## STUDY PERFORMANCE REPORT

State: Michigan
Project No.: F-81-R-1
Study No.: 436
Title: Vital Statistics of walleye in Saginaw Bay

## Period Covered: <br> October 1, 1999 to September 30, 2000

Study Objective: To determine exploitation, abundance, growth, mortality, movement, and recruitment for the walleye population in Saginaw Bay.

Summary: A total of 3,299 walleye was tagged in 2000 in the Tittabawassee River. These included 300 reward tags. Returns of reward tags will be used to calculate a correction factor in the coming year for non-reporting. The sex composition of walleye collected for tagging in 2000 was skewed towards males. The age and size structures of male walleye in the spawning migration have plateaued, although mean age of females has continued to increase. Growth rate of walleye in the spawning migration continues to be very fast, indicating the bay's population is still below carrying capacity. A total of 395 tags was reported by anglers in 1999, representing 14 year classes. The tag recovery software, ESTIMATE, was again used to analyze tag returns. The tag recovery rate was $4.20 \%$ for 1999 , yielding a corresponding corrected exploitation rate of $11.5 \%$. Total annual survival for 1998 (the most recent year estimated) was $71.1 \%$. Age structure of the bay's open-water fishery reflected three weak year classes: 1992, 1993, and 1996.

## Job 1. Title: Tag walleye.

Findings: In 2000, a total of 3,299 serially-numbered monel tags was applied to the jaws of walleye below Dow Dam on the Tittabawassee River, a tributary to Saginaw Bay (Table 1). Walleye were collected with 230 -volt DC electrofishing gear. We used a single boat and one or two tagging crews. Over 1,000 walleye were typically tagged per day. Tagging spanned about four days of work in late March. The collection effort also doubled as a spawn collection opportunity for the Michigan Department of Natural Resources Hatchery system. Fingerlings and fry reared from spawn collected annually from Tittabawassee River walleye are used for stocking in the Lake Huron watershed. The 2000 tagging effort brought the study total to 68,097 walleye tagged since 1981.

Biological data were collected from all walleye handled as part of the tagging program. Fish were measured for total length to the nearest mm . Tagging was limited to fish meeting or exceeding the $381-\mathrm{mm}$ minimum length limit in the fishery. Fish were externally sexed: mature males were ripe and easily identified; fish identified as females could have included some immature individuals of both sexes. Scales were taken from all walleye tagged. A subsample of these scales from the height of the run was aged. A single day of scale collection was selected for aging when the sex ratio most closely approximated 1:1.

## Job 2. Title: Determine tag correction factor.

Findings: The tagging effort in 2000 included 300 monel tags (included in the 3,299 tagged total) that indicated a $\$ 100$ reward for their return. The return rate of these tags with the added monetary incentive, will be compared to normal tags with no reward. The difference will constitute a correction factor for non-reporting by anglers. The correction factor will then be
applied to future tag recovery rate estimates. The correction factor can not be calculated until after all tag reporting is complete for the 2000 tagging year (March 2000 through March 2001; this factor will be calculated and reported in 2001).

All anglers returning or reporting a tag were sent a letter of explanation and appreciation. This practice has been in place since the inception of the study. Anglers producing a reward tag will have a check included with their letter. A similar study is underway in Lake Erie and the Great Lakes Fishery Commission is coordinating dispensing of Michigan Department of Natural Resources reward funds for both projects.

## Job 3. Title: Analyze data and prepare performance and final reports.

Findings: The sex composition of walleye collected from the spawning migration in the Tittabawassee River was strongly skewed towards male fish in 2000 (Table 2). Mean total length of fish from the spawning migration has not changed appreciably in recent years (Table 2). The spawning migration of walleye in the Tittabawassee River has been maturing since its resurgence in the early 1980s and these mean lengths reflected that trend.

The age structure of walleye from the migration also reflected the maturing of the population. Mean age increased in 2000 for female fish but plateaued for males (Table 3). The 1992, 1993, and 1996 year classes continued to make a weaker showing in the age structure in 2000 relative to those ages in previous years. The strong 1997 and 1998 year classes detected in the open water population under Michigan Federal Aid Study 466 have not yet recruited to the spawning migration in the Tittabawassee River (Table 3; Table 4).

