## STUDY PERFORMANCE REPORT

State: Michigan
Project No.: F-53-R-13
Study No.: 462
Title: Charter boat catch and effort from the Michigan waters of the Great Lakes

Period Covered: April 1, 1996 to March 31, 1997

Study Objective: To obtain a continuous annual record of fishing effort as well as the number, type, and location of fish caught by charter boat anglers in the Michigan waters of the Great Lakes.

Summary: Charter boat catch reporting data forms, grid maps of the Great Lakes and instructions were sent to charter fishing operators prior to the 1996 angling season. Completed data forms were sent to the Charlevoix Fisheries Research Station and entered on computer throughout the year. Charter fishing operators who were delinquent with their reports were notified on a regular basis throughout the season via post card or certified mail.

By the end the of the 1996 season, data was compiled on over 16,000 charter fishing excursions. Ninety-eight percent of all charter operations complied with the reporting requirements. A report was prepared which summarized the results of the project by lake and was mailed to all charter operators during January, 1997.

A correlation analysis of the charter and creel survey data (Study 427) for 1991-96 indicates that charter operators are reporting data accurately.

## Job 1. Title: Distribute data forms.

Findings: Reporting forms, and grid maps of the Great Lakes were mailed to 496 charter operators during March, 1996. Charter operators, who used their vessels for fishing, were informed that they were required by law to complete the form each time they fished. The form was to be mailed by the tenth day of the following month to the Michigan Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) Charlevoix Fisheries Research Station.

## Job 2. Title: Data entry and compliance.

Findings: Completed data forms received by the Charlevoix Fisheries Research Station were logged, coded by port fished, and entered on computer. Catch and effort data on 16,091 charter fishing excursions were recorded by year's end (December, 1996). Incomplete forms received were logged and returned to the charter operator with a letter stating the reason the report was returned.

Each month (June through October) post-card notices were sent to charter operators who had not filed a report for the previous month. Two notices were sent each month, the first after an operator was delinquent for 10 days, and the second after 30 days.

In November, 1996 letters were sent via certified mail to operators who had not filed reports for one or more months during May through September. The letter informed the operator that this would be the last notice he or she would receive. If the recipient did not respond in writing within 14 days his or her name would be submitted to MDNR, Law Enforcement Division recommending non-issuance of an inspection certificate for the 1997 season. A list of eight names of charter operators who had not complied with the reporting requirements was sent to MDNR, Law Enforcement Division in January, 1997.

During 1996, an average of $33 \%$ of charter operators had not filed their monthly reports within 10 days after the date they were due. An average of $18 \%$ of all operators were delinquent for at least 30 days. The monthly average rate of non-compliance during 1996 was slightly less compared to 1995. By end of December, $199698 \%$ of all charter operators had complied with the law. The final compliance rate for 1996 was greater than 1995 ( $92 \%$ ).

## Job 3. Title: Quality control and education.

Findings: Presentations regarding the results and importance of the charter boat reporting program were made at several charter boat workshops which were held around the State of Michigan during the winter months (1997). The workshops were organized by the Michigan State University Extension Service (Sea Grant). The presentations stressed the need for accurate and timely information from charter operators. Adequate time was allowed at the end of each session for the project biologist to field questions from charter captains.

Several field trips were made by the project biologist during the 1996 fishing season to various ports on lakes Michigan and Huron. The objective of these trips was to promote the reporting program and to talk informally to charter captains.

Several charter fishing operators were cited during 1996 by MDNR, Law Enforcement Division for either failing to submit catch reports on a timely basis, or for not maintaining an on-board log of their fishing activity.

## Job 4. Title: Compile data and write annual reports.

Findings: Charter boat operators submitted reports on a total of 16,091 charter excursions which took place during 1996. These data were compiled and summarized by lake (Tables 1 through 5) and were presented in a report titled Charter Boat Catch and Effort from the Michigan Waters of the Great Lakes, 1996. Copies of this report were mailed to all charter operators during January, 1997. Charter anglers spent 416,000 hours fishing Michigan's waters of the Great Lakes in 1996. The total catch was 88,000 yellow perch, 43,000 walleye, 32,000 chinook salmon, 31,000 lake trout, 20,000 rainbow trout, 8,400 coho salmon and 3,700 brown trout.

