## STUDY PERFORMANCE REPORT

State: Michigan
Project No.: F-80-R-3
Study No.: $7 \underline{101}$
Title: Decision-support tools for managing
fisheries of inland lakes

## Period Covered: __October 1, 2001 to September 30, 2002

Study Objective: To prepare reviews of the characteristics of Michigan's inland lakes and of fisheries management of selected species in inland lakes, and to develop decision-support tools to help manage fisheries on inland lakes. One critical set of tools to be developed is methods for allocating fish among multiple fisheries that occur in the same lake, given a safe harvest level. Another objective of this study is to develop tools that help fisheries managers compare the status and potential of specific lakes and fisheries.

Summary: This year I began a review of the characteristics of Michigan's inland lakes. Tables were prepared describing the characteristics of lakes 1000 acres and larger. Figures were prepared that show the variation among lakes in certain lake characteristics. A start was made on reviewing the fisheries management of selected centrarchid species in inland lakes. No progress was made in developing methods for allocating fish among multiple fisheries that occur in the same lake.

Findings: Jobs 1, 2, 3, and 5 were scheduled for 2001-02, and progress is reported below.
Job 1. Title: Review the characteristics of Michigan's inland lakes.-I reviewed the characteristics of Michigan's inland lakes and summarized quantitative information on lake size, depth, and various water quality variables. Previous descriptions of Michigan inland lakes have been done by Hooper (1955) and Schneider (1975a, b). The following narrative attempts to briefly describe the broad patterns of variation among Michigan lakes and to explain the relation between various lake characteristics and a lake's ecology and fisheries. Lake characteristics discussed include geographic location in the state, surface area, depth, temperature, trophic state, summer phosphorus concentration, summer dissolved oxygen concentration at the bottom, transparency, alkalinity, and pH .

## Geographic Location

Inland lakes are found throughout Michigan. A map showing locations of lakes at least 10 acres in surface area produces a recognizable picture of the state, including both peninsulas and the larger islands in the Great Lakes. One consequence of this widespread distribution is that some of the variation in characteristics of lakes reflects the underlying geographic variation in surface geology, climate, topography and land use. Some of these influences are discussed below.

## Lake Surface Area and Depth

Lake surface area and depth influence almost all aspects of a lake's limnology, ecology, and fisheries. Together, area and depth determine lake volume and influence water temperature, whether a lake will stratify, mixing depth, fish assemblage, and aspects of the aquatic food web (Ragotzkie 1978; Magnuson et al. 1998; Tessier and Woodruff 2002). Lake volume, relative to the rate at which water flows into a lake, determines the replacement time of water in the lake the hydrologic turnover time, which influences the concentration of nutrients and other chemicals
in the lake. Larger lakes are more likely to have stream connections to lower lakes, to have more fish species, and to have larger populations of certain species.

Lakes have been classified on the basis of lake area. Kalff (2002) distinguished great lakes $\left(\geq 10,000 \mathrm{~km}^{2}\right.$ ), large lakes ( $10,000-100 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ), medium lakes ( $100-1 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ), small lakes ( $1-0.1 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ), large ponds ( $0.1-0.01 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ), and other ponds $\left(<0.01 \mathrm{~km}^{2}\right)$. (Note that $1 \mathrm{~km}^{2}=100 \mathrm{ha}=$ about 250 acres.) Michigan's boundary encompasses portions of some of the largest lakes in North America, designated Laurentian Great Lakes. The remaining inland lakes of Michigan are pretty good lakes.

Michigan has a very large number of small lakes, and few large lakes, and many lakes in between (Table 1, Figure 1). The total number of "lakes" in Michigan depends on the lower size limit defined for the count. Considering lakes 10 acres and larger, the current count is 6,360 lakes in Michigan. (The tourist information that refers to Michigan's 11,000 lakes must be using a lower size limit of about 4 acres.) The size distribution of Michigan lakes follows a power-law distribution (Figure 1). One consequence is that large lakes represent a large fraction of the total surface area (Figure 2). For example, according to GIS information used in this study, 64,797 water bodies have a total surface area of 864,334 acres; the 96 lakes with area at least 1,000 acres make up $43 \%$ of the total. The 6,341 lakes $\geq 10.0$ acres represent $91.1 \%$ of the total; 26,055 lakes $\geq 1.0$ acres represent $98.2 \%$ of the total.