The growth rate of walleye in the spawning migration, as determined by mean length at age, was very fast compared to the state average reported by Schneider et al. (2000) (Table 4). The fast growth rate of Saginaw Bay walleye, which has long been documented under Michigan Federal Aid Study 466, indicates the population is well below carrying capacity of the bay's habitat and forage base (Fielder et al. 2000). Walleye growth rate has been a primary means of evaluating the status of recovery of the Saginaw Bay walleye population (Fielder et al. 2000).

In 1999, a total of 395 tags, spanning 14 year classes, was reported by anglers (Table 5). Using the tag-recovery program, ESTIMATE-Model 1 (for year-specific survival, fishing, and reporting rates) (Brownie et al. 1985), the following values were estimated.

| 1999 recovery rate (percent) | 4.20 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $95 \%$ confidence interval | $3.48-4.92$ |
| 1998 survival rate (percent) | 71.1 |
| $95 \%$ confidence interval | $53.8-88.4$ |
|  |  |
| Mean adult life span after tagging (years) | 2.44 |
| $95 \%$ confidence interval | $2.32-2.57$ |

Recovery rates reported here and in Table 5 represent year-specific rates from the ESTIMATE analysis and are the most up-to-date values. These may differ slightly from values previously reported for this study. The mean recovery rate for all years since 1984 was $3.36 \%$ (Table 5). Similarly, survival estimates used to determine total annual mortality rate (Table 6) are year specific and improve with reporting over time. Exploitation rate was estimated by expanding the year-specific recovery rate by a correction factor (for nonreporting) of 2.73, determined for Lake

Erie (R. Haas, Michigan Department of Natural Resources, personal communication). This correction factor is dated and not specific to Saginaw Bay. Beginning in 2001, tag return rates for Saginaw Bay will be expanded by the correction factor being determined under Job 2 of this study.

Exploitation of walleye in Saginaw Bay increased to its highest rate in six years in 1999 (Table 6). The increase, however, came in a year when open water walleye harvest and catch rate declined (G. Rakoczy, Michigan Department of Natural Resources, personal communication). Total annual mortality derived from the ESTIMATE survival estimates declined slightly in 1998, the most recent value calculable with ESTIMATE (Table 6). Age structure of the walleye harvest in Saginaw Bay was available from biological data collected as part of Michigan's Great Lakes Creel Survey program (Michigan Federal Aid Study 427; G. Rakoczy, Michigan Department of Natural Resources, unpublished data; Table 6). The weak 1992, 1993, and 1996 year classes were fully recruited to the fishery. The strong 1997 year class recruited to the fishery in 1999 but the even stronger 1998 year class did not.

More background and the history of this study can be found in Keller et al. (1987) and Mrozinski et al. (1991) who summarized results through 1988. Fielder et al. (2000) summarized results from 1989 through 1997 and related the findings to other work on Saginaw Bay including movement based on tag returns.

Analysis of the 2000 fishing season tag returns will take place early in 2001 and will include the calculation of the correction factor.
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Table 1.-Number of walleye tagged in the Saginaw Bay system, by site, 1981-2000.

| Site | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | $2000{ }^{\text {d }}$ | Total |
| Tittabawassee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dow Dam | 400 | 722 | 3,436 | 3,548 | 3,335 | 2,923 | 6,020 | 4,036 | 2,494 | 2,488 | 3,079 | 2,995 | 2,989 | 2,999 | 2,970 | 2,992 | 2,993 | 2,490 | 2,999 | 3,299 | 56,208 |
| Sanford Dam | - | - | - | - | 531 | 608 | - | - | 497 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1,636 |
| Other rivers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kawkawlin River | - | - | 126 | 112 | - | - | 56 | - | 74 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 368 |
| AuGres River | - | - | - | - | 174 | 59 | 215 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 448 |
| Saginaw River | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $115^{\text {a }}$ | - | 418 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 533 |
| Flint River ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - | - | _ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2,994 | 2,997 | 2,993 | 5,991 |
| Saginaw Bay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumers Power | - | - | 10 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 207 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 217 |
| Pt. AuGres | - | - | - | 343 | 60 | 511 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 914 |
| Catfish Hole ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | - | - | - | - | - | 529 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 529 |
| Pinconning | - | - | - | 56 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 56 |
| Sand Point | - | - | - | 89 | - | - | 1,108 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,197 |
| Total | 400 | 722 | 3,572 | 4,148 | 4,100 | 4,630 | 7,399 | 4,151 | 3,272 | 2,906 | 3,079 | 2,995 | 2,989 | 2,999 | 2,970 | 2,992 | 2,993 | 5,987 | 5,996 | 6,292 | 68,097 |

