## STUDY PERFORMANCE REPORT

State: Michigan
Project No.: F-53-R-14
Study No.: 436
Title: Vital Statistics of walleye in Saginaw Bay

Period Covered:
April 1, 1997 to March 31, 1998

Study Objective: To determine exploitation, abundance, growth, mortality, movement, and recruitment for the expanding walleye population in Saginaw Bay.

Summary: Keller et al. (1987) and Mrozinski et al. (1991) summarized the results through 1988 of this study and related studies on Saginaw Bay. The reintroduction of walleye to Saginaw Bay began with the stocking of 5,500 walleye fry in 1972. Fingerling stocking began in 1974 and replaced fry stocking after 1982 (Table 1). The walleye population response to stocking was evidenced by: a sudden increase in commercial trap netters' incidental catch of small walleyes, beginning in 1979; a dramatic increase in the sport harvest beginning in 1984; and an increase in the Tittabawassee River spawning run, beginning in 1981.

In 1997, $1,006,377$ fingerling walleye were stocked in Saginaw Bay. An average of 663,877 walleye fingerlings was stocked annually during the period 1981-97 (Table 1). In 1993 and 1996, however, no walleye were reared for Saginaw Bay. Stocking was interrupted so that the contribution of wild fish to the bay could be evaluated. In years when stocking occurred, the average number of fingerlings planted out was 752,394 .

In 1997, 2,993 walleyes were tagged, bringing the total tagged to date in the Bay area to 56,317 . All tagging in 1997 was at Dow Dam (Tittabawassee River).

Mean age of male and female walleyes tagged in 1997 was 7.9 and 7.9 years, respectively, the oldest since tagging began. Age 5 and younger walleyes were almost absent from the spawning run in 1996 and 1997, suggesting the 1991, 1992, and 1993 year classes were very weak. From 1983-95, age 5 walleyes made up an average of $28 \%$ of the run and never composed less than $16 \%$. For 1996 and 1997, however, age 5 walleyes made up an average of only $4.2 \%$ of the spawning run.

The mean survival for walleyes tagged at Dow Dam since 1984 was estimated to be $68 \%( \pm 2 \%)$. Annual exploitation rate was estimated to be $9.1 \%$.

## Job 1. Title: Tag walleyes.

Findings: Since 1981, 56,317 walleyes have been tagged on the jaw with serially-numbered monel tags (Table 2). Most of the tagging was done below Dow Dam on the Tittabawassee River, where a large spawning run has developed since 1981. Some walleyes were tagged at other locations during supplemental surveys.

Walleyes were collected with 230 -volt DC electrofishing gear. We used one boat (four people aboard) and one or two tagging crews (two to five people each). Over 1,000 walleye can often be tagged per day.

In 1996, 2,993 walleyes were tagged in the Tittabawassee River below Dow Dam in approximately four days of effort. Fish were measured to 0.1 inch. Samples were externally sexed: mature males were ripe and could be identified easily; fish classified as females could have included some immature individuals of both sexes. Scales were taken from all walleyes tagged. A subsample of these scales from the height of the run was aged. All tagging information from 1997 has been entered into the database.

## Job 2. Title: Determine age and growth.

Findings: Each year, scale samples were collected from subsamples by size group to determine growth and age structure of the walleye population. Scales were taken from a random subsample of tagged walleyes from 1981 through 1984. From 1984 through 1993, scales were subsampled on a stratified-random basis. Ages from the latter were weighted by length-frequency data from the tagged-fish database to estimate the age composition of the entire tagged sample. Beginning in 1994, all scales collected from a single day's tagging effort were aged as a representative sample for age and growth data. The number of fish used in age determinations were 796 fish 1995, 1,099 in 1996, and 814 in 1997. Average lengths of walleyes tagged through 1997 are given by sex and year in Table 3. The estimated age distribution of fish tagged during spring from 1981 through 1996 is given in Table 4.

Over the period of this study, average age and average length of walleyes has generally increased. Initially, the increasing age reflected the recovery and maturing of the spawning population. Mean age of male and female walleyes tagged in 1997 was 7.9 and 7.9 years, respectively, the oldest since tagging began. Age-3 walleye were scarce in 1994, 1995, 1996, and 1997. From 1983-95, age 5 walleyes made up an average of $28 \%$ of the run and never composed less than $16 \%$. For 1996 and 1997, however, age 5 walleyes made up an average of only $4.2 \%$ of the spawning run. Thus, the 1991, 1992, and 1993 year classes appear to be very weak, and low recruitment in recent years is contributing to the older mean age of the population.

Growth of walleye continues to be rapid (Table 5). It can be expected that if the walleye population approaches the Bay's carrying capacity growth rates will decline.