In addition to the annual report which was sent to charter fishing operators an MDNR Fisheries Technical Report, No. 95-3, titled Charter boat catch and effort from the Michigan waters of the Great Lakes, 1994 was published during 1996 (Rakoczy and Svoboda 1995).

## Job 5. Title: Analyze six-year data series.

Findings: One of the most important applications of the charter boat catch and effort data are the valuable trend information that it provides on the salmonine fisheries on lakes Michigan, Huron and Superior as well as for the yellow perch and walleye fisheries on lakes Huron, St. Clair and Erie. Specifically, the chinook catch rate data series shows the improvement in the chinook fisheries on Lake Huron since 1993 and on Lake Michigan since 1995 (Table 6). The trendless lake trout catch rate data for Lake Superior indicates the continued health of that fishery. In general, yellow perch catch rates have been trending upward on lakes St. Clair and Erie, while the walleye fishery on Lake Huron has declined (Table 7).

Charter operators have also reported the numbers of sea lamprey observed attached to chinook salmon and lake trout since the inception of the charter catch reporting program. Incidence rates (number of lamprey per 100 fish) of attached sea lamprey have been much higher on Lake Huron than the other Great Lakes (Table 8). However, the incidence of lamprey attached to lake trout and chinook salmon harvested from Lake Huron has declined since the early 1990s.

From time to time the question regarding the veracity of the charter boat catch data has arisen both from within the MDNR and from individual charter operators themselves. The project biologist has always tried to assure that the data are being recorded by charter operators as soon as possible after the charter excursion has been completed. Charter operators have always been sent postcard notices 10 days and 30 days after their monthly reports were delinquent. Also, at the end of each season operators who were delinquent with their reports for two or more months were sent letters via certified mail. These reminders have proven very useful. The final compliance rate during 1991-96 ranged from $92-98 \%$ and averaged $97 \%$ per year. In addition, two very important changes were made to the program since 1991. First, during 1992, the charter boat catch reporting law was re-authorized and strengthen by the legislative and executive branches of Michigan state government. Most importantly the 1992 law required that charter operators have a record of their catch and effort on board their boats at all times. Second, the charter catch report form was redesigned for the 1996 season and provided a carbon copy for the charter operators records.

Providing for timely reporting is important, however providing for accuracy is another matter. It is difficult to insure that all charter operators are reporting in an honest manner. One way to test the accuracy of the charter data is to compare it to the creel survey (Study 427) data that has been collected over past several years. Catch rates for major species at high-use (based on angler hours) ports on the Great Lakes should show the same seasonal trends in both data sets. Correlation analysis of mean seasonal catch rates from the creel survey and from the charter reporting program indicate that catch rates were significantly ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ) correlated for chinook salmon, rainbow trout and lake trout at Ludington and Grand Haven during 1991-96 (Table 9). At the Lake Michigan Port of St. Joseph, rainbow trout catch rates correlated significantly. At Oscoda on Lake Huron, chinook salmon and rainbow trout catch rates correlated significantly. Neither walleye or yellow perch catch rates correlated significantly on Lake Erie at Monroe. Several reasons could cause the lower correlation of the Lake Erie walleye and yellow perch data, two are; first, many charter fisherman do not operate on Lake Erie during the entire season. Many operators fish only during May and June and then move to a Lake Michigan or Lake Huron port to fish for salmonines during July through September. Second, many Lake Erie charter operators dock in the Michigan waters of Lake Erie, but fish in the Ohio waters of the lake. This catch is not reported to Michigan. In general, these analysis support the opinion that the charter data are accurate.
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Table 1.-Total catch per hour, catch per excursion, number caught, and fishing effort (angler hours, trips, and charter excursions) by charter boats on Lake Michigan, 1996.