Table 2 shows information on 96 lakes at least 1000 acres in surface area. These lakes are ranked by surface area, obtained from GIS polygon information. Maximum depth was obtained from Humphrys and Green (1962). Humphrys and Green (1962) classified lakes as to origin (Table 3); $79 \%$ were classified as natural lake or pond, $6 \%$ as artificial lake, $4 \%$ as natural lake with a dam, $4 \%$ as gravel pit or quarry pond, and $2 \%$ as fish and wildlife flooding. Trophic status (TS) was assigned by Michigan Department of Environmental Quality, Land and Water Management Division as oligotrophic, mesotrophic, eutrophic, or hypereutrophic (see below). Table 2 also indicates the Great Lakes Basin and Fisheries Management Unit (Table 4) for each lake. Under Study 674, a unique code (New_Key) was assigned to each lake based on the numbering system for counties and lakes developed by Humphrys and Green (1962).

The observed size distribution of Michigan lakes has several implications for fisheries management. One is that by managing a relatively small number of large lakes Fisheries Division can manage a relatively large percentage of the total lake area of the state. It can take a lot of effort, however, to quantitatively sample fish in these large lakes. Another implication is that there are so many small lakes that it is not feasible for Fisheries Division to manage them individually. One approach would be to develop management recommendations for categories or classes of small lakes to guide others (e.g., lake associations) in carrying out management actions. There is also a relationship between lake size and lake ownership; small lakes are more likely to be private than large lakes.

Lakes have been classified as shallow or deep, depending on whether or not they thermally stratify. The density of water decreases as temperature increases above $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Wetzel 1975). As a lake warms in the spring the lake can become thermally stratified. Wind mixes the upper water, producing a well-mixed layer of less dense, warmer water of nearly uniform temperature (the epilimnion) that floats above a layer of more dense, cooler water (the hypolimnion). The transition zone between these layers is the metalimnion or thermocline, where the water temperature decreases rapidly with depth. In a lake that is shallow the wind can mix the water all the way to the bottom. Such a lake is not thermally stratified.

In recent years, it has become apparent that shallow lakes can have alternative stable states. A shallow lake can be in either a clear-water state dominated by macrophytes or a turbid state dominated by phytoplankton (Balls et al. 1989; Scheffer 1989; Scheffer et al. 1993; Janse 1997; Jeppesen et al. 1997).

## Temperature

Temperature is one of the most important habitat variables for fish. Temperature strongly influences species composition of water bodies and fish growth rates.

Lakes have been classified as warmwater or coldwater lakes. Typically, lakes are thermally stratified in summer, and some have sufficient oxygen levels in the hypolimnion to support fish such as trout (Schneider 1975a, b).

## Trophic State, Phosphorus, and Dissolved Oxygen Concentration

Phosphorus is usually the limiting nutrient in freshwater lakes (Wetzel 1975). Because body composition of aquatic plants is relatively constant, plant growth can continue until one of the required nutrients is no longer available in the necessary proportions. Phosphorus concentration in late summer is a good indicator of the nutrient status of a lake (Carlson 1977).

Lakes have been classified according to nutrient status. Lakes that receive low amounts of nutrients are termed oligotrophic. Lakes that receive medium, high, or very high amounts of nutrients are termed mesotrophic, eutrophic, and hypereutrophic, respectively. In oligotrophic lakes the water is clear, because the concentration of phytoplankton is very low, and the oxygen concentration all the way to the bottom is high enough to support fish. In eutrophic lakes, the water is often more greenish in color, because of high phytoplankton concentrations, and by late summer, respiration and decomposition of organic matter has lowered the dissolved oxygen concentration to zero at the bottom of the lake. Vollenweider used a critical total phosphorus concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ (or 10 parts per billion, ppb) to separate oligotrophic from mesotrophic lakes, $30 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ to separate mesotrophic from eutrophic lakes, and $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ to separate eutrophic from hypereutrophic lakes (Wetzel 1975; Kalff 2002).

Biological productivity is greater in lakes that receive more nutrients. Hanson and Leggett (1982) demonstrated that lakes with higher total phosphorus concentrations had higher standing crops of fish ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ ). Nutrient status and productivity influence the type of fish community likely to be present. Lakes with high nutrients often develop low concentrations of dissolved oxygen in the hypolimnion (Figure 3). Trout, a species preferred by some anglers, are usually found in oligotrophic lakes and only rarely in eutrophic lakes because of their requirement for high concentrations of oxygen in cold water.

## Transparency

Transparency affects the foraging ability of visual predators. Walleye appear to grow best at an intermediate level of water transparency; they tend to grow more slowly at very high and very low levels of transparency. Visual feeders such as bluegill do better in lakes with high transparency; high turbidity decreases the range at which they can detect zooplankton prey. Some rough fish, such as common carp, can grow in very turbid water.