## Job 3. Title: Collect tag returns.

Findings: As of April 1, 1997, 289 tag returns from fish caught in tagging year 1996-97 had been processed and entered in the database. A total of 56,317 walleye have been tagged, of which 45,861 were tagged from 1984 to 1997 during spring below Dow Dam. The tag return matrix for just the fish tagged at Dow Dam is given in Table 6.

Using the tag-recovery program ESTIMATE, Model 1 (for year-specific survival, fishing, and reporting rates) (Brownie et al. 1985), the following parameters were estimated:

| Mean recovery rate (percent) | 3.41 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $95 \%$ confidence interval | $3.27-3.54$ |
| Mean survival rate (percent) | 68.0 |
| $95 \%$ confidence interval | $65.9-70.0$ |
|  |  |
| Mean adult life span after tagging (years) | 2.59 |
| $95 \%$ confidence interval | $2.40-2.81$ |

Recovery rates peaked in 1992 at $5.5 \%$ and declined to $2.0 \%$ in 1995. The recovery rate for 1997 is conservative because not all tags for the 1996-97 tagging year had been received at the time the model was run. These trends in recovery rate suggest vulnerability to angling may have changed, which could explain some of the variation in harvest measured by Study 427. Harvest peaked in 1988 and remained below the 1988 level through 1992. In 1993, walleye harvest rose to a new peak level. Walleye harvest in 1995 and 1996 fell below the 10 -year average and recovered to near average in 1997. Tag recovery rates have roughly paralleled trends in harvest and effort.

Haas et al. (1988), in a comparable study at Lake St. Clair using \$2.00, \$4.00, \$6.00, and \$8.00 reward tags, estimated actual recoveries were about 1.5 times those reported by anglers. Using this correction factor, the annual harvest rate of walleye in Saginaw Bay is probably close to $5.1 \%$. More recently, Haas used $\$ 100.00$ reward tags on Lake Erie walleyes and estimated the correction factor for nonresponse was 2.68 (R. Haas, Michigan Department of Natural Resources, Study 460). This latter correction factor gives an annual exploitation rate of $9.1 \%$.

Although over $60 \%$ of tag returns have been from Saginaw Bay or the Saginaw River system, walleye tagged at Sand Point, near the outer reaches of the bay, often were caught outside the bay, usually from southern Lake Huron, and sometimes as far as Lake Erie. The recapture locations of all tag returns from the Dow Dam data set were digitized during 1994-97. Mapping of movement and digitizing of recapture locations will continue during 1998.

## Job 4. Title: Prepare annual reports.

Findings: This job was completed as scheduled.
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Table 1.-Number of walleye stocked in Saginaw Bay and tributaries, 197297.

| Year | Fry | Fingerlings |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| 1972 | $50,000,000$ | 0 |
| 1973 | $50,000,000$ | 0 |
| 1974 | 0 | 5,500 |
| 1975 | 300,000 | 0 |
| 1976 | 300,000 | 0 |
| 1977 | 400,000 | 4,070 |
| 1978 | 0 | 25,000 |
| 1979 | 300,000 | 334,427 |
| 1980 | 0 | 9,989 |
| 1981 | 800,000 | 294,656 |
| 1982 | 0 | 269,540 |
| 1983 | 0 | 869,000 |
| 1984 | 0 | 947,796 |
| 1985 | 0 | 954,218 |
| 1986 | 0 | 871,263 |
| 1987 | 0 | 632,204 |
| 1988 | 0 | 345,537 |
| 1989 | 0 | 834,375 |
| 1990 | 0 | 850,085 |
| 1991 | 0 | 622,687 |
| 1992 | 0 | 787,675 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 |
| 1994 | $1,100,000$ | $1,282,992$ |
| 1995 | 0 | 717,519 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 |
| 1997 | 0 | $1,006,377$ |
| Totals |  | $\mathbf{1 1 , 6 4 9}$ |

Table 2.-Number of walleye tagged, by site, 1981-97.