| Species | Total catch per hour | Total catch per excursion | Month |  |  |  |  |  |  | Season |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct |  |
| Coho salmon | 0.0271 | 0.8011 | 507 | 1,034 | 1,384 | 918 | 2,683 | 1,317 | 24 | 7,867 |
| Chinook salmon | 0.0879 | 2.5983 | 92 | 2,403 | 3,174 | 5,789 | 9,652 | 4,125 | 280 | 25,515 |
| Rainbow trout | 0.0628 | 1.8569 | 601 | 4,069 | 5,489 | 2,754 | 2,575 | 2,059 | 688 | 18,235 |
| Brown trout | 0.0114 | 0.3378 | 719 | 705 | 245 | 621 | 824 | 147 | 56 | 3,317 |
| Lake trout | 0.0725 | 2.1450 | 4 | 1,899 | 4,673 | 7,313 | 6,722 | 452 | 1 | 21,064 |
| Yellow perch | 0.1379 | 4.0783 | 9,902 | 8,169 | 5,780 | 7,133 | 8,370 | 695 | 0 | 40,049 |
| Walleye | 0.0035 | 0.1037 | 5 | 38 | 128 | 502 | 262 | 79 | 4 | 1,018 |
| Other | 0.0015 | 0.0453 | 56 | 9 | 32 | 168 | 104 | 31 | 45 | 445 |
| Lamprey on chinook salmon |  |  | 0 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 13 | 8 | 0 | 33 |
| Lamprey on lake trout |  |  | 0 | 9 | 50 | 46 | 53 | 2 | 0 | 160 |
| Angler hours |  |  | 16,253 | 35,929 | 50,602 | 67,042 | 87,897 | 28,463 | 4,205 | 290,391 |
| Angler trips |  |  | 2,863 | 6,075 | 8,374 | 11,190 | 14,567 | 4,744 | 622 | 48,435 |
| Anglers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resident |  |  | 1,739 | 3,861 | 5,118 | 7,202 | 9,479 | 3,289 | 328 | 31,016 |
| Nonresident |  |  | 1,124 | 2,214 | 3,256 | 3,988 | 5,088 | 1,455 | 294 | 17,419 |
| Charter excursions |  |  | 361 | 1,099 | 1,625 | 2,297 | 3,113 | 1,149 | 176 | 9,820 |

Table 2.-Total catch per hour, catch per excursion, number caught, and fishing effort (angler hours, trips, and charter excursions) by charter boats on Lake Huron, 1996.

| Species | Total catch per hour | Total catch per excursion | Month |  |  |  |  |  |  | Season |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct |  |
| Coho salmon | 0.0038 | 0.0806 | 2 | 17 | 50 | 15 | 77 | 45 | 0 | 206 |
| Chinook salmon | 0.1170 | 2.4491 | 17 | 594 | 663 | 1,006 | 2,906 | 1,003 | 71 | 6,260 |
| Rainbow trout | 0.0277 | 0.5810 | 8 | 75 | 212 | 285 | 756 | 134 | 15 | 1,485 |
| Brown trout | 0.0079 | 0.1659 | 17 | 80 | 30 | 115 | 150 | 32 | 0 | 424 |
| Lake trout | 0.0953 | 1.9961 | 0 | 514 | 980 | 1,489 | 1,989 | 127 | 3 | 5,102 |
| Yellow perch | 0.0283 | 0.5923 | 0 | 0 | 251 | 558 | 457 | 89 | 159 | 1,514 |
| Walleye | 0.0348 | 0.7289 | 2 | 24 | 15 | 1,007 | 777 | 38 | 0 | 1,863 |
| Other | 0.0143 | 0.2985 | 0 | 14 | 133 | 212 | 336 | 68 | 0 | 763 |
| Lamprey on chinook salmon |  |  | 0 | 14 | 18 | 54 | 122 | 33 | 0 | 241 |
| Lamprey on lake trout |  |  | 0 | 6 | 16 | 38 | 35 | 1 | 0 | 96 |
| Angler hours |  |  | 361 | 5,196 | 7,517 | 14,083 | 20,861 | 5,164 | 332 | 53,514 |
| Angler trips |  |  | 53 | 863 | 1,327 | 2,523 | 3,717 | 940 | 61 | 9,484 |
| Anglers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resident |  |  | 50 | 778 | 1,189 | 2,162 | 3,214 | 783 | 52 | 8,228 |
| Nonresident |  |  | 3 | 85 | 138 | 361 | 503 | 157 | 9 | 1,256 |
| Charter excursions |  |  | 18 | 244 | 353 | 681 | 977 | 261 | 22 | 2,556 |