Macrophytes only grow where light level is high enough that net production is positive. The maximum depth at which macrophytes grow is therefore affected by water transparency. If the water is sufficiently turbid, submerged aquatic macrophytes do not survive (although emergent
macrophytes may persist). So water transparency influences the composition of the aquatic plant community, which can be an important feeding habitat and refuge from predation for juvenile fishes.

## Alkalinity and pH

Alkalinity measures the acid neutralizing capacity of lake water. Alkalinity refers to the quantity and kinds of compounds that shift pH to the alkaline side of neutrality (Wetzel 1975). In most freshwater lakes and streams the hydroxyl, bicarbonate, and carbonate ions represent the major buffering system. Only lakes with low alkalinity have pH substantially below 7.0 .

Fish species vary in their tolerance of low pH . Minnows are very intolerant, whereas yellow perch are more tolerant.

There is a strong spatial variation in lake alkalinity across the State. Lakes in the western Upper Peninsula tend to have the lowest alkalinity, and lakes in the southern Lower Peninsula tend to have the highest alkalinity (Figure 4). In Michigan, there are few lakes with pH low enough to affect the fish assemblage, and these are almost all found in the Upper Peninsula. In northern Wisconsin, low pH was more likely to be associated with small lake area.

Job 2. Title: Review Michigan's fisheries management of selected species.-I started a review of Michigan's fisheries management of bluegill and largemouth bass. My review started with a description of centrarchid reproduction, emphasizing bluegill, and factors influencing successful reproduction.

In Michigan, fish species in the family Centrarchidae reproduce in late spring and summer. Temperature is a strong cue for initiation of reproductive behavior. Smallmouth and largemouth bass are the first to reproduce. Bluegills usually begin to nest during mid to late May in southern Michigan.

Fishes in the family Centrarchidae give parental care (Balon 1975, 1981). Typically, the male makes a shallow bowl-like depression in the substrate using vigorous beats of his tail. Females select a male with which to mate, enter the nest, and release eggs, which are immediately fertilized by the male. The female then leaves the nest; she may mate with additional males. The eggs are adhesive and stick to the bottom of the nest. The male then continuously guards the nest for approximately 10 days (depending on temperature), until the swim-up fry are able to leave the nest.

While guarding the nest, males are particularly vulnerable to angling. Because the usual bottom debris is fanned away during nest construction, often exposing stones and gravel within a circular shape, nests are often detectable by anglers. Males do not leave the nest for more than a few seconds, even to feed. They may lose about $10-15 \%$ of their body weight during this nesting period, so they become quite hungry.

Claussen (1991) studied reproduction by bluegill in Lake Opinicon, a large lake in Ontario, and found that males were more likely to abandon their nests during periods of low temperature. The average number of bluegill swim-up fry per nests decreased as average temperature decreased. In the upper Midwest, including Michigan, reproduction of many centrarchid species, including bluegill, appears to have been disrupted by the climate changes caused by the volcanic eruption of Mount Pinatubo in the Philippines (Schneider and Lockwood 2002). This volcano erupted on 15 June 1991, producing the second largest volume of magma (about $5 \mathrm{~km}^{3}$ ) (Newhall et al. 2002) and the largest stratospheric volcanic aerosol cloud of the 20th century (Robock 2002).

The effects of this cloud caused surface air temperatures over the Northern Hemisphere to be up to $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ cooler than normal in the summer of 1992. It also caused the winter to be warmer than normal in 1991-1992 and 1992-1993 (Robock 2002). The cool summer temperatures in 1992 apparently caused many centrarchid males to abandon their nests or to forgo nest construction.

Job 3. Title: Develop models for allocating fish among multiple fisheries.-Because of other assignments, due in part to several retirements, no progress was made in developing methods for allocating fish among multiple fisheries that occur in the same lake.

