## STUDY PERFORMANCE REPORT

State: Michigan
Project No.: F-81-R-3
Title: Fish community status in Saginaw Bay, Lake Huron

## Period Covered: __ September 30, 2001 to October 1, 2002

Study Objective: To collect growth, abundance and other biological data with which to assess responses of the Saginaw Bay fish community to changing environmental and biological conditions.

Summary: In 2001, 33 trawl tows and 18 gillnet lifts were made in Saginaw Bay. All netting was performed in September and divided between the inner and outer bay areas. This report summarizes the results of trawl tows and gillnet lifts, and compares them with data from prior surveys. The 2001 trawl catch rates for soft-rayed forage species continued a trend of higher values since 1997. In particular, alewife, spottail shiner, and trout-perch catch rates remained high in 2001. Trawling indicated yellow perch recruitment in 2001 was the highest since 1989. Based on trawl catch rates, the 2000 walleye year class is much less abundant than the record 1998 year class, and below average for the period from 1986 to 1999. Growth rates of yellow perch caught in the trawl have slowed, but remained well above those observed before 1993. While no Eurasian ruffe have yet appeared in the trawl catch, round gobies were captured at trawl sites around the bay. Round goby catch rates increased nearly 100x from 1999 to 2001. Gillnetting in 2001 again affirmed the strength of the 1998 walleye year class. That single year class comprised over $32 \%$ of the walleye gillnet catch in 2001. The 1997 walleye year class that was originally strong is now largely depleted. The catch rate of walleyes in 2001 was higher than 2000 but remained relatively low. Despite the strong 1998 year class, growth rate of walleyes remained strong in 2001. Yellow perch catch rate in gillnets increased greatly in 2001, and is also attributed to strong 1997 and 1998 year classes. Yellow perch growth rate based on specimens from gillnet catch again increased to (or beyond) the state average. Field sampling was conducted as scheduled during 2002. Data for 2002 have not yet been summarized.

Findings: Jobs 1, 2, and 3 were active this year, and progress is reported below.
Job 1. Title: Relative abundance and community structure.-Gillnetting was performed in 2001 and 2002, with a total of 18 lifts made each year (Table 1). Sampling effort was divided between the inner and outer bay environments (Table 2). In 2001, 2,224 fish were collected comprising 27 species. Previously in this study, gillnet catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) was expressed without the 38.1 mm mesh catch included. That mesh size, added in 1993, was omitted from CPUE calculations so as to maintain comparability among years. This year, with nine years of catch data from the 38.1 mm mesh size, gillnet CPUE is expressed both without (Table 3) and with the 38.1 mm mesh catch (Table 4). Inclusion of the smallest mesh size in CPUE expressions mainly affected small species like yellow perch (see Table 5 for a complete list of common and scientific names of fishes mentioned in this report), white perch, gizzard shad, and round goby.

Walleye CPUE rebounded slightly in 2001 from its lowest level the previous year (2000) (Tables 3 and 4; Fielder et al. 2000). Declines in gillnet CPUE during the early 1990s were attributed partly to changes in gear efficiency (Fielder et al. 2000). Trends in abundance since 1994 through 1999 appeared to largely reflect a static walleye population and this was mirrored by
trends in the sport fishery as well. The marked drop in abundance in 2000, however, reflected the effects of at least three weak year classes exerting their effect on the population. The slight rise in CPUE in 2001 is due principally to the recruitment of the 1997 and 1998 year classes, both of which were strong. Trends in abundance for other notable species included a substantial increase in yellow perch CPUE. This is attributed to strong 1997 and 1998 year classes as well. Other species that increased in 2001 included channel catfish, freshwater drum, and white sucker (Tables 3 and 4). White perch declined in 2001.

The record 1998 walleye year class remained strong in 2001, accounting for over $32 \%$ of the entire gillnet catch (Table 6). The 1997 walleye year class was also very strong but has now greatly diminished. The sport fishery has been depending heavily on these two year classes since they first recruited to the 381 mm ( 15 inch ) minimum length limit. The fishery's dependence on these two strong year class has been intensified by weakness of the 1992, 1993, and 1996 year classes. Walleye survival in 2001 actually increased over that of 2000 to $55 \%$ (see Federal Aid Performance Report for Study 436). The strong 1997 and 1998 year classes, however, have not fully been represented so far in the tagging operation that was used to estimate survival. It appears that the 1999 year class was weak and the 2000 year class was moderate (Table 6). The 2002 survey data (collected in September of 2002) have not yet been analyzed but early indications are that the 2001 walleye year class is at least moderate in strength. The weak year classes of 1992, 1993, and 1996 have shown slightly stronger than expected in the gillnet catch in recent years which may reflect immigration of Lake Erie walleyes, or might be attributed to aging error as walleye scales are more difficult to accurately age as they get older.

Walleye growth rate continued to be well above state and Saginaw Bay historical averages in 2001 (Table 7). Despite the strength of the 1997 and 1998 year classes, walleyes from those cohorts grew very fast. Age-3 walleyes in 2001 (1998 year class) grew at $128 \%$ of the state average rate. The Lake Huron Basin Team recently adopted a walleye recovery goal for walleye density such that the growth rate of age- 3 fish would decline to $110 \%$ of the state average rate. Clearly, the walleye population based on this criterion is still well below carrying capacity of the habitat and prey base. Based on the findings of this and other Federal Aid studies, a plan for the further recovery of walleye in Saginaw bay has been formulated and proposed within the Fisheries Division of the Michigan DNR. If implemented, this study will serve as one of the principle measures of effects of management initiatives and progress towards recovery objectives.

Alewives continued to dominate the diet of walleyes in 2001 (Table 8) to the exclusion of many otherwise available and abundant prey resources. Walleye condition generally increased across size ranges in 2001 and was very high overall (Table 9). The proportional stock density (PSD) of walleye remained high in 2001 (Table 10).