Table 3.-Total catch per hour, catch per excursion, number caught, and fishing effort angler hours, trips, and charter excursions) by charter boats on Lake Erie, 1996.

| Species | Total catch per hour | Total catch per excursion | Month |  |  |  |  |  |  | Season |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct |  |
| Coho salmon | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Chinook salmon | 0.0000 | 0.0006 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Rainbow trout | 0.0001 | 0.0039 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Brown trout | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lake trout | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Yellow perch | 0.7841 | 21.0349 | 0 | 206 | 369 | 726 | 15,852 | 14,228 | 5,956 | 37,337 |
| Walleye | 0.8221 | 22.0558 | 401 | 4,524 | 20,118 | 12,321 | 1,783 | 2 | 0 | 39,149 |
| Other | 0.0276 | 0.7408 | 0 | 131 | 644 | 296 | 3 | 169 | 72 | 1,315 |
| Lamprey on chinook salmon |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lamprey on lake trout |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Angler hours |  |  | 605 | 6,708 | 20,743 | 11,785 | 4,422 | 2,412 | 945 | 47,620 |
| Angler trips |  |  | 107 | 1,199 | 3,872 | 2,265 | 804 | 456 | 180 | 8,883 |
| Anglers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resident |  |  | 96 | 980 | 3,439 | 2,035 | 722 | 412 | 157 | 7,841 |
| Nonresident |  |  | 11 | 219 | 433 | 230 | 82 | 44 | 23 | 1,042 |
| Charter excursions |  |  | 23 | 246 | 760 | 454 | 165 | 90 | 37 | 1,775 |

Table 4.-Total catch per hour, catch per excursion, number caught, and fishing effort (angler hours, trips, and charter excursions) by charter boats on Lake Superior, 1996 .

| Species | Total catch per hour | Total catch per excursion | Month |  |  |  |  |  |  | Season |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct |  |
| Coho salmon | 0.0189 | 0.6942 | 0 | 20 | 159 | 73 | 19 | 28 | 12 | 311 |
| Chinook salmon | 0.0030 | 0.1094 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 19 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| Rainbow trout | 0.0035 | 0.1272 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 15 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 57 |
| Brown trout | 0.0002 | 0.0089 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Lake trout | 0.2765 | 10.1540 | 0 | 18 | 1,050 | 1,645 | 1,572 | 259 | 5 | 4,549 |
| Yellow perch | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Walleye | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0.0001 | 0.0022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Lamprey on chinoo | salmon |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lamprey on lake tro |  |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 35 |
| Angler hours |  |  | 0 | 146 | 4,028 | 5,640 | 5,705 | 880 | 53 | 16,452 |
| Angler trips |  |  | 0 | 16 | 473 | 765 | 776 | 129 | 9 | 2,168 |
| Anglers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resident |  |  | 0 | 3 | 250 | 381 | 201 | 57 | 1 | 893 |
| Nonresident |  |  | 0 | 13 | 223 | 384 | 575 | 72 | 8 | 1,275 |
| Charter excursions |  |  | 0 | 3 | 96 | 157 | 162 | 28 | 2 | 448 |

Table 5.-Total catch per hour, catch per excursion, number caught, and fishing effort angler hours, trips, and charter excursions) by charter boats on Lake St. Clair and the St. Clair River, 1996 .