${ }^{a}$ Tagged on May 7, 1988, in Saginaw River at Wickes Park during a walleye tournament.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Returns analyzed and reported separately and not included in estimate model analysis.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ A 19 -foot deep depression about seven miles southwest of Pt. AuGres in Grid 1507 (includes 98 tagged).
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Includes 300 reward-tagged fish.

Table 2.-Average total length (mm) of walleye collected by electrofishing below Dow Dam, Tittabawassee River, March-April 1981-2000.

| Year | Female |  | Male |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Length | Number | Length | Number | Length | Number |
| 1981 | 528 | 87 | 350 | 272 | 394 | 399 |
| 1982 | 516 | 179 | 452 | 513 | 467 | 697 |
| 1983 | 549 | 2,082 | 498 | 1,300 | 528 | 3,413 |
| 1984 | 584 | 1,052 | 472 | 2,421 | 505 | 3,540 |
| 1985 | 531 | 1,322 | 457 | 1,662 | 490 | 2,984 |
| 1986 | 536 | 1,370 | 465 | 2,023 | 493 | 3,574 |
| 1987 | 546 | 1,736 | 472 | 3,829 | 485 | 5,976 |
| 1988 | 582 | 549 | 477 | 3,338 | 490 | 4,033 |
| 1989 | 561 | 1,774 | 485 | 1,244 | 528 | 3,064 |
| 1990 | 582 | 972 | 493 | 1,481 | 528 | 2,467 |
| 1991 | 584 | 2,232 | 488 | 843 | 559 | 3,079 |
| 1992 | 610 | 1,491 | 483 | 1,497 | 556 | 2,995 |
| 1993 | 582 | 1,323 | 488 | 1,666 | 531 | 2,989 |
| 1994 | 599 | 1,452 | 531 | 1,534 | 564 | 2,999 |
| 1995 | 589 | 962 | 538 | 2,003 | 556 | 2,970 |
| 1996 | 627 | 1,376 | 556 | 1,614 | 589 | 2,992 |
| 1997 | 630 | 1,905 | 554 | 1,088 | 604 | 2,993 |
| 1998 | 589 | 1,170 | 544 | 1,311 | 564 | 2,489 |
| 1999 | 620 | 957 | 549 | 2,031 | 569 | 2,995 |
| 2000 | 630 | 531 | 540 | 2,756 | 555 | 3,299 |

Table 3.-Age composition (percent) of walleye sampled from Saginaw Bay tributaries during spring electrofishing, 1988-2000.