Job 5. Title: Write progress report.-This progress report has been prepared.
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Table 1.-Number (N) and total area (acres) of inland lakes in different size categories in the State and within the four Great Lakes basins of 20,075 acres.

| Size Category | State |  | Erie |  | Huron |  | Michigan |  | Superior |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Total area | N | Total area | N | Total area | N | Total area | N | Total area |
| 10,000 $\leq$ A | 10 | 144,745 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 44,212 | 5 | 76,597 | 2 | 23,936 |
| $3,000 \leq \mathrm{A}<10,000$ | 19 | 111,512 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 30,478 | 13 | 77,026 | 1 | 4,008 |
| $1,000 \leq \mathrm{A}<3,000$ | 67 | 111,861 | 4 | 4,858 | 14 | 21,913 | 37 | 65,682 | 12 | 19,409 |
| $300 \leq \mathrm{A}<1,000$ | 275 | 140,655 | 27 | 14,329 | 58 | 31,830 | 149 | 72,235 | 41 | 22,261 |
| $100 \leq \mathrm{A}<300$ | 725 | 121,059 | 85 | 14,394 | 137 | 23,555 | 424 | 69,616 | 79 | 13,494 |
| $30 \leq \mathrm{A}<100$ | 1,855 | 100,357 | 216 | 11,608 | 381 | 20,451 | 1,049 | 56,515 | 209 | 11,782 |
| $10 \leq \mathrm{A}<30$ | 3,391 | 57,988 | 406 | 7,118 | 712 | 11,989 | 1,805 | 31,091 | 468 | 7,790 |
| $3 \leq \mathrm{A}<10$ | 7,293 | 39,637 | 851 | 4,655 | 1,469 | 7,836 | 3,664 | 20,140 | 1,309 | 7,005 |
| $1 \leq \mathrm{A}<3$ | 12,421 | 21,364 | 1,445 | 2,488 | 2,515 | 4,330 | 6,149 | 10,604 | 2,312 | 3,942 |
| A $<1$ | 38,740 | 15,888 | 4,564 | 1,919 | 8,439 | 3,311 | 19,642 | 8,075 | 6,095 | 2,583 |
| Subtotal for $\mathrm{A} \geq 10$ | 6,342 | 788,176 | 738 | 52,307 | 1,310 | 184,428 | 3,482 | 448,762 | 812 | 102,679 |
| Total | 64,796 | 865,066 | 7,598 | 61,370 | 13,733 | 199,905 | 32,937 | 487,582 | 10,528 | 116,209 |

Table 2.-Lakes at least 1000 acres in surface area in Michigan. Lakes are ranked by surface area, obtained from GIS information. Maximum depth ( $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {max }}$, feet), origin code ( O , see Table 3 ) and Town Range Section (TRS) were primarily obtained from Humphrys and Green (1962). Trophic status (TS) was assigned by MDEQ LWMD. Information on presence of a boat access site (Boat) was obtained from MDNR Parks and Recreation Division. GB and FMU indicate the Great Lakes Basin and Fisheries Management Unit (see Table 4). The New_Key code is a unique value for each lake, and is based on the numbering system for counties and lakes developed by Humphrys and Green (1962).