Yellow perch age structure from the gillnet catch also indicated strong 1997 and 1998 year classes (Table 11). Curiously the relative abundance of the 1997 year class (age-4s in 2001) was greater than the previous year. This, coupled with the strength of the 1998 year class, made for a substantial rise in overall yellow perch CPUE (Tables 3 and 4). Mean age of yellow perch decreased slightly in 2001. The yellow perch PSD increased in 2001 probably reflecting the strong showing of the 1997 and 1998 year classes (Table 10). Yellow perch total annual mortality rate was estimated at $58 \%$ in 2001 using the Robson-Chapman method, a moderate rate for an exploited perch population. Yellow perch growth rate, derived from gillnet samples, has improved to meet or exceed the state average rate (Table 7). This trend of improved growth was confirmed by the trawl data. Condition of yellow perch rose substantially in 2001, a change consistent with improved growth (Table 9).

Like yellow perch and walleyes, the 1998 channel catfish year class appeared strong in 2001 (Table 12). Channel catfish growth rate remained slow in 2001, well below the state average (Table 12). A fundamental difference in forage habits or physiology must exist between walleye, which grow very well in Saginaw Bay, and channel catfish, which continually exhibit slow growth. Possible aging errors may also exist in the channel catfish ages. Channel catfish exhibited a total annual mortality rate of $55 \%$. The length/weight relationship and von Bertalanffy growth equation for channel catfish and other select species is presented in Table 13.

A total of 27 trawl hauls were made on the waters of inner Saginaw Bay in 2001 (Table 14), collecting 77,275 fish. Trawl CPUE is summarized in Table 15. Alewives were the most abundant species in the trawls, nearly equaling the peak catch rate of 1998. Since nearly all alewives captured with trawls in Saginaw Bay were age-0 fish, the high catch rate in 2001 was an indication of a cohort similar in strength to the 1998 year class. Spottail shiner catch rates declined slightly, but remained much higher than the catch rates observed prior to 1997. The 2001 trout-perch catch rate (422), while much lower than the peak rate of 1998, remains well above the levels observed in Saginaw Bay in the 1970s and 1980s. Similar to alewives, rainbow smelt catch rates in the Bay varied greatly between years and consisted mainly of age-0 smelt. In 2001, the rainbow smelt CPUE remained at a level typical of most of the 1990s. The soft-rayed forage index value (sum of catch rates for alewives, emerald shiner, gizzard shad, rainbow smelt, round gobies, spottail shiner, and trout-perch) was the third highest since 1991. A trend of high soft-rayed forage index values has continued since 1997. Yellow perch CPUE increased, mainly due to the highest age-0 CPUE since 1989 (Table 16). Age-0 walleye catch rates increased from 2000, but remained near the average for the period from 1986 to 2001 (Table 17). White perch CPUE declined from 2000, continuing a pattern of oscillating abundance since they colonized the bay in the late 1980's (Table 18).

The exotic round goby was collected with trawls from all grids sampled during September 2001. Round goby CPUEs were 96 times higher in 2001 than in 1999, the year they first were seen in trawl samples on Saginaw Bay (Table 15). Examination of stomachs of fish caught in trawls in 2001 indicated that channel catfish, yellow perch, and freshwater drum frequently prey on round gobies. Impacts of round gobies on the fish community of Saginaw Bay will be evaluated with data collected during this study. The exotic Eurasian ruffe has been collected from Thunder Bay within the Lake Huron watershed but has not yet been documented from Saginaw Bay.

Mean length-at-age for yellow perch captured in trawls indicated improved growth rates since the mid-1990's (Table 19). Yellow perch growth in Saginaw Bay is believed to be density dependent (Haas and Schaeffer 1992). This improvement in growth is likely a density-dependent response to the dramatic decline in yellow perch abundance since 1989. An improvement in food resources may also be involved. Zebra mussels first became abundant throughout Saginaw Bay in 1992. The subsequent redirection of energy into benthic production may be contributing to improved yellow perch growth. Rautio (1995) demonstrated that yellow perch experienced improved growth in the presence of zebra mussels, likely as a result of a more diverse benthic macrovinvertebrate community.

Trawling was conducted during September 2002. A total of 37 trawl hauls were made in the inner bay quadrants. An additional six trawl hauls were made at two sites in the outer bay. Lab processing of 2002 trawl and gillnet samples as well as data entry and analysis will be conducted during the winter and spring of 2003.

Job 2. Title: Process and analyze the data.-Analysis of the study data has been performed by Michigan Department of Natural Resources, Fisheries Division personnel from the Alpena Fisheries Research Station, and the Mt. Clemens Fisheries Research Station. Processing of diet
samples collected in trawls during 1999, 2000, and 2001 are nearly complete, as a result of assistance in lab processing from the USGS Great Lake Science Center personnel.

Job 3. Title: Prepare annual, final and other reports.-This Performance Report summarizes data from 2001, and those reported previously in performance reports since 1998, under Fielder et al. (2000), and fulfills the requirements of Job 3.
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Table 1.-Number of fall gillnet sets (by location) for Saginaw Bay, Lake Huron, 1990-2002.

| Station | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pt. Lookout | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| AuGres River | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Pt. AuGres | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Black Hole | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Coreyon Reef | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Fish Pt. | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| North Island | - | - | - | - | 1 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Oak Pt. | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Charity Is. | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Tawas | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Total | 5 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 12 | 40 | 36 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |

Table 2.-Number of fall gillnet sets in Saginaw Bay, Lake Huron, divided by inner and outer bay environments for 1990-2002.

| Location 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Inner | 5 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 28 | 24 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Outer | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 12 | 12 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Total | 5 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 12 | 40 | 36 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |

Table 3.-Mean catch per unit of effort (CPUE; number per 305 m gillnet) by species for Saginaw Bay, 1993-2001, at traditional netting locations. Table omits four net lifts from Charity Islands and Tawas Bay added in 1995. Netting efforts in 1993, 1994, and 1995 were 11 sets, 3,050m; 11 sets, $3,355 \mathrm{~m}$; and 12 sets, $3,660 \mathrm{~m}$; respectively. Netting efforts in 1996-2001 were standardized at 14 sets, $4,270 \mathrm{~m}$. TC $=$ Total catch.