|  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & \text { age } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14+ |  |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | - | - | 4.0 | 18.5 | 32.8 | 25.7 | 10.5 | 5.7 | 3.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 5.5 |
| Male | - | 0.5 | 29.5 | 22.8 | 25.5 | 14.5 | 3.8 | 2.3 | 1.1 | - | - | - | - | - | 4.5 |
| 1989 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | - | - | 1.5 | 41.4 | 27.3 | 23.1 | 5.7 | 1.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4.9 |
| Male | - | 0.8 | 5.8 | 58.5 | 20.4 | 8.2 | 4.4 | 1.2 | 0.6 | - | - | - | - | - | 4.5 |
| 1990 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 37.1 | 34.7 | 22.9 | 3.6 | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | - | 5.9 |
| Male | - | 3.1 | 5.0 | 14.0 | 49.2 | 21.1 | 7.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | - | 5.0 |
| 1991 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | - | - | 0.1 | 18.8 | 19.2 | 45.7 | 11.5 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 0.6 | - | - | - | - | 5.7 |
| Male | - | 0.1 | 43.8 | 9.6 | 19.6 | 20.5 | 3.6 | 2.6 | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | - | 4.4 |
| 1992 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | - | 0.1 | 0.0 | 9.4 | 14.5 | 12.1 | 17.9 | 13.7 | 10.2 | 12.9 | 4.6 | 3.0 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 7.5 |
| Male | - | 0.6 | 19.5 | 30.8 | 17.4 | 17.6 | 11.4 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | - | - | - | 4.8 |
| 1993 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | - | - | 1.6 | 13.7 | 31.8 | 11.7 | 18.6 | 14.6 | 6.5 | 1.2 | 0.3 | - | - | - | 6.1 |
| Male | - | - | 33.3 | 25.6 | 14.2 | 12.6 | 9.0 | 2.9 | 1.1 | 1.3 | - | - | - | - | 4.6 |
| 1994 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | - | - | 1.3 | 17.3 | 32.7 | 16.0 | 7.7 | 12.2 | 7.7 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 0.6 | - | - | 6.0 |
| Male | - | - | 4.9 | 18.9 | 12.8 | 10.4 | 13.4 | 17.1 | 12.8 | 4.9 | 1.2 | - | - | - | 6.5 |
| 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | - | - | - | 9.4 | 53.1 | 13.4 | 9.1 | 7.1 | 3.9 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 0.4 | - | - | 5.8 |
| Male | - | - | 1.3 | 9.0 | 20.5 | 21.0 | 12.7 | 14.0 | 12.5 | 7.6 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.2 | - | 6.7 |
| 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | - | - | - | 0.2 | 9.1 | 18.4 | 22.6 | 13.1 | 12.6 | 15.9 | 6.9 | 1.3 | - | - | 7.8 |
| Male | - | - | 0.6 | 0.8 | 6.3 | 16.1 | 18.9 | 21.9 | 18.4 | 13.0 | 3.1 | 0.9 | - | - | 7.8 |
| 1997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | - | - | 0.4 | 4.1 | 1.3 | 11.8 | 26.8 | 22.9 | 12.4 | 8.4 | 7.1 | 4.9 | - | - | 7.9 |
| Male | - | - | - | 1.5 | 0.3 | 15.2 | 23.6 | 27.3 | 16.1 | 9.2 | 4.0 | 2.0 | - | 0.6 | 7.9 |
| 1998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | - | - | 1.7 | 22.8 | 11.0 | 6.6 | 11.3 | 19.6 | 12.8 | 7.3 | 4.0 | 2.7 | 0.3 | - | 7.0 |
| Male | - | - | 6.8 | 9.3 | 3.4 | 4.8 | 16.4 | 22.7 | 17.7 | 10.3 | 6.2 | 1.5 | 0.9 | - | 7.6 |
| 1999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | - | - | 0.4 | 8.0 | 13.3 | 4.9 | 4.5 | 11.4 | 21.2 | 18.6 | 9.8 | 6.8 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 8.3 |
| Male | - | 0.6 | 1.7 | 13.2 | 8.5 | 5.2 | 7.4 | 23.5 | 19.8 | 12.4 | 4.5 | 1.2 | 0.8 | - | 7.6 |
| 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | - | - | - | 0.6 | 11.2 | 14.9 | 10.6 | 4.3 | 13.0 | 20.5 | 13.7 | 8.1 | 2.5 | - | 8.7 |
| Male | - | 4.4 | 11.7 | 2.2 | 9.0 | 11.4 | 5.8 | 8.2 | 21.8 | 14.1 | 8.3 | 2.5 | 0.6 | - | 7.4 |