| Rank | Lake Name | County | Acres | $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {max }}$ | TS | O | Boat | TRS | GB | FMU | New_Key |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Houghton Lake | Roscommon | 20,075 | 20 | E | 2 | Yes | T22NR3WS2 | M | LMC | 72-78 |
| 2 | Torch Lake | Antrim | 18,722 | 297 | O | 2 | Yes | T28NR8WS4 | M | LMC | 5-51 |
| 3 | Burt Lake | Cheboygan | 17,395 | 73 | O | 2 | Yes | T35NR3WS1 | H | LHN | 16-193 |
| 4 | Charlevoix, Lake | Charlevoix | 17,268 | 120 | O | 1 | Yes | T32NR7WS3 | M | LMC | 15-21 |
| 5 | Mullett Lake | Cheboygan | 16,704 | 147 | O | 2 | Yes | T35NR2WS3 | H | LHN | 16-192 |
| 6 | Gogebic, Lake | Ontonagon | 13,127 | 37 | M | 5 | Yes | T46NR42WS3 | S | LSW | 27-966 |
| 7 | Portage Lake | Houghton | 10,808 | 54 | M | 1 | Yes | T53NR33WS3 | S | LSW | 31-993 |
| 8 | Manistique Lake | Mackinac | 10,346 | 25 | M | 1 |  | T44NR11WS6 | M | LMN | 48-53 |
| 9 | Higgins Lake | Roscommon | 10,186 | 141 | O | 2 | Yes | T23NR4WS10 | M | LMC | 72-117 |
| 10 | Black Lake | Cheboygan | 10,114 | 50 | M | 5 | Yes | T35NR1ES1 | H | LHN | 16-144 |
| 11 | Crystal Lake | Benzie | 9,869 | 162 | O | 2 |  | T26NR15WS6 | M | LMC | 10-42 |
| 12 | Hubbard Lake | Alcona | 8,768 | 97 | O | 5 | Yes | T27NR7ES1 | H | LHN | 1-165 |
| 13 | Indian Lake | Schoolcraft | 8,647 | 18 | E | 1 |  | T41NR16WS3 | M | LMN | 75-69 |
| 14 | Leelanau, Lake | Leelanau | 8,607 | 62 | O | 2 | Yes | T28NR12WS1 | M | LMC | 45-3 |
| 15 | Elk Lake | Grand Traverse | 8,195 | 192 | O | 2 | Yes | T28NR9WS2 | M | LMC | 5-2 |
| 16 | Fletcher Pond | Alpena | 6,819 |  | E | 5 | Yes | T30NR5ES2 | H | LHN | 4-4 |
| 17 | Glen Lake | Leelanau | 6,286 | 130 | O | 2 | Yes | T28NR13WS6 | M | LMC | 45-9 |
| 18 | Grand Lake | Presque Isle | 5,823 |  | O | 2 | Yes | T33NR8ES4 | H | LHN | 71-87 |
| 19 | Long Lake | Alpena | 5,342 | 33 | M | 2 | Yes | T32NR8ES15 | H | LHN | 4-42 |
| 20 | Michigamme Reservoir | Iron | 4,892 |  | M | 5 |  | T44NR31WS14 | M | LMN | 36-204 |
| 21 | Hamlin Lake | Mason | 4,622 | 86 | E | 2 | Yes | T19NR17WS5 | M | LMC | 53-155 |
| 22 | Walloon Lake | Charlevoix | 4,567 | 100 | O | 2 |  | T33NR5WS6 | M | LMC | 15-25 |
| 23 | Vieux Desert, Lac ${ }^{1}$ | Gogebic | 4,370 | 38 | M | 5 | Yes | T43NR38WS24 | M | LMN | 27-3 |
| 24 | Brevoort Lake | Mackinac | 4,315 | 30 | E | 2 | Yes | T41NR5WS2 | M | LMN | 49-45 |
| 25 | Michigamme, Lake | Marquette | 4,292 | 72 | O | 5 |  | T47NR30WS9 | M | LMN | 7-199 |
| 26 | Muskegon Lake | Muskegon | 4,232 | 70 | E | 1 | Yes | T10NR16WS18 | M | LMC | 61-66 |
| 27 | South Manistique Lake | Mackinac | 4,133 | 29 | E | 2 | Yes | T44NR11WS18 | M | LMN | 49-280 |
| 28 | Siskiwit Lake | Keweenaw | 4,008 | 142 |  | 1 |  | T65NR35WS16 | S | LSW | 42-165 |
| 29 | Douglas Lake | Cheboygan | 3,727 |  |  | 1 | Yes | T37NR3WS17 | H | LHN | 16-252 |
| 30 | Long Lake | Grand Traverse | 2,911 | 88 | O | 1 |  | T27NR12WS9 | M | LMC | 28-214 |
| 31 | Hardy Dam Pond | Newaygo | 2,773 |  | M | 5 |  | T13NR10WS6 | M | LMC | 54-80 |
| 32 | Skegemog, Lake | Kalkaska | 2,767 | 30 | M | 1 |  | T28NR9WS13 | M | LMC | 5-1 |
| 33 | Dead Riv. Storage Basin | Marquette | 2,737 |  | O | 5 | Yes | T48NR26WS6 | S | LSW | 52-1263 |
| 34 | Gun Lake | Barry | 2,735 | 68 | M | 2 |  | T2NR10WS4 | M | LMS | 3-95 |
| 35 | Mitchell, Lake | Wexford | 2,649 |  | E | 2 | Yes | T21NR9WS6 | M | LMC | 83-4 |
| 36 | White Lake | Muskegon | 2,536 | 80 | E | 1 | Yes | T11NR17WS5 | M | LMC | 61-125 |
| 37 | Platte Lake | Benzie | 2,532 | 90 | M | 1 | Yes | T26NR15WS1 | M | LMC | 10-39 |
| 38 | Saint Helen, Lake | Roscommon | 2,416 |  | M | 2 | Yes | T23NR1WS15 | H | LHN | 72-95 |
| 39 | Torch Lake | Houghton | 2,401 |  | M | 1 | Yes | T55NR32WS5 | S | LSW | 31-1178 |
| 40 | Crooked Lake | Emmet | 2,352 | 68 | M | 2 | Yes | T35NR4WS15 | H | LHN | 24-27 |
| 41 | Peavy Pond | Iron | 2,348 |  |  | 5 |  | T42NR31WS4 | M | LMN | 36-32 |
| 42 | Bond Falls Flowage | Ontonagon | 2,127 | 80 | M | 5 |  | T46NR38WS5 | S | LSW | 66-31 |
| 43 | Portage Lake | Manistee | 2,116 | 60 | M | 1 | Yes | T23NR16WS22 | M | LMC | 51-142 |
| 44 | Gull Lake | Kalamazoo | 2,046 |  | M | 1 |  | T1NR9WS31 | M | LMS | 8-180 |
| 45 | Independence, Lake | Marquette | 2,041 | 33 | E | 2 |  | T51NR26WS19 | S | LSW | 52-1703 |
| 46 | Missaukee, Lake | Missaukee | 2,035 | 28 | M | 1 |  | T22NR7WS6 | M | LMC | 57-45 |

