
FISHERIES DIVISION

TECHNICAL REPORT

Michigan's 1981 and 1982 Sport Fishery





Michigan Department of Natural Resources

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES FISHERIES DIVISION

Fisheries Technical Reports No. 85-4 and No. 85-5 December 18, 1985

MICHIGAN'S 1981 AND 1982 SPORT FISHERY

Gale C. Jamsen¹

¹A contribution of Federal Aid in Fisheries Restoration, Michigan Project F-40-R.

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES FISHERIES DIVISION

Fisheries Technical Report No. 85-5 December 18, 1985

MICHIGAN'S 1982 SPORT FISHERY

Gale C. Jamsen

SUMMARY

In 1982 licensed anglers spent approximately 20.8 million days fishing in Michigan. Great Lakes and anadromous salmonid fishing accounted for approximately 40% of the fishing effort or about 8.2 million angler days.

INTRODUCTION

Licensed anglers were surveyed by mail to learn about their sportfishing activity in 1982. Survey objectives were to measure annual and seasonal benefits (angler days) resulting from recreational fishing in Michigan, by location and type of fishing, and to investigate sampling bias.

SURVEY PROCEDURES

The survey samples were selected in the same manner as in 1981, except a series of five experimental seasonal surveys were made in addition to the usual annual survey. Residents of Wisconsin, Minnesota, and the northern one-third of Michigan were sampled at a 2% rate and the remaining license holders sampled at a 1% rate. This stratification of the nearly 1.4 million licensed anglers was done to improve the precision of estimates for the upper Great Lakes and the more lightly fished lakes and streams in the Upper Peninsula. Spouses of resident license holders and young people under 17 were again excluded because they did not need to purchase fishing licenses.

SURVEY RESULTS

The annual survey indicated that total angling effort (angler days) declined 11% from 1981, but was close to the level estimated for 1980. Sportfishing effort estimates, stratified by type within Fisheries Management Districts (Fig. 1) and by Great Lakes are given in Tables 1 and 2). They continue to serve as an index of program benefits provided by fisheries management in Michigan; however, the fish harvest estimates are, again, not presented because they are believed to be significantly biased upward. Instead, catch rates are being determined from field interviews at selected locations by the Great Lakes Monitoring Program.

The series of five experimental seasonal surveys produced the monthly distribution of fishing effort given in Table 3. Nearly two-thirds of the angling occurred from June through September, the peak time for tourism in the state.

Annual surveys were discontinued in 1983-85 due to concerns about nonsampling bias in the estimates. Experimental seasonal surveys were continued into 1984 to assess the role of bias. The findings from the bias evaluation will be given in another report. Based on these findings, angler mail surveys are expected to resume in 1986.




Figure 1. Michigan fisheries management regions (I-III) and districts (1-14).

Table 1. Estimated angler days (thousands) of fishing effort stratified by species sought and management district, 1982.

District	Great Lakes salmon and trout	Inland trout	Other	Total
1	171	122	376	669
2	33	85	372	490
3	181	233	455	869
4	82	60	626	768
5	290	193	966	1,449
6	1,133	261	1,288	2,682
7	345	350	1,291	1,986
8	79	94	1,172	1,345
9	490	77	1,560	2,127
11	264	6	1,662	1,932
12	349	60	1,697	2,106
13	12	13	1,868	1,893
14	74	37	2,403	2,514
Total	3,503	1,591	15,736	20,830

Table 2. Angler days (thousands) of fishing effort for salmon and trout on the upper Great Lakes, 1980-82.

Location	1980	1981	1982
Lake Michigan	1,287	1,730	1,326
Lake Huron	502	554	547
Lake Superior	222	220	196
Total	2,011	2,504	2,069

Table 3. Distribution (percent) of sportfishing effort by month and fishery type, 1982.

Month	g.	Great Lakes	Inland	Total
January		2	3	3
February		2	3	3
March		2	3	2
April		4	5	5
May		10	10	10
June		12	13	13
July		15	16	15
August		17	16	16
September		23	17	19
October		8	9	9
November		3,	2	2
December		2	- 3	3
Total		100	100	100

Report approved by W. C. Latta

Typed by G. M. Zurek