Table 4.-Mean total length (mm) at age of walleye from tagging operation, Tittabawassee River, spring 1997-2000.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & \text { class } \end{aligned}$ | Age | Male |  | Female |  | Male |  |  | Female |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lengt h | Number | Lengt h | Number | Age | Lengt h | Number | Lengt h | Number |
|  | 1997 |  |  |  |  | 1998 |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 2 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 3 | 432 | 44 | 495 | 10 |
| 1994 | 3 | - | 0 | 521 | 2 | 4 | 478 | 60 | 523 | 137 |
| 1993 | 4 | 508 | 5 | 528 | 19 | 5 | 505 | 22 | 559 | 66 |
| 1992 | 5 | 513 | 1 | 556 | 6 | 6 | 526 | 31 | 584 | 40 |
| 1991 | 6 | 521 | 53 | 584 | 55 | 7 | 544 | 106 | 612 | 68 |
| 1990 | 7 | 536 | 82 | 615 | 125 | 8 | 561 | 147 | 635 | 118 |
| 1989 | 8 | 554 | 95 | 632 | 107 | 9 | 584 | 115 | 655 | 77 |
| 1988 | 9 | 577 | 56 | 668 | 58 | 10 | 594 | 67 | 671 | 44 |
| 1987 | 10 | 594 | 32 | 681 | 39 | 11 | 610 | 40 | 701 | 24 |
| 1986 | 11 | 597 | 14 | 688 | 33 | 12 | 610 | 10 | 686 | 16 |
| 1985 | 12 | 630 | 7 | 714 | 23 | 13 | 632 | 6 | - | 0 |
| 1984 | 13 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 14 | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| 1983 | 14 | 681 | 1 | - | 0 | 15 | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| 1982 | 15 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 16 | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| 1981 | 16 | 546 | 1 | - | 0 | 17 | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| Total |  |  | 347 |  | 467 |  |  | 648 |  | 600 |
|  | 1999 |  |  |  |  | 2000 |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 2 | 390 | 32 | - | - |
| 1997 | 2 | 394 | 3 | - | 0 | 3 | 446 | 84 | - | - |
| 1996 | 3 | 430 | 9 | 500 | 1 | 4 | 477 | 16 | 533 | 1 |
| 1995 | 4 | 481 | 68 | 525 | 21 | 5 | 510 | 65 | 553 | 18 |
| 1994 | 5 | 515 | 44 | 559 | 35 | 6 | 529 | 82 | 580 | 24 |
| 1993 | 6 | 530 | 27 | 585 | 13 | 7 | 540 | 42 | 600 | 17 |
| 1992 | 7 | 543 | 38 | 643 | 12 | 8 | 552 | 59 | 633 | 7 |
| 1991 | 8 | 562 | 121 | 643 | 30 | 9 | 569 | 157 | 632 | 21 |
| 1990 | 9 | 582 | 102 | 663 | 56 | 10 | 589 | 102 | 672 | 33 |
| 1989 | 10 | 597 | 64 | 678 | 49 | 11 | 599 | 60 | 677 | 22 |
| 1988 | 11 | 604 | 23 | 699 | 26 | 12 | 614 | 18 | 702 | 13 |
| 1987 | 12 | 608 | 6 | 708 | 18 | 13 | 608 | 4 | 705 | 4 |
| 1986 | 13 | 610 | 4 | - | 0 | 14 | - | - | - | - |
| 1985 | 14 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 15 | - | - | 730 | 1 |
| 1984 | 15 | - | 0 | _ | 0 | 16 | - | _ | - | - |
| 1983 | 16 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 17 | - | - | - | - |
| Total |  |  | 509 |  | 261 |  |  | 721 |  | 161 |

Table 5.-Tag return matrix for walleye tagged at Dow Dam, Tittabawassee River, during spring, 1984-99.

| Tag year | Number tagged | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | Recovery Year |  |  |  | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | Total returns | Estimated recovery rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 3,548 | 69 | 88 | 66 | 56 | 32 | 21 | 9 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 363 | 1.94 |
| 1985 | 3,335 |  | 112 | 97 | 62 | 34 | 12 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 337 | 3.21 |
| 1986 | 2,923 |  |  | 118 | 89 | 36 | 18 | 16 | 10 | 9 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 309 | 4.02 |
| 1987 | 6,020 |  |  |  | 308 | 117 | 64 | 23 | 19 | 23 | 12 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 585 | 4.80 |
| 1988 | 4,036 |  |  |  |  | 161 | 85 | 32 | 26 | 20 | 15 | 11 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 366 | 3.86 |
| 1989 | 2,494 |  |  |  |  |  | 68 | 44 | 34 | 49 | 18 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 238 | 3.40 |
| 1990 | 2,488 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 59 | 52 | 51 | 33 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 221 | 2.37 |
| 1991 | 3,079 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 71 | 109 | 49 | 16 | 9 | 11 | 12 | 4 | 6 | 287 | 2.58 |
| 1992 | 2,995 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 165 | 83 | 30 | 21 | 14 | 10 | 12 | 11 | 347 | 5.47 |
| 1993 | 2,989 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 150 | 52 | 31 | 24 | 18 | 13 | 15 | 302 | 4.79 |
| 1994 | 2,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 76 | 52 | 45 | 37 | 18 | 16 | 241 | 2.58 |
| 1995 | 2,970 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 53 | 51 | 47 | 31 | 31 | 216 | 2.08 |
| 1996 | 2,992 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 72 | 76 | 53 | 50 | 251 | 2.62 |
| 1997 | 2,993 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 87 | 83 | 58 | 228 | 3.21 |
| 1998 | 2,490 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 92 | 70 | 162 | 3.49 |
| 1999 | 2,998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 126 | 126 | 4.20 |
| Mean Total | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 3,209 } \\ \mathbf{5 1 , 3 4 9} \end{array}$ | 69 | 200 | 281 | 515 | 380 | 268 | 188 | 223 | 438 | 375 | 210 | 162 | 226 | 306 | 311 | 395 | 4,579 | 3.36 |