Table 2.-Continued.

| Rank | Lake Name | County | Acres | $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {max }}$ | TS | O | Boat | TRS | GB | FMU | New_Key |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47 | Milakokia Lake | Mackinac | 2,031 | 26 | M | 2 | Yes | T42NR12WS2 | M | LMN | 49-127 |
|  | Otsego Lake | Otsego | 2,013 | 23 | M | 1 | Yes | T29NR3WS4 | H | LHN | 69-61 |
|  | Green Lake | Grand Traverse | 1,995 | 102 | O | 1 | Yes | T25NR12WS4 | M | LMC | 28-56 |
|  | Duck Lake | Grand Traverse | 1,945 | 98 | O | 1 | Yes | T26NR12WS14 | M | LMC | 28-124 |
|  | Margrethe, Lake | Crawford | 1,922 | 65 | M | 2 | Yes | T26NR4WS8 | M | LMC | 20-44 |
|  | Paradise, Lake | Emmet | 1,912 | 17 | E | 2 |  | T38NR3WS18 | M | LMC | 16-302 |
|  | Hodenpyl Dam Pond | Wexford | 1,902 |  | M | 3 |  | T23NR13WS24 | M | LMC | 51-126 |
|  | Bear Lake | Manistee | 1,874 | 24 | E | 1 | Yes | T23NR15WS4 | M | LMC | 51-132 |
|  | Macatawa, Lake | Ottawa | 1,801 | 40 | H | 1 | Yes | T5NR15WS25 | M | LMS | 70-36 |
|  | Bellaire, Lake | Antrim | 1,789 | 99 | O | 2 | Yes | T29NR8WS1 | M | LMC | 5-50 |
| 57 | North Manistique Lake | Luce | 1,709 | 50 | O | 2 | Yes | T45NR11WS17 | M | LMN | 48-49 |
| 58 | Allegan, Lake | Allegan | 1,695 |  | H | 5 | Yes | T2NR13WS18 | M | LMS | 3-162 |
| 59 | Foote Dam Pond | Iosco | 1,695 |  | O | 5 |  | T24NR7ES13 | H | LHN | 35-205 |
|  | Martiny Lake | Mecosta | 1,663 |  | E | 7 | Yes | T15NR8WS5 | H | LHS | 54-90 |
| 61 | Cooke Dam Pond | Iosco | 1,635 |  |  | 5 |  | T24NR6ES23 | H | LHN | 35-201 |
| 62 | Tawas Lake | Iosco | 1,616 | 5 | E | 1 | Yes | T22NR8ES4 | H | LHS | 35-54 |
| 63 | Coldwater Lake | Branch | 1,581 | 87 | M | 1 | Yes | T7SR6WS22 | M | LMS | 12-90 |
| 64 | Intermediate Lake | Antrim | 1,571 | 82 | M | 2 | Yes | T30NR8WS1 | M | LMC | 5-75 |
| 65 | Cleveland Cliffs Basin | Alger | 1,489 |  | E | 5 | Yes | T45NR20WS6 | S | LSE | 2-230 |
| 66 | Gratiot, Lake | Keweenaw | 1,452 | 78 | O | 1 | Yes | T57NR30WS3 | S | LSW | 42-36 |
| 67 | McDonald Lake | Schoolcraft | 1,441 |  | M | 1 |  | T41NR13WS5 | M | LMN | 75-30 |
|  | Betsy Lake | Luce | 1,426 | 30 |  | 1 |  | T49NR8WS13 | S | LSE | 48-443 |
| 69 | Silver Lake Basin | Marquette | 1,425 | 70 |  | 6 |  | T49NR28WS6 | S | LSW | 52-1505 |
| 70 | Van Etten Lake | Iosco | 1,409 | 32 | H | 2 | Yes | T24NR9ES6 | H | LHN | 35-219 |
