 1966	4.4			11.5	1.9		50.5	0.3
Hemlock Lake SH 1966	0.6		0.4	0.2	•••	•••	55.7	
N. Twin Lake SH 1966	1.2	•••	2.5	6.5	1.4		71.4	tr
Hunt Creek (ZA) SW 1971-1974	3.8	tr	0.1	tr	0.1	5.1	2.6	1.0
Hunt Creek (BC) SW 1971-1974	3.5	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3	7.0	4.2	3.6

Table 9b.--The caloric value, by percent, of the trout diet during their third growing season in lakes and ponds

(Species and origin: Rainbow, R; brook, S; brown, B; hatchery, H; and wild, W)

Locati	ion, species and year	Annelida	Mollusca	Crustacea	Fish	Amphibia	Other	Unidentified	
East H	Fish Lake						<u> </u>		
RH	1959-1963 1964-1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1973	0.3 tr  0.5	tr 0.1 0.1 0.2 0.1	$\begin{array}{r} 46.3\\ 31.3\\ 56.3\\ 70.1\\ 70.3\\ 29.0\\ 7.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4.9\\ 24.4\\ 19.8\\ 15.3\\ 7.3\\ 8.8\\ 79.2 \end{array}$	tr   	0.4 0.4 	$0.6 \\ 1.9 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.7 \\ 5.2 \\ 4.9 \\ 0.4$	
SH	1959-1963 1964-1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1973	· · · · · · · · · ·	tr tr 0.1 0.2	28.29.316.023.613.310.8 $3.4$	34.8 62.5 70.9 20.4 56.5 75.5 88.8	3.2   tr	0.8 0.3  tr	$1.7 \\ 1.0 \\ 0.5 \\ 9.8 \\ 3.4 \\ 1.5 \\ 0.6 \\ $	
SW	1956 <b>-</b> 1965	•••	•••	27.7	22.7	•••	$2_{\bullet} 1$	1.8	
Fuller RH	r Pond 1970 1971 1972 1973 1960-1965	2.1 3.5 0.9 0.4	$\begin{array}{r} 42.2 \\ 4.6 \\ 1.8 \\ 4.6 \\ 0.3 \end{array}$	$0.3 \\ 0.1 \\ 2.5 \\ 31.4 \\ 5.3$	2.545.258.930.652.4	7.516.23.45.519.1	0.4 0.1 0.9  4.1	19.0 10.5 13.2 6.5 7.2	
SW SH BH	1958-1965 1958-1967 1965-1966	0.2	$3.1 \\ 7.1 \\ 4.2$	3.3 9.3 8.6	59.2 41.0 79.8	$4.7 \\ 3.0 \\ 1.2$	$5.7 \\ 23.1 \\ 1.4$	6.3 3.3 0.2	
D Pon SW	1957-1960	1.2	1.0	0.5	73.9	2.4	4.5	0.8	
W.Fi RH BH	ish Lake 1965 1965	1.0	•••	3.5 7.7	2.5 10.6	11.3 31.0	$19.7 \\ 3.2$	18.7 5.6	
Sutton SW	<u>Pond</u> 1957-1965	5.9	3.2	3.8	4.2	23.7		1.5	

(continued, next page)

Locat	ion, species and year	Annelida	Mollusca	Crustacea	Fish	Amphibia	Other	Unidentified	
Ford SH	<u>Lake</u> 1966	•••	0.6		39.4		35.3	0.6	
Lost ] SH	Lake 1966	12.1	•••	31.4		9.0		18.9	
S. Tw SH	vin Lake 1966		•••	68.1			••••	2.0	
Hemlo SH	ock Lake 1966			8.0	43.6			1.0	
N. Tv SH	vin Lake 1966	•••	•••	66.2	•••	•••	•••	•••	
					<u></u>	<u></u>			
Locat	ion, species and year	Ephemerop- tera	Plecoptera	Odonata	Hemiptera	Coleoptera	Trichoptera	Diptera	Terrestrial insects
Fact	Figh Lake					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····		
RH	1959-1963 1964-1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1973	16.39.610.86.77.846.412.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · ·	tr tr 0.1 0.1 tr	0.1 tr 0.1 0.1 0.1 tr	tr tr 0.1 0.3 tr	tr  tr 0.1 	$31.0 \\ 32.4 \\ 12.4 \\ 6.6 \\ 7.2 \\ 7.7 \\ 0.1$	0.1 tr 0.1 0.4 0.9 2.5 0.7
SH	1959-1963 1964-1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1973	$17.8 \\ 3.2 \\ 6.0 \\ 40.6 \\ 9.7 \\ 7.8 \\ 6.0$	· · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.5 0.3 tr 0.5 3.5 0.3 tr	tr 0.1 tr 0.2 0.1 tr	tr tr tr 0.5 0.1 0.1	0.2 0.1 0.5 0.5 1.9 0.2 tr	12.8 23.2 6.1 0.4 3.1 1.7 0.5	tr tr 4.1 7.8 1.8 0.6
$\mathbf{SW}$	1956 <b>-</b> 1965	16.3	• • •	tr	0.2	tr	0.1	28.9	0.2