| Species | Total catch per hour | Total catch per excursion | Month |  |  |  |  |  |  | Season |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct |  |
| Coho salmon | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Chinook salmon | 0.0002 | 0.0058 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Rainbow trout | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Brown trout | 0.0002 | 0.0058 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Lake trout | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Yellow perch | 1.0030 | 26.0434 | 0 | 521 | 1,516 | 2,112 | 1,613 | 260 | 2,989 | 9,011 |
| Walleye | 0.1298 | 3.3699 | 52 | 11 | 335 | 618 | 148 | 2 | 0 | 1,166 |
| Other | 0.3114 | 8.0867 | 0 | 16 | 1,110 | 865 | 651 | 153 | 3 | 2,798 |
| Lamprey on chinook salmon |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lamprey on lake trout |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Angler hours |  |  | 72 | 348 | 2,592 | 3,134 | 1,836 | 538 | 464 | 8,984 |
| Angler trips |  |  | 18 | 63 | 433 | 491 | 287 | 77 | 68 | 1,437 |
| Anglers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resident |  |  | 18 | 63 | 423 | 479 | 284 | 73 | 68 | 1,408 |
| Nonresident |  |  | 0 | 0 | 10 | 12 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 29 |
| Charter excursions |  |  | 5 | 14 | 104 | 115 | 66 | 22 | 20 | 346 |

Table 6.-Catch rates (fish per 100 angler hours) by charter anglers for salmonines on lakes Michigan, Huron and Superior during 1991-96.

| Species | Michigan |  |  |  |  |  | Huron |  |  |  |  |  | Superior |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Coho salmon | 2.8 | 3.4 | 4.5 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| Chinook salmon | 7.0 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.8 | 8.8 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 7.2 | 8.3 | 11.5 | 11.7 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Rainbow trout | 7.2 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 2.9 | 6.3 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Brown trout | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | $<0.1$ | $<0.1$ |
| Lake trout | 8.7 | 7.6 | 9.7 | 10.4 | 10.2 | 7.3 | 7.9 | 6.6 | 4.3 | 6.3 | 6.6 | 9.5 | 27.9 | 25.5 | 28.2 | 25.3 | 25.6 | 27.7 |

Table 7.-Catch rates (fish per 100 angler hours) by charter anglers for yellow perch and walleye on lakes Huron, St. Clair and Erie during 1991-96.

|  | Huron |  |  |  |  |  | St. Clair |  |  |  |  |  | Erie |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Yellow perch | 7.4 | 6.3 | 4.0 | 4.9 | 3.9 | 2.8 | 16.8 | 15.1 | 40.4 | 85.5 | 67.1 | 100.3 | 34.1 | 43.3 | 43.9 | 28.7 | 53.4 | 78.4 |
| Walleye | 7.1 | 6.7 | 7.4 | 6.7 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 20.4 | 12.5 | 18.4 | 12.3 | 14.3 | 13.0 | 62.8 | 78.5 | 81.4 | 69.6 | 81.2 | 82.2 |

Table 8.-Sea lamprey incidence (lamprey per 100 fish) for chinook salmon and lake trout harvested by the charter fishery in the Michigan waters of the Great Lakes, 1991-96.

| Lake | Chinook salmon |  |  |  |  |  | Lake trout |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Michigan | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.8 |
| Huron | 13.9 | 13.6 | 7.6 | 7.1 | 6.4 | 3.8 | 5.7 | 4.6 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 1.9 |
| Superior | 8.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.8 |

Table 9.-Correlation coefficients (r) of charter and creel survey catch rates for various species at selected ports on the Great Lakes, 1991-96. $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ determined significance.

| Port and lake | Chinook salmon |  | Rainbow trout |  | Lake trout |  | Walleye |  | Yellow perch |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | r | Prob. | r | Prob. | r | Prob. | r | Prob. | r | Prob. |
| Ludington, Michigan | 0.99 | <0.01 | 0.87 | 0.02 | 0.95 | $<0.01$ |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Haven, Michigan | 0.86 | 0.03 | 0.86 | 0.03 | 0.95 | <0.01 |  |  |  |  |
| St. Joseph, Michigan | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.96 | $<0.01$ | 0.51 | 0.29 |  |  |  |  |
| Oscoda, Huron | 0.99 | <0.01 | 0.88 | 0.02 | 0.71 | 0.11 |  |  |  |  |
| Monroe, Erie |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.69 | 0.13 | 0.77 | 0.07 |
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