|  | 1993 |  | 1994 |  | 1995 |  | 1996 |  | 1997 |  | 1998 |  | 1999 |  | 2000 |  | 2001 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TC | CPUE | TC | CPUE | TC | CPUE | TC | CPUE | TC | CPUE | TC | CPUE | TC | CPUE | TC | CPUE | TC | CPUE |
| Alewife | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Bigmouth buffalo | 7 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Black crappie | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Bowfin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Brown trout | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0.1 |
| Burbot | 3 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Carp | 5 | 0.5 | 13 | 1.2 | 3 | 0.2 | 9 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 23 | 1.6 | 2 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.1 |
| Channel catfish | 58 | 5.8 | 40 | 3.6 | 17 | 1.4 | 123 | 8.8 | 68 | 4.9 | 94 | 6.7 | 214 | 15.3 | 123 | 8.8 | 150 | 10.7 |
| Chinook salmon | 5 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0.5 |
| Freshwater drum | 53 | 5.3 | 86 | 7.8 | 105 | 8.8 | 398 | 28.4 | 266 | 19.0 | 67 | 4.8 | 244 | 17.4 | 183 | 13.1 | 19 | 13.6 |
| Gizzard shad | 92 | 9.2 | 45 | 4.1 | 47 | 3.9 | 207 | 14.8 | 31 | 2.2 | 560 | 40.0 | 167 | 11.9 | 24 | 1.7 | 57 | 4.1 |
| Goldfish | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lake trout | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lake whitefish | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.1 |
| Longnose gar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.7 | 3 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Longnose sucker | 1 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 |
| Northern pike | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0.4 | 4 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.1 | 8 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.1 |
| Northern redhorse | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.2 | 11 | 0.8 | 2 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.4 | 3 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 |
| Quillback | 3 | 0.3 | 4 | 0.4 | 10 | 0.8 | 16 | 1.1 | 10 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 3.0 | 27 | 1.9 | 24 | 1.7 |
| Rainbow smelt | 5 | 0.5 | 2 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0.2 |
| Rainbow trout | 3 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rock bass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.1 | 7 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 |
| Round whitefish | 3 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0.3 |
| Smallmouth bass | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Stone cat | 4 | 0.4 | 3 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.2 | 14 | 1.0 | 5 | 0.4 | 3 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.1 | 7 | 0.5 |
| Walleye | 380 | 38.0 | 163 | 14.8 | 161 | 13.4 | 180 | 12.9 | 158 | 11.3 | 176 | 12.6 | 154 | 11.0 | 99 | 7.1 | 114 | 8.1 |
| White bass | 10 | 1.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 13 | 1.1 | 7 | 0.5 | 9 | 0.6 | 11 | 0.8 | 8 | 0.6 | 3 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.1 |
| White perch | 28 | 2.8 | 318 | 28.9 | 105 | 8.8 | 398 | 28.4 | 266 | 19.0 | 47 | 3.36 | 285 | 20.4 | 325 | 23.2 | 179 | 12.8 |
| White sucker | 358 | 35.8 | 443 | 40.3 | 218 | 18.2 | 464 | 33.1 | 263 | 18.8 | 258 | 18.4 | 284 | 20.3 | 165 | 11.8 | 182 | 13.0 |
| Yellow perch | 621 | 62.1 | 343 | 31.2 | 313 | 26.4 | 832 | 59.4 | 430 | 30.7 | 173 | 12.4 | 313 | 22.4 | 204 | 14.6 | 672 | 48.0 |

Table 4.-Mean catch per unit of effort (CPUE; number per 335 m gillnet) by species for Saginaw Bay, 1994-2001, at traditional netting locations.
Table omits four net lifts from Charity Islands and Tawas Bay added in 1995. Includes 38 mm ( $11 / 2$ inch) mesh panel. Netting efforts in 1994, and 1995 were 11 sets, $3,685 \mathrm{~m}$ and 12 sets, $4,020 \mathrm{~m}$; respectively. Netting efforts in 1996-2001 were standardized at 14 sets, $4,690 \mathrm{~m}$. TC $=$ Total catch.

|  | 1994 |  | 1995 |  | 1996 |  | 1997 |  | 1998 |  | 1999 |  | 2000 |  | 2001 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TC | CPUE | TC | CPUE | TC | CPUE | TC | CPUE | TC | CPUE | TC | CPUE | TC | CPUE | TC | CPUE |
| Alewife | 8 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0.2 |
| Bigmouth buffalo | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Black crappie | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bowfin | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Brown trout | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Burbot | 1 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.7 |
| Carp | 12 | 1.1 | 3 | 0.2 | 9 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 22 | 1.6 | 2 | 0.1 | 3 | 032 |
| Channel catfish | 50 | 4.6 | 17 | 1.4 | 136 | 9.7 | 72 | 5.1 | 99 | 7.1 | 218 | 15.6 | 124 | 8.9 | 151 | 10.8 |
| Chinook salmon | 1 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Freshwater drum | 98 | 8.9 | 38 | 3.2 | 60 | 4.3 | 72 | 5.1 | 71 | 5.1 | 245 | 17.5 | 183 | 13.1 | 194 | 13.9 |
| Gizzard shad | 199 | 18.1 | 47 | 3.9 | 351 | 25.1 | 260 | 18.6 | 859 | 61.4 | 224 | 16.0 | 44 | 3.1 | 154 | 11.0 |
| Goldfish | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lake trout | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Lake whitefish | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.3 |
| Longnose gar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.2 | , | 0.1 | 3 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Longnose sucker | 8 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 |
| Northern pike | 5 | 0.4 | 4 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.1 | 9 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.1 |
| Northern redhorse | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.2 | 11 | 0.8 | 2 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.2 | 5 | 0.4 |
| Quillback | 10 | 0.9 | 10 | 0.8 | 16 | 1.1 | 10 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.1 | 42 | 3.0 | 27 | 1.9 | 24 | 1.7 |
| Rainbow smelt | 2 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0.4 |
| Rainbow trout | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rock bass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.1 | 7 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 |
| Round goby | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.4 | 6 | 0.4 |
| Round whitefish | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0.5 |
| Smallmouth bass | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.1 |
| Stone cat | 5 | 0.4 | 3 | 0.2 | 15 | 1.1 | 5 | 0.4 | 3 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.1 | 7 | 0.5 |
| Walleye | 179 | 16.2 | 165 | 13.8 | 180 | 12.9 | 159 | 11.4 | 184 | 13.1 | 181 | 12.9 | 99 | 7.1 | 123 | 8.8 |
| White bass | 3 | 0.3 | 15 | 1.2 | 7 | 0.5 | 17 | 1.2 | 27 | 1.9 | 9 | 0.6 | 3 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.2 |
| White crappie | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.1 |
| White perch | 432 | 39.3 | 128 | 10.7 | 462 | 33.0 | 303 | 21.6 | 52 | 3.7 | 409 | 29.2 | 360 | 25.7 | 203 | 14.5 |
| White sucker | 473 | 43.0 | 217 | 18.1 | 467 | 33.4 | 264 | 18.9 | 261 | 18.6 | 296 | 21.1 | 165 | 11.8 | 186 | 13.3 |
| Yellow perch | 535 | 48.6 | 444 | 37.0 | 1,485 | 106.1 | 900 | 64.3 | 500 | 35.7 | 1,124 | 80.3 | 581 | 41.5 | 1,006 | 71.9 |