Table 9b. -- continued

(continued, next page)

Locat	ion, species and year	Ephemerop- tera	Plecoptera	Odonata	Hemiptera	Coleoptera	Trichoptera	Diptera	Terrestrial insects
Fuller	r Pond								
RH	1970	0.6		4.8	4.0	0.7	12.3	3.2	0.4
1011	1971	0.1		6.4	10.6	0.3	1.4	0.8	0.2
	1972	2.1		3.7	8.6	0.3	1.8	0.8	1.1
	1973	15.3	• • •	0.3	1.7	0.2	tr	2.8	0.7
	1960-1965	0.3		3.5	1.0	0.9	0.4	1.6	1.2
$\mathbf{SW}$	1958 <b>-</b> 1965	0.1	• • •	4.2	1.7	2.4	6.4	2.1	0.8
$_{\rm SH}$	1958 <b>-</b> 1967	0.3	• • •	2.3	1.4	1.8	6.2	0.6	0.4
$_{\rm BH}$	1965-1966	1.2	• • •	0.6	1.7	tr	0.9	0.1	0.1
D Por	nd								
SW	1957-1960	0.6		2.0	1.1	0.5	4.0	4.6	2.9
W D	ch I oko								
W. F	1065			2 9	04	1 0		10 3	27 8
КП DU	1905	•••	•••	07	18	0.7	173	21 2	0.2
вп	1905	•••	•••	0.1	1.0	0.1	11.0	21.2	0.2
Suttor	n Pond								
SW	1957-1965	6.4	•••	7.4	9.8	2.7	2.6	21.1	7.7
Ford	Lake								
SH	1966		• • •	9.5	2.8	0.8	•••	5.8	5.2
Loct	Lako								
SH	1966			12	65	6 1		2.9	11.9
511	1000	• • •	•••	<i>-</i>	0.0	0.1	•••		
S. Tv	vin Lake				-			10 5	0.0
$_{\rm SH}$	1966	• • •	•••	4.3	7.9	1.0	• • •	16.5	0.2
Heml	ock Lake								
SH	1966		• • •	2.8	0.8	0.2	• • •	43.6	
NT 77-	rin Lako								
<u>CH</u>	1966				04			32 7	0.8
511	1000	•••	• • •	•••	0.1	•••	• • •	02.1	0.0

Table 9b. -- concluded

Table 9c.--The caloric value, by percent, of the trout diet during their third growing season in streams

(Species and orig	in: Rainbow,	R; brook, S	; brown,	B; hatchery,	H; and
wild, W)					

Locatio	on, species and year	Annelida	Mollusca	Crustacea	Fish	Amphibia	Other	Unidentified	
N. Br.	Au Sable R	•							
SW	1957-1965	12.2	2.4	3.2	20.9	0.8	1.8	8.5	
BW	1957 <b>-</b> 1965	3.5	0.5	2.9	59.9	• • •	1.0	7.0	
Hunt C	reek (ZA)								
SW	1957-1960	28.4	0.2	0.3	22.1	• • •	2.0	14.8	
SW	1971-1974	74.4	0.4	3.6	7.2	2.2	•••	2.6	
Hunt C	reek (BC)								
SW	1957-1960	29.0	0.5	2.0	30.7	2.4	3.5	13.7	
SW	1971-1974	53.7	0.1	0.8	26.9	2.5	tr	4.1	
Fuller	Creek								
SW	1957-1960	31.9	0.2	7.4	19.6	2.1	4.2	14.0	

Locat	ion, species and year	Ephemerop- tera	Plecoptera	Odonata	Hemiptera	Coleoptera	Trichoptera	Diptera	Terrestrial insects			
N. Br	N. Br. Au Sable R.											
SW	1957-1965	31.2	0.5	3.2	0.5	0.3	9.5	2.9	2.1			
BW	1957-1965	19.2	0.3	0.5	tr	0.2	2.9	1.0	1.1			
Hunt (	Creek (ZA)											
SW	1957-1960	12.2	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.1	7.4	5.1	6.3			
SW	1971-1974	2.0	0.1	0.1	tr	0.1	3.2	2.4	1.7			
Hunt (	Creek (BC)											
SW	1957-1960	4.2	0.6	1.5	0.3	0.3	4.9	2.1	4.3			
SW	1971 <b>-</b> 1974	2.0	0.1	0.2	0.6	0.1	4.0	1.8	3.1			
Fuller	r Creek											
SW	1957-1960	3.0	0.7	1.5	0.5	0.3	4.4	4.0	6.2			

-35-



Figure 1.--Mean volumes of stomach contents for all species and age classes of trout regressed on annual gains in weight with confidence limits of 95%.