| 71 | Sanford Lake | Midland | 1,402 | 20 | E | 5 | Yes | T15NR1WS1 | H | LHS | 56-14 |
| 72 | Devils Lake | Lenawee | 1,312 | 63 | M | 2 | Yes | T5SR1ES26 | E | LE | 46-45 |
| 73 | West Twin Lake | Montmorency | 1,306 | 35 | M | 1 | Yes | T29NR1ES19 | H | LHN | 60-19 |
| 74 | Cass Lake | Oakland | 1,279 | 123 | M | 2 |  | T2NR9ES2 | E | LE | 63-1337 |
| 75 | Belleville Lake | Wayne | 1,253 | 16 | H | 5 | Yes | T3SR7ES24 | E | LE | 82-157 |
| 76 | La Belle, Lac | Keweenaw | 1,205 | 39 | M | 1 | Yes | T57NR29WS3 | S | LSW | 42-33 |
| 77 | Holloway Reservoir | Genesee | 1,173 |  | H | 6 |  | T8NR8ES1 | H | LHS | 25-125 |
| 78 | Cadillac, Lake | Wexford | 1,172 | 28 | E | 2 | Yes | T21NR9WS4 | M | LMC | 83-3 |
| 79 | Wixom Lake | Gladwin | 1,142 | 40 | E | 5 | Yes | T17NR1WS36 | H | LHS | 26-3 |
| 80 | Croton Dam Pond | Newaygo | 1,129 | 34 | E | 5 |  | T12NR11WS4 | M | LMC | 62-61 |
|  | Millecoquins Lake | Mackinac | 1,123 |  | M | 1 | Yes | T43NR10WS1 | M | LMN | 49-262 |
| 82 | Austin Lake | Kalamazoo | 1,102 | 14 | M | 1 | Yes | T3SR11WS23 | M | LMS | 39-263 |
| 83 | Spring Lake | Ottawa | 1,097 | 47 | E | 1 |  | T8NR16WS1 | M | LMS | 61-10 |
| 84 | Tippy Dam Pond | Manistee | 1,086 |  |  | 5 | Yes | T21NR13WS4 | M | LMC | 51-5 |
| 85 | Chicagon Lake | Iron | 1,083 |  | M | 2 | Yes | T42NR34WS1 | M | LMN | 36-137 |
| 86 | Pickerel Lake | Emmet | 1,082 | 21 | M | 1 |  | T35NR4WS21 | H | LHN | 24-31 |
| 87 | Greenwood Reservoir | Marquette | 1,073 | 38 | M | 3 |  | T47NR28WS18 | M | LMN | 52-1807 |
| 88 | Desor, Lake | Keweenaw | 1,060 | 55 |  | 1 |  | T64NR37WS1 | S | LSW | 42-167 |
| 89 | Empire Mine Tailings B | Marquette | 1,058 |  |  | 18 |  | T46NR27WS11 | M | LMN | 52-1813 |
| 90 | Moss Lake | Delta | 1,054 | 5 |  | 1 |  | T40NR19WS3 | M | LMN | 21-105 |
| 91 | Manistee Lake | Manistee | 1,051 |  | E | 1 | Yes | T21NR16WS6 | M | LMC | 51-43 |
| 92 | Diamond Lake | Cass | 1,041 | 64 | M | 1 | Yes | T6SR14WS30 | M | LMS | 14-223 |
| 93 | Perch Lake | Iron | 1,038 | 14 | M | 1 | Yes | T46NR35WS22 | S | LSW | 36-1529 |
| 94 | Kent Lake | Livingston | 1,015 | 38 | E | 3 |  | T1NR6ES1 | E | LE | 63-2 |
| 95 | East Unit, Crow Is. R. A. | Saginaw | 1,009 |  |  | 7 |  | T13NR5ES28 | H | LHS | 73-75 |
| 96 | Thousand Island Lake | Gogebic | 1,009 | 81 | M | 2 | Yes | T44NR41WS1 | S | LSW | 27-265 |

[^0] 1,532 acres in Michigan and 2,838 acres in Wisconsin.