Table 5.-Common and scientific names of fishes and other aquatic organisms mentioned in this report.

|  | Common name |
| :--- | :--- |
| Alewife | Alosa psendific name |
| Bigmouth buffalo | Ictiobus cyprinellus |
| Black crappie | Pomoxis nigromaculatus |
| Bluegill | Lepomis macrochirus |
| Bowfin | Amia calva |
| Brown trout | Salmo trutta |
| Burbot | Lota lota |
| Channel catfish | Ictalurus punctatus |
| Chinook salmon | Oncorhynchus tshawytscha |
| Common carp | Cyprinus carpio |
| Emerald shiner | Notropis atherinoides |
| Eurasian ruffe | Gymnouphalus cernuus |
| Freshwater drum | Aplodinotus grunniens |
| Gizzard shad | Dorosoma cepedianum |
| Goldfish | Carassius auratus |
| Johnny darter | Etheostoma nigrum |
| Lake trout | Salvelinus namaycusn |
| Lake whitefish | Coregonus clupeaformis |
| Longnose gar | Lepisosteus osseus |
| Longnose sucker | Catostomus catostomus |
| Ninespine stickleback | Pungitius pungitius |
| Northern pike | Esox lucius |
| Northern redhorse | Moxostoma macrolepidotum |
| Pumpkinseed | Lepomis gibbosus |
| Quillback | Carpiodes cyprinus |
| Rainbow smelt | Osmerus mordax |
| Rainbow trout | Oncorhyhus mykiss |
| Rockbass | Ambloplites rupestris |
| Round goby | Neogobius melanostomus |
| Round whitefish | Prosopium cylindraceum |
| Shorthead redhorse | Moxostoma macrolepidotum |
| Smallmouth bass | Micropterus dolomievi |
| Spottail shiner | Notropis hudsonius |
| Stone cat | Noturus flavus |
| Tiger musky | Esox masquinongy |
| Trout-perch | Percopsis omiscomaycus |
| Walleye | Stizostedion vitreum |
| White bass | Morone chrysops |
| White perch | Morone americana |
| White sucker | Catostomus commersoni |
| Yellow perch | Perca flavescens |
| Zebra mussel | Dreissena polymorpha |
|  |  |

Table 6.-Catch and percent contribution of walleye year classes from fall gillnet surveys, Saginaw Bay, Lake Huron, 1996-2001. Catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) is catch per 335m, N in parentheses.

| Year class | Age | Percent | CPUE | Age | Percent | CPUE | Age | Percent | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $1996{ }^{\text {a }}$ (21.3) |  | $1997{ }^{\text {a }}$ (18) |  |  | $1998{ }^{\text {a }}$ (18) |  |  |
| 1998 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 5.2 | 0.7 |
| 1997 | - | - | - | 0 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 1 | 33.2 | 4.2 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 2 | 1.3 | 0.2 |
| 1995 | 1 | 17.6 | 2.2 | 2 | 16.9 | 1.9 | 3 | 10.5 | 1.3 |
| 1994 | 2 | 28.0 | 3.4 | 3 | 28.9 | 3.2 | 4 | 18.8 | 2.4 |
| 1993 | 3 | 4.6 | 0.6 | 4 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 5 | 5.7 | 0.7 |
| 1992 | 4 | 3.1 | 0.4 | 5 | 5.0 | 0.6 | 6 | 4.4 | 0.6 |
| 1991 | 5 | 11.9 | 1.5 | 6 | 10.9 | 1.2 | 7 | 7.4 | 0.9 |
| 1990 | 6 | 12.3 | 1.5 | 7 | 8.5 | 0.9 | 8 | 6.1 | 0.8 |
| 1989 | 7 | 11.1 | 1.4 | 8 | 10.9 | 1.2 | 9 | 3.1 | 0.4 |
| 1988 | 8 | 5.4 | 0.7 | 9 | 8.5 | 0.9 | 10 | 3.5 | 0.4 |
| 1987 | 9 | 4.6 | 0.6 | 10 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 11 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| 1986 | 10 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 11 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 12 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| 1985 | 11 | - | - | 12 | 0.5 | 0.1 | - | - | - |
| 1984 | 12 | - | - | 13 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1983 | 13 | - | - | 14 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mean | 4.1 |  |  | 4.8 |  |  | 3.7 |  |  |
| Total |  | 100 | 12.3 |  | 100 | 11.1 |  | 100 | 13.0 |
|  | $1999^{\text {a }}$ (18) |  |  | $2000^{\text {a }}$ (18) |  |  | $2001{ }^{\text {a }}$ (18) |  |  |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 11.5 | 0.8 |
| 2000 | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | 1 | 13.7 | 1.0 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 1 | 5.9 | 0.4 | 2 | 13.0 | 0.9 |
| 1998 | 1 | 52.8 | 6.8 | 2 | 46.2 | 3.0 | 3 | 32.5 | 2.4 |
| 1997 | 2 | 17.3 | 2.2 | 3 | 16.0 | 1.1 | 4 | 4.6 | 0.3 |
| 1996 | 3 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 4 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 5 | 2.3 | 0.2 |
| 1995 | 4 | 4.3 | 0.6 | 5 | 6.7 | 0.4 | 6 | 6.1 | 0.4 |
| 1994 | 5 | 6.1 | 0.8 | 6 | 3.4 | 0.2 | 7 | 3.1 | 0.2 |
| 1993 | 6 | 2.6 | 0.3 | 7 | 3.4 | 0.2 | 8 | 4.6 | 0.3 |
| 1992 | 7 | 6.1 | 0.8 | 8 | 11.8 | 0.8 | 9 | 5.3 | 0.4 |
| 1991 | 8 | 3.9 | 0.5 | 9 | 4.2 | 0.3 | 10 | 1.5 | 0.1 |
| 1990 | 9 | 2.6 | 0.3 | 10 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 11 | 1.5 | 0.1 |
| 1989 | 10 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 11 | - | - | 12 | - | - |
| 1988 | 11 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 12 | - | - | 13 | - | - |
| 1987 | 12 | - | - | 13 | - | - | 14 | - | - |
| 1986 | 13 | - | - | 14 | - | - | 15 | - | - |
| Mean | 2.8 |  |  | 2.6 |  |  | 3.4 |  |  |
| Total |  | 100 | 12.8 |  | 100 | 6.6 |  | 100 | 7.3 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data based on expanded netting effort catch to provide a larger sample size and therefore differs slightly from value reported in Tables $3 \& 4$, which are based solely on catch from traditional netting locations.
Table 7.-Mean length (mm) at age of walleyes and yellow perch from Saginaw Bay, Lake Huron, from fall gillnet data for 1994-2001, compared with Michigan average lengths from August-September catches. Saginaw Bay historic average for 1926-38 is also included for walleyes. Standard error of the mean in parentheses. No means included for sample sizes less than 5 specimens. Growth Index is calculated with methodology from Schneider et al. (2000).