Figure 2.--Observed diet, by percent, of trout in streams and the adjustments for temperature, taxa, and energy (calories).



Figure 3.--Observed diet of trout, by percent, in lakes and ponds and the adjustments for temperature, taxa, and energy (calories).

#### Literature cited

- Alexander, G. R. 1975a. Gastric digestion rates of trout foods. Michigan Dep. Nat. Res., Dingell-Johnson Proj. F-35-R, Progress Rep., 3 pp.
- Alexander, G. R. 1975b. Growth, survival, production and diet of hatchery-reared rainbow and brook trout stocked in East Fish Lake, under different stock densities, cropping regimes, and competition levels. Michigan Dep. Nat. Res., Fish. Research Rep. 1828, 34 pp.
- Alexander, G. R. 1975c. Growth, survival, production and diet of hatchery-reared rainbow trout stocked in Fuller Pond, Montmorency County, Michigan. Michigan Dep. Nat. Res., Fish. Research Rep. 1829, 14 pp.
- Alexander, G. R., and D. S. Shetter. 1969. Trout production and angling success from matched plantings of brook trout and rainbow trout in East Fish Lake, Michigan. J. Wildl. Manage., 33(3): 682-692.
- Baldwin, N. S. 1956. Food consumption of brook trout at different temperatures. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 86: 323-328.
- Ball, Robert C. 1948. Relationship between available fish food, feeding habits and total fish production in a Michigan lake. Michigan State Coll., Agr. Exp. Sta. Bull. 206: 1-59.
- Brett, J. R., and D. A. Higgs. 1970. Effect of temperature on the rate of gastric digestion in fingerling sockeye salmon, <u>Oncorhynchus nerka</u>. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 27: 1767-1779.
- Cooper, Edwin L. 1953. Periodicity of growth and change in condition of brook trout (<u>Salvelinus fontinalis</u>) in three Michigan trout streams. Copeia 2: 107-114.
- Cummins, K. W., and J. C. Wuycheck. 1971. Caloric equivalents for investigations in ecological energetics. Int. Assoc. Theoretical Appl. Limnol. 18: 1-158.

- Hathaway, E. S. 1927. The relation of temperature to the quantity of food consumed by fishes. Ecology 8(4): 428-433.
- Hess, A. D., and J. H. Rainwater. 1939. A method of measuring the food preference of trout. Copeia 3: 154-157.
- Horton, P. A. 1961. The bionomics of brown trout in a Dartmoor stream. J. Anim. Ecol. 30: 311-338.
- Kelso, John R. M. 1973. Seasonal energy changes in walleye and their diet in West Blue Lake, Manitoba. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 102: 363-368.
- Molnar, Gyula, and Istvan Tolg. 1962. Relation between water temperature and gastric digestion of largemouth bass (<u>Micropterus salmoides</u> Lacepede). J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 19: 1005-1012.
- Noble, R. L. 1972. A method of direct estimation of total food consumption with application to young perch. Prog. Fish-Cult. 34(4): 191-194.
- Richardson, R. E. 1921. The small bottom and shore fauna of the middle and lower Illinois River and its connecting lakes, Chillicothe to Graften: its evaluation; its sources of food supply; and its relation to the fishery. Ill. Nat. Hist. Surv. Bull. 13: 359-522.
- Schneider, James C. 1973. Influence of diet and temperature on food consumption and growth by yellow perch, with supplemental observations on the bluegill. Mich. Dep. Nat. Res., Fish. Research Rep. 1802, 25 pp.
- Seaburg, K. G., and John B. Moyle. 1964. Feeding habits, digestive rates, and growth of some Minnesota warmwater fishes. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 93: 269-285.
- Shetter, David S. 1937. Contribution to the natural history of some game fishes of Michigan, particularly the brook trout, <u>Salvelinus f. fontinalis</u> (Mitchill), as determined by tagging experiments. PhD thesis, Univ. Michigan, 155 pp.

- Shetter, David S. 1957. Trout stream population study techniques employed in Michigan. <u>In</u> Symposium on evaluation of fish populations in warmwater streams. Iowa Coop. Fish. Res. Unit, Iowa State College: 64-71 (mimeo).
- Warren, C. E., and G. E. Davis. 1967. Laboratory studies on the feeding, bioenergetics, and growth of fish. pp. 175-214 <u>In</u> Shelby D. Gerking (ed.), The biological basis of freshwater fish production. Blackwell Scientific Publ., Oxford.

Report approved by W. C. Latta Typed by M. S. McClure