Table 3.-Codes and descriptions for types of lake origin for 6,298 inland lakes. Also shown are the number of water bodies, by Fisheries Management Unit (Table 4), for which codes have been assigned for lakes 10 acres and larger. Almost all assignments were done by Humphrys and Green (1962), who developed the coding system.

| Origin <br> code | Description | State <br> Number | LE | LHN | LHS | LMC | LMN | LMS | LSE | LSW |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Natural lakes and ponds | 4974 | 421 | 519 | 442 | 788 | 916 | 1159 | 252 | 477 |
| 2 | Natural lake with a dam | 264 | 44 | 22 | 38 | 48 | 48 | 35 | 9 | 20 |
| 3 | Artificial lake | 378 | 113 | 33 | 77 | 50 | 23 | 71 | 2 | 9 |
| 4 | Artificial pond | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 5 | Hydro-electric reservoir | 91 | 11 | 16 | 14 | 17 | 11 | 10 | 1 | 11 |
| 6 | Municipal water supply |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | reservoir | 20 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| 7 | Fish and wildlife flooding | 120 | 4 | 19 | 21 | 21 | 37 | 11 | 4 | 3 |
| 8 | Mill pond | 43 | 15 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | Gravel pit or quarry pond | 251 | 95 | 12 | 44 | 11 | 7 | 73 | 0 | 9 |
| 10 | Marl lake - dredging has |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | created or enlarged the lake | 14 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | Fish hatchery pond | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | Underwater borrow pit | 37 | 14 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | Recharge basin | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | Settling pond | 26 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 15 | Beaver pond | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 16 | Sewage disposal basin | 55 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 14 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 2 |
| 17 | Fish breeding pond | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | Flood control reservoir | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | Brine storage basin | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | Swamp | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | Tailings pond | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | Marsh | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | Canal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 24 | Drain | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 | Bog | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 4.-Description of codes for Great Lakes Basin (GB) and Fisheries Management Unit (FMU).

| GB code | Great Lakes Basin | FMU code | Fisheries Management Unit |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| E | Lake Erie | LE | Lake Erie Management Unit |
| H | Lake Huron | LHN | Northern Lake Huron Management Unit |
|  |  | LHS | Southern Lake Huron Management Unit |
| M | Lake Michigan | LMN | Northern Lake Michigan Management Unit |
|  |  | LMC | Central Lake Michigan Management Unit |
|  |  | LMS | Southern Lake Michigan Management Unit |
| S | Lake Superior | LSW | Western Lake Superior Management Unit |
|  |  | LSE | Eastern Lake Superior Management Unit |



Figure 1.-The size distribution of Michigan lakes follows a power-law distribution. There are many small lakes and few large lakes. The solid line indicates the proportion of lakes larger than a given size. The reference dotted line has a slope of -1 .


Figure 2.-Cumulative lake area versus lake-size rank. Total surface area for 64,797 inland lakes is 864,334 acres, according to GIS information used in this study. The largest inland lake (rank 1) is Houghton Lake, with a surface area of 20,075 acres, representing 2.3\% of the total for the State. Vertical lines indicate rank for lakes of a specified size. The 10 lakes $\geq 10,000$ acres are $16.7 \%$ of total surface area; 96 lakes $\geq 1,000$ acres are $43 \%$ of total surface area; 213 lakes $\geq 500$ acres are $52 \%$ of total surface area; 561 lakes $\geq 200$ acres are $64 \%$ of total surface area; 1,095 lakes $\geq 100$ acres are $72.8 \%$ of total surface area. The 6,341 lakes $\geq 10.0$ acres (only some of which are shown) represent $91.1 \%$ of total surface area; 26,055 lakes $\geq 1.0$ acres represent $98.2 \%$ of total surface area.


Figure 3.-Cumulative distribution functions for summer dissolved oxygen concentration ( $\mathrm{DO}, \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) at the bottom of Michigan lakes, by Fisheries Management Unit. The lines represent the proportion of lakes having average summer DO concentrations less than or equal to a particular value. Measurements made by MI DEQ for each of 688 lakes were obtained from the U.S. EPA, STORET database. All measurements for a given lake at the bottom in August or September were averaged.


Figure 4.-Cumulative distribution functions for alkalinity in 719 Michigan lakes, by Fisheries Management Unit. Lines represent the proportion of lakes having average alkalinity less than or equal to a particular value. Alkalinity ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ ) indicates the concentration of compounds (typically, bicarbonates, carbonates, and hydroxides) that shift pH to the alkaline side of neutrality (Wetzel 1975). Measurements made by MI DEQ for each of 719 lakes were obtained from U.S. EPA STORET database. All measurements for a given lake were averaged.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lac Vieux Desert, 4370 acres in area, is on the Michigan-Wisconsin border, with approximately