| Age | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | Michigan average ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Bay historic average ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walleye |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 207 (10.4) | 224 (4.6) | - - | - - | 227 (4.0) | - - | - - | 200 (2.0) | 180 | - |
| 1 | 348 (8.8) | 346 (3.0) | 352 (4.9) | 330 (13.5) | 341 (2.1) | 360 (1.4) | 333 (3.9) | 350 (3.0) | 250 | 254 |
| 2 | 426 (13.9) | (1) | 437 (3.7) | 419 (4.2) |  | 438 (4.0) | 436 (3.2) | 426 (3.0 | 338 | 320 |
| 3 | 473 (6.0) | 470 (3.8) | 478 (11.6) | 468 (3.8) | 482 (12.7) | - - | 497 (7.0) | 496 (4.0) | 386 | 371 |
| 4 | 521 (5.3) | 501 (7.2) | 537 (16.4) | 504 (5.6) | 508 (11.0) | 505 (10.0) | - - | 524 (10.0) | 437 | 411 |
| 5 | 537 (5.1) | 543 (4.3) | 517 (9.0) | 536 (11.6) | 496 (21.0) | 544 (6.6) | 512 (17.1) | - - | 472 | 457 |
| 6 | 564 (6.0) | 555 (5.3) | 582 (8.6) | 547 (6.2) | 565 (8.2) | 570 (14.0) | - - | 553 (13.0) | 516 | 483 |
| 7 | 613 (15.7) | 572 (8.3) | 568 (6.5) | 576 (11.9) | 551 (7.0) | 560 (13.0) | - - | - - | 541 | 505 |
| 8 | 612 (17.0) | 590 (12.2) | 579 (14.2) | 586 (12.9) | 570 (9.2) | 563 (17.7) | 581 (13.8) | 552 (9.0) | 561 | 533 |
| 9 | - - | - - | 619 (27.4) | 579 (11.5) | 612 (23.0) | 588 (8.0) | 576 (33.2) | 578 (13.0) | 582 | 582 |
| 10 | - - | - - | - | - | 624 (22.5) | - - | - - |  | - | - |
| Growth index | +2.60 | +2.23 | +2.54 | +2.00 | +2.08 | +2.45 | +2.25 | +2.09 |  | -0.60 |
| Yellow perch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | - - | - - | - - | - - | - | - - | - - | 91 (7.0) | 84 | - |
| 1 | - - | 148 (0.9) | 150 (2.2) | 141 (1.2) | 153 (1.9) | 149 (1.2) | 149 (5.6) | 147 (1.0) | 127 | - |
| 2 | 148 (1.6) | 161 (2.3) | 151 (1.0) | 155 (1.1) | 154 (1.0) | 159 (0.9) | 157 (0.8) | 174 (2.0) | 160 | - |
| 3 | 176 (3.3) | 187 (3.5) | 184 (1.8) | 189 (2.2) | 172 (1.9) | 184 (2.5) | 175 (1.6) | 189 (2.0) | 183 | - |
| 4 | 198 (1.8) | 205 (2.3) | 196 (1.6) | 202 (1.9) | 198 (4.6) | 199 (2.2) | 194 (2.2) | 215 (2.0) | 208 | - |
| 5 | 214 (2.1) | 220 (4.6) | 211 (1.9) | 227 (3.3) | 217 (2.4) | 212 (2.2) | 211 (3.1) | 245 (3.0) | 234 | - |
| 6 | 243 (8.1) | 248 (9.2) | 232 (4.4) | 239 (4.4) | 235 (5.2) | 226 (2.4) | 230 (3.8) | 267 (11.0) | 257 | - |
| 7 | - | - - | 244 (7.2) | 247 (6.4) | 251 (6.5) | 252 (4.9) | 250 (3.2) | 288 (10.0) | 277 | - |
| 8 | - - | - - | - - | 256 (16.5) | - - | 269 (6.5) | 264 (4.7) | - - | 292 | - |
| 9 | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - | 284 (6.6) | - - | - - | 302 | - |
| Growth index | -0.49 | 0.00 | -0.31 | -0.46 | -0.37 | -0.46 | -0.53 | $+0.42$ |  | - |

[^0]Table 8.- Incidence of void stomachs and percent-abundance of food items found in stomachs of walleyes from fall gillnets in Saginaw Bay,
1989-2001.

| Year | Stomachs examined | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { void } \end{gathered}$ | Percent-Abundance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unidentified fish remains | Gizzard shad | Yellow perch | Spottail shiner | Rainbow smelt | Alewife | Ninespine stickleback | White sucker | Round goby | White perch | Channel catfish |
| 1989 | 257 | 26 | 27 | 63 | 0 | 0 | $<1$ | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $<1$ | 0 |
| 1990 | 508 | 37 | 22 | 76 | 0 | 0 | <1 | 1 | <1 | 0 | 0 | $<1$ | 0 |
| 1991 | 669 | 36 | 34 | 63 | <1 | <1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | $<1$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1992 | 171 | 56 | 62 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 14 | 17 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1993 | 371 | 52 | 39 | 59 | 0 | 0 | <1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1994 | 84 | 45 | 24 | 70 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1995 | 291 | 45 | 31 | 28 | 1 | <1 | 0 | 37 | 0 | <1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1996 | 148 | 61 | 72 | 23 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1997 | 204 | 35 | 59 | 12 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 1998 | 234 | 47 | 40 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1999 | 231 | 49 | 36 | <1 | 8 | 13 | <1 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | <1 | 0 |
| 2000 | 119 | 48 | 57 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 8 |
| 2001 | 114 | 57 | 27 | <1 | 2 | <1 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 |