Table 6.-Walleye year class percent composition in Saginaw Bay sport fishery, harvest (2 SE of the mean), adjusted annual exploitation rate, and total annual mortality rate, 1989 through 1999.

| Year class | Creel Survey Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |  |
| 1981 | - | - | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 1982 | 5.1 | - | 2.4 | 3.1 | 2.1 | - | 0.7 | 0.2 | - | - | - |  |
| 1983 | 5.1 | - | 6.5 | 4.5 | 4.1 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 0.6 | - | _ |  |
| 1984 | 13.6 | - | 8.4 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 0.2 | - |  |
| 1985 | 28.8 | - | 14.5 | 10.7 | 12.7 | 8.4 | 8.7 | 7.7 | 3.6 | 1.2 | - |  |
| 1986 | 45.7 | - | 16.1 | 18.3 | 10.6 | 11.6 | 9.7 | 10.2 | 6.7 | 2.5 | - |  |
| 1987 | 1.7 | - | 12.0 | 11.6 | 7.6 | 9.2 | 8.3 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 3.5 | 0.5 |  |
| 1988 | - | - | 20.2 | 16.5 | 14.1 | 13.8 | 11.1 | 7.0 | 6.7 | 3.7 | 0.5 |  |
| 1989 | - | - | 19.1 | 24.6 | 23.0 | 17.6 | 16.3 | 11.7 | 5.2 | 9.6 | 5.8 |  |
| 1990 | - | - |  | 4.5 | 15.5 | 14.8 | 12.7 | 9.2 | 9.7 | 11.3 | 9.7 |  |
| 1991 | - | - | - | - | 4.9 | 17.8 | 20.3 | 19.0 | 18.2 | 12.5 | 12.3 |  |
| 1992 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.4 | 6.4 | 6.7 | 11.5 | 8.0 | 8.9 |  |
| 1993 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 3.3 | 5.8 |  |
| 1994 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15.7 | 25.2 | 28.1 | 24.9 |  |
| 1995 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3.0 | 15.4 | 15.0 |  |
| 1996 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.6 | 4.7 |  |
| 1997 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11.8 |  |
| 1998 | - | - | - | - | _ | _ | - | _ | _ | _ | - |  |
| 1999 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| No. aged | 59 | - | 491 | 224 | 631 | 500 | 424 | 401 | 330 | 512 | 990 |  |
| Harvest ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56,337 \\ (10,580) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & - \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61,028 \\ (10,817) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64,447 \\ (8,702) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 125,160 \\ & (18,357) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68,170 \\ (11,907) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47,887 \\ (9,208) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47,566 \\ (9,990) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78,128 \\ (15,109) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80,366 \\ (11,614) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42,276 \\ (16,918) \end{gathered}$ | 61,040 |
| Exploitation | 9.: | 7.2 | 7.1 | 14.5 | 13.1 | 7.1 | $5 . \%$ | 7.2 | 8.2 | 9.6 | 11.6 | 9.2 |
| Total mortality ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 31.1 | 30.: | 42.1 | $39 . ¢$ | 34.6 | 22.5 | 39.6 | 24.6 | 32.: | 28.8 | - | 32.6 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ arom previous MDNR creel survey reports
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Annual rate for last year cannot yet be calculated.