Table 9.-Mean relative weight by length class ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ and all sizes combined for walleyes and yellow perch collected in gillnets during fall 1989-2001 from Saginaw Bay, Lake Huron. $\mathrm{N}=$ sample size for that year.

| Year | Stock- <br> quality | Quality- <br> preferred | Preferred- <br> memorable | All sizes <br> combined | N |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walleye |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1989 | 100 | 95 | 95 | 96 | 259 |
| 1990 | 98 | 102 | 97 | 98 | 508 |
| 1991 | 95 | 96 | 95 | 96 | 689 |
| 1992 | 87 | 88 | 90 | 89 | 171 |
| 1993 | 91 | 91 | 88 | 90 | 382 |
| 1994 | 88 | 88 | 90 | 88 | 155 |
| 1995 | 92 | 93 | 92 | 95 | 302 |
| 1996 | 90 | 92 | 90 | 90 | 267 |
| 1997 | 95 | 90 | 92 | 91 | 204 |
| 1998 | 91 | 89 | 88 | 90 | 231 |
| 1999 | 88 | 90 | 86 | 88 | 231 |
| 2000 | 107 | 90 | 81 | 88 | 116 |
| 2001 | 103 | 96 | 92 | 94 | 114 |
| Yellow perch |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1989 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 1990 | 98 | 97 | 92 | 97 | 101 |
| 1991 | 82 | 80 | 83 | 81 | 231 |
| 1992 | 82 | 86 | 86 | 84 | 202 |
| 1993 | 96 | 95 | 94 | 96 | 218 |
| 1994 | 99 | 96 | 92 | 96 | 203 |
| 1995 | 91 | 87 | 90 | 89 | 501 |
| 1996 | 96 | 93 | 90 | 95 | 1658 |
| 1997 | 94 | 95 | 93 | 94 | 962 |
| 1998 | 87 | 85 | 86 | 86 | 348 |
| 1999 | 79 | 90 | 87 | 82 | 528 |
| 2000 | 90 | 86 | 90 | 89 | 358 |
| 2001 | 103 | 97 | 92 | 100 | 825 |

[^1]Table 10.-Walleye and yellow perch proportional stock density (PSD) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ and relative stock density (RSD-P and RSD-M) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ in parentheses from fall gill-net data, 1993-2001 from Saginaw Bay, Lake Huron.

| Species | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walleye | $93(40,3)$ | $96(58,5)$ | $76(55,3)$ | $83(46,6)$ | $96(51,8)$ | $63(47,3)$ | $55(25,3)$ | $93(34,3)$ | $85(48,4)$ |
| Yellow <br> perch | $45(3,0)$ | $73(9,1)$ | $38(6,1)$ | $22(2,0)$ | $33(5,1)$ | $26(3,0)$ | $23(4,1)$ | $25(7,1)$ | $46(9,2)$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Stock and quality size for walleye is 250 mm and 380 mm , respectively, yellow perch: 130 mm and 200 mm . Range of PSD values suggested as indicative of balance when the population supports a substantial fishery is $30-60$ for walleye and $30-50$ for yellow perch (Anderson and Weithman 1978).
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Preferred size for walleye is 510 mm , memorable size is 630 mm . For yellow perch, it is 250 mm and 300 mm , respectively (Anderson and Gutreuter 1983).

Table 11.-Age composition of yellow perch from the gillnet catch, Saginaw Bay, Lake Huron, 1993-2001.

|  | Survey Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| 0 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 16 |
| 1 | 5 | - | 93 | 34 | 32 | 8 | 198 | 38 | 90 |
| 2 | 11 | 6 | 44 | 193 | 135 | 83 | 138 | 123 | 96 |
| 3 | 80 | 29 | 47 | 91 | 164 | 51 | 45 | 71 | 197 |
| 4 | 71 | 98 | 101 | 85 | 66 | 29 | 49 | 37 | 103 |
| 5 | 28 | 82 | 32 | 82 | 43 | 42 | 56 | 37 | 30 |
| 6 | 16 | 21 | 10 | 31 | 25 | 17 | 44 | 24 | 13 |
| 7 | 5 | 1 | - | 12 | 14 | 5 | 19 | 11 | 6 |
| 8 | 2 | 23 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 10 | 7 | 4 |
| 9 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 5 | 4 | 1 |
| 10 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| Number aged | 218 | 241 | 328 | 531 | 488 | 240 | 569 | 353 | 557 |
| Mean age | 3.84 | 4.73 | 3.20 | 3.26 | 3.25 | 3.43 | 2.88 | 3.27 | 2.89 |

Table 12.-Age composition (percent) and mean length (mm) at age for channel catfish 1997-2000, Saginaw Bay. Sample size in parentheses. Means limited to sample sizes of at least five fish. State average is a mid-growing season average ${ }^{\text {a }}$. Growth index is calculated with the

| Age | 1997 |  | 1998 |  | 1999 |  | 2000 |  | 2001 |  | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ State average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Mean length | Percent | Mean length | Percent | Mean length | Percent | Mean length | Percent | Mean length |  |
| 0 | 0.0 (0) | - | 1.8 (1) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | - |
| 1 | 0.0 (0) | - | 3.6 (2) | - | 6.3 (5) | 174 | 0.0 (0) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | 165 |
| 2 | 27.8 (15) | 236 | 14.3 (8) | 279 | 0.0 (0) | - | 21.7 (13) | 231 | 5.0 (3) | - | 284 |
| 3 | 24.1 (13) | 328 | 46.4 (26) | 310 | 6.3 (5) | 310 | 8.3 (5) | 256 | 45.0 (27) | 293 | 345 |
| 4 | 7.4 (4) | - | 14.3 (8) | 340 | 66.3 (53) | 343 | 10.0 (6) | 324 | 8.0 (5) | 333 | 401 |
| 5 | 11.1 (6) | 404 | 3.6 (2) | 403 | 5.0 (4) | - | 35.0 (21) | 358 | 20.0 (12) | 372 | 450 |
| 6 | 13.0 (7) | 411 | 0.0 (0) | - | 7.5 (6) | 432 | 11.7 (7) | 373 | 17.0 (10) | 403 | 490 |
| 7 | 5.6 (3) | - | 5.4 (3) | - | 1.3 (1) | - | 5.0 (3) | - | 3.0 (2) | - | 523 |
| 8 | 1.9 (1) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | 3.8 (3) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | 2.0 (1) | - | 559 |
| 9 | 0.0 (0) | - | 3.6 (2) | - | 1.3 (1) | - | 5.0 (3) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | 589 |
| 10 | 0.0 (0) | - | 3.6 (2) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | 605 |
| 11 | 1.9 (1) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | 1.3 (1) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | - |
| 12 | 3.7 (2) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | - |
| 13 | 3.7 (2) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | 1.7 (1) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | - |
| 14 | 0.0 (0) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | - |
| 15 | 0.0 (0) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | 1.3 (1) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | - |
| 16 | 0.0 (0) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | 1.7 (1) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | - |
| 17 | 0.0 (0) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | - |
| 18 | 0.0 (0) | - | 1.8 (1) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | - |
| 19 | 0.0 (0) | - | 1.8 (1) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | 0.0 (0) | - | - |
| Total | 100 (54) | 348 | 100 (56) | 327 | 100 (80) | 329 | 100 (60) | 328 | 100(60) | 326 |  |
| Average age | 4.57 |  | 4.18 |  | 4.43 |  | 4.80 |  |  | 4.15 |  |
| Growth index |  | -1.85 |  | -1.44 |  | -1.38 |  | -3.34 |  | -2.82 |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ State average from Schneider et al. (2000)


${ }^{\text {a }}$ Total for northwest quadrant includes six experimental trawls near Charity Islands
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Total number of tows includes 6 tows made at Outer Bay sites.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Total for study includes 15 tows from 1989.

Table 15.-Mean catch-per-unit-effort of fish collected from trawling in Saginaw Bay, Lake Huron, 1990-2001 based on fall data only. Total number of tows is in parentheses. Soft-rayed forage index value is the sum of catch rates for alewife, emerald shiner, gizzard shad, rainbow smelt, round goby, spottail shiner, and trout-perch. See Table 3 for complete listing of scientific names for each species.

|  | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Species | $(16)$ | $(37)$ | $(38)$ | $(32)$ | $(39)$ | $(30)$ | $(31)$ | $(27)$ | $(27)$ | $(30)$ | $(27)$ |
| Alewife | 80 | 302 | 191 | 48 | 307 | 99 | 301 | 1,590 | 82 | 337 | 1,242 |
| Bluegill | 0 | 0 | 0 | $<1$ | 0 | $<1$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Burbot | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Channel catfish | $<1$ | $<1$ | 1 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 |
| Common carp | 3 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 9 |
| Emerald shiner | 15 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Freshwater drum | 25 | 3 | 9 | 28 | 28 | 16 | 5 | 26 | 9 | 16 | 10 |
| Gizzard shad | 50 | $<1$ | 19 | 8 | 6 | 23 | 18 | 23 | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| Johnny darter | $<1$ | 12 | 10 | 11 | 29 | 21 | 20 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 1 |
| Lake whitefish | 0 | $<1$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | $<1$ | 1 | 0 | $<1$ | $<1$ | 0 |
| Pumpkinseed | $<1$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $<1$ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Quillback | $<1$ | $<1$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $<1$ | 0 | 4 | 1 | 4 |
| Rainbow smelt | 44 | 280 | 468 | 58 | 22 | 15 | 1,585 | 70 | 32 | 390 | 496 |
| Rock bass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $<1$ | 0 | $<1$ | 5 | $<1$ | 0 |
| Round goby | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 127 | 385 |
| Shorthead redhorse | 0 | 0 | 0 | $<1$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $<1$ | 0 | 0 |
| Spottail shiner | 124 | 182 | 97 | 204 | 373 | 209 | 809 | 665 | 1,935 | 1,011 | 863 |
| Trout-perch | 166 | 200 | 416 | 513 | 514 | 474 | 733 | 1,730 | 406 | 619 | 422 |
| Walleye | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 7 | 2 | 2 |
| White bass | 6 | $<1$ | 2 | 6 | 1 | $<1$ | 4 | 2 | $<1$ | $<1$ | 0 |
| White perch | 404 | 92 | 28 | 183 | 528 | 277 | 416 | 346 | 141 | 895 | 544 |
| White sucker | 12 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 7 | 8 | 28 | 12 | 10 | 7 | 24 |
| Yellow perch | 177 | 70 | 38 | 24 | 126 | 85 | 122 | 170 | 90 | 37 | 145 |
| Soft-rayed forage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| index value | 479 | 973 | 1,192 | 831 | 1,222 | 821 | 3,459 | 4,079 | 2,463 | 2,488 | 3,418 |

Table 16.-Number of young-of-the-year yellow perch caught per ten-minute tow (CPUE) from Saginaw Bay, Lake Huron and their mean total length, fall 1970-2001 ${ }^{\text {a }}$.

| Year | CPUE | Mean total length (mm) |
| ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1970 | 29.5 | 96.5 |
| 1971 | 20.2 | 91.4 |
| 1972 | 13.9 | 83.8 |
| 1973 | 30.6 | 91.4 |
| 1974 | 27.9 | 88.9 |
| 1975 | 247.9 | 88.9 |
| 1976 | 11.1 | 91.4 |
| 1977 | 52.9 | 91.4 |
| 1978 | 99.8 | 86.4 |
| 1979 | 166.7 | 78.7 |
| 1980 | 39.0 | 86.4 |
| 1981 | 71.3 | 83.8 |
| 1982 | 686.7 | 76.2 |
| 1983 | 251.9 | 76.2 |
| 1984 | 171.0 | 78.7 |
| 1985 | 147.8 | 78.7 |
| 1986 | 71.4 | 73.7 |
| 1987 | 131.5 | 81.3 |
| 1988 | 56.6 | 76.2 |
| 1989 | 252.8 | 71.1 |
| 1990 | 39.0 | 79.5 |
| 1991 | 110.8 | 70.2 |
| 1992 | 7.1 | 76.2 |
| 1993 | 0.5 | 90.7 |
| 1994 | 3.9 | 85.0 |
| 1995 | 98.9 | 72.8 |
| 1996 | 37.3 | 81.9 |
| 1997 | 83.3 | 73.8 |
| 1998 | 112.5 | 76.1 |
| 1999 | 19.8 | 92.4 |
| 2000 | 8.6 | 83.2 |
| 2001 | 117.2 | 76.8 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data prior to 1990 from Haas and Schaeffer (1992).

Table 17.-Number of age- 0 walleyes caught, number of trawl tows, and age-0 walleye catch rate (expressed as mean catch per 10-minute tow) for fall trawls on Saginaw Bay from 1986 to 2001.

| Year | Number of age-0 <br> walleyes captured | Number of <br> trawl tows | Age-0 walleyes <br> catch rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 20 | 53 | 0.43 |
| 1987 | 34 | 86 | 0.46 |
| 1988 | 39 | 80 | 0.59 |
| 1989 | 19 | 15 | 1.27 |
| 1990 | 0 | 16 | 0.00 |
| 1991 | 28 | 16 | 1.89 |
| 1992 | 6 | 37 | 0.16 |
| 1993 | 1 | 38 | 0.02 |
| 1994 | 22 | 35 | 0.64 |
| 1995 | 14 | 39 | 0.36 |
| 1996 | 0 | 30 | 0.00 |
| 1997 | 83 | 34 | 2.18 |
| 1998 | 149 | 27 | 8.55 |
| 1999 | 20 | 27 | 0.74 |
| 2000 | 5 | 30 | 0.30 |
| 2001 | 27 | 26 | 0.98 |

Table 18.-White perch catch from trawling effort, fall 1985-2001, Saginaw Bay, Lake Huron ${ }^{\text {a }}$.

| Year | Total catch | Number of <br> tows | Number of <br> minutes | Number per <br> tow | Number per <br> minute |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1985 | 0 | NA | NA | - | - |
| 1986 | 606 | 167 | 1,457 | 3.6 | 0.42 |
| 1987 | 7,514 | 252 | 2,321 | 29.8 | 3.24 |
| 1988 | 41,427 | 248 | 2,181 | 167.0 | 18.99 |
| 1989 | 34,817 | 15 | 150 | $2,321.1$ | 232.11 |
| 1990 | 10,739 | 16 | 158 | 671.2 | 68.97 |
| 1991 | 6,463 | 16 | 149 | 403.9 | 43.52 |
| 1992 | 3,295 | 36 | 360 | 91.5 | 9.15 |
| 1993 | 1,076 | 38 | 419 | 27.9 | 2.57 |
| 1994 | 6,062 | 32 | 320 | 183.0 | 18.94 |
| 1995 | 19,002 | 36 | 360 | 528.2 | 52.78 |
| 1996 | 8,130 | 30 | 306 | 277.2 | 26.6 |
| 1997 | 12,873 | 31 | 320 | 416.4 | 40.2 |
| 1998 | 7,415 | 27 | 245 | 345.8 | 30.3 |
| 1999 | 2,400 | 27 | 170 | 141.2 | 14.1 |
| 2000 | 26,559 | 30 | 270 | 894.8 | 98.4 |
| 2001 | 12,601 | 25 | 210 | 484.6 | 60.0 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data prior to 1990 from Haas and Schaeffer (1992).

Table 19.-Mean length (mm) at age for yellow perch from fall Saginaw Bay trawls, 1986-2001 ${ }^{\text {a }}$.

| Survey year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-1 | 118 | 120 | 119 | 120 | 124 | 124 | 124 | 131 | 145 | 135 | 132 | 131 | 123 | 137 | 142 | 137 |
| Age-2 | 137 | 137 | 137 | 141 | 146 | 146 | 149 | 155 | 159 | 169 | 166 | 166 | 146 | 163 | 159 | 170 |
| Age-3 | 154 | 152 | 150 | 157 | 165 | 167 | 164 | 178 | 176 | 179 | 189 | 195 | 172 | 189 | 177 | 182 |
| Age-4 | 184 | 168 | 164 | 170 | 175 | 184 | 181 | 194 | 191 | 192 | 200 | 202 | 202 | 219 | 185 | 192 |
| Age-5 | 199 | 190 | 177 | 185 | 186 | 201 | 187 | 202 | 200 | 203 | 211 | 219 | 211 | 212 | 253 | 237 |
| Age-6 | 209 | 189 | 201 | 194 | 195 | 212 | 209 | 213 | 200 | 211 | 219 | 219 | 219 | - | 215 | 264 |
| Age-7 | 249 | 223 | 211 | 210 | 210 | 242 | 224 | 262 | 222 | 236 | 247 | 234 | 236 | - | - | - |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-1 | 121 | 122 | 123 | 123 | 126 | 127 | 127 | 132 | 148 | 142 | 137 | 136 | 129 | 140 | 142 | 140 |
| Age-2 | 145 | 143 | 143 | 149 | 157 | 155 | 159 | 169 | 172 | 179 | 183 | 179 | 145 | 179 | 174 | 179 |
| Age-3 | 173 | 166 | 160 | 169 | 176 | 179 | 173 | 188 | 195 | 193 | 203 | 210 | 179 | 207 | 206 | 198 |
| Age-4 | 197 | 190 | 183 | 184 | 201 | 202 | 204 | 210 | 214 | 211 | 220 | 232 | 208 | 238 | 218 | 216 |
| Age-5 | 233 | 214 | 207 | 208 | 215 | 221 | 236 | 242 | 235 | 225 | 233 | 230 | 227 | - | - | 228 |
| Age-6 | 265 | 226 | 217 | 222 | 235 | 246 | 249 | 245 | 246 | 247 | 260 | 286 | 250 | - | 244 | - |
| Age-7 | 222 | 256 | 245 | 246 | 246 | 273 | 244 | 283 | 296 | 276 | - | 279 | - | - | - | - |


[^0]:    ${ }^{a}$ From Schneider et al. (2000).
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ From Hile (1954).

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ See Table 10 for explanation of size classes.