| Site | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |  |
| Tittabawassee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dow Dam | 400 | 722 | 3,436 | 3,548 | 3,335 | 2,923 | 6,020 | 4,036 | 2,494 | 2,488 | 3,079 | 2,995 | 2,989 | 2,999 | 2,970 | 2,992 | 2,993 | 50,419 |
| Sanford Dam | --- | --- | --- | --- | 531 | 608 | --- | --- | 497 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 1,636 |
| Other rivers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kawkawlin River | --- | --- | 126 | 112 | --- | --- | 56 | --- | 74 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 368 |
| AuGres River | --- | --- | --- | --- | 174 | 59 | 215 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 448 |
| Saginaw River | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | $115^{1}$ | --- | 418 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 533 |
| Saginaw Bay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumers | --- | --- | 10 | --- | --- | 0 | --- | --- | 207 | --- | -- | --- | --- | --- | -- | --- | --- | 217 |
| Power |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pt. AuGres | --- | --- | --- | 343 | 60 | 511 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 914 |
| Catfish Hole ${ }^{2}$ | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 529 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 529 |
| Pinconning | --- | --- | --- | 56 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 56 |
| Sand Point | --- | --- | --- | 89 | --- |  | 1,108 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 1,197 |
| Total | 400 | 722 | 3,572 | 4,148 | 4,100 | 4,630 | 7,399 | 4,151 | 3,272 | 2,906 | 3,079 | 2,995 | 2,989 | 2,999 | 2,970 | 2,992 | 2,993 | 56,317 |

${ }^{1}$ Tagged on May 7, 1988, in Saginaw River at Wickes Park during a walleye tournament.
${ }^{2}$ A 19 -foot deep depression about seven miles southwest of Pt. AuGres in Grid 1507 (includes 98 tagged).

Table 3.-Average total length (inches) of walleye collected by electrofishing below Dow Dam, Tittabawassee River, March-April 1981-97.

| Year | Female |  | Male |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Length | Number | Length | Number | Length | Number |
| 1981 | 20.8 | 87 | 13.8 | 272 | --- | 399 |
| 1982 | 20.3 | 179 | 17.8 | 513 | --- | 697 |
| 1983 | 21.6 | 2,082 | 19.6 | 1,300 | --- | 3,413 |
| 1984 | 23.0 | 1,052 | 18.6 | 2,421 | --- | 3,540 |
| 1985 | 20.9 | 1,322 | 18.0 | 1,662 | --- | 2,984 |
| 1986 | 21.1 | 1,370 | 18.3 | 2,023 | --- | 3,574 |
| 1987 | 21.5 | 1,736 | 18.6 | 3,829 | 19.1 | 5,976 |
| 1988 | 22.9 | 549 | 18.8 | 3,338 | 19.3 | 4,033 |
| 1989 | 22.1 | 1,774 | 19.1 | 1,244 | 20.8 | 3,064 |
| 1990 | 22.9 | 972 | 19.4 | 1,481 | 20.8 | 2,467 |
| 1991 | 23.0 | 2,232 | 19.2 | 843 | 22.0 | 3,079 |
| 1992 | 24.0 | 1,491 | 19.8 | 1,497 | 21.9 | 2,995 |
| 1993 | 22.9 | 1,323 | 19.2 | 1,666 | 20.9 | 2,989 |
| 1994 | 23.6 | 1,452 | 20.9 | 1,534 | 22.2 | 2,999 |
| 1995 | 23.2 | 962 | 21.2 | 2,003 | 21.9 | 2,970 |
| 1996 | 24.7 | 1,376 | 21.9 | 1,614 | 23.2 | 2,992 |
| 1997 | 24.8 | 1,905 | 21.8 | 1,088 | 23.8 | 2,993 |

Table 4.-Age composition (percent) of walleye sampled from Saginaw Bay tributaries during spring electrofishing, 1981-97.

|  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mean Age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | $14^{+}$ |  |
| 1981 | 0.3 | 56.0 | 22.6 | 20.0 | 1.1 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 2.7 |
| 1982 | --- | --- | 79.2 | 13.6 | 7.2 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 3.3 |
| 1983 | --- | --- | 0.7 | 85.3 | 4.4 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.7 | --- | --- | --- | --- | 4.3 |
| 1984 | --- | 14.7 | 18.2 | 22.1 | 33.8 | 8.2 | 3.0 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 4.1 |
| 1985 | 0.1 | 8.6 | 48.3 | 20.3 | 19.2 | 3.3 | 0.2 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 3.6 |
| 1986 | --- | 3.1 | 28.4 | 39.1 | 17.3 | 5.9 | 5.2 | 1.0 | 0.1 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 4.2 |
| 1987 | --- | 10.4 | 1.9 | 46.9 | 29.9 | 5.0 | 3.7 | 1.9 | 0.3 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 4.4 |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | --- | --- | 4.0 | 18.5 | 32.8 | 25.7 | 10.5 | 5.7 | 3.0 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 5.5 |
| Male | --- | 0.5 | 29.5 | 22.8 | 25.5 | 14.5 | 3.8 | 2.3 | 1.1 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 4.5 |
| 1989 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | --- | --- | 1.5 | 41.4 | 27.3 | 23.1 | 5.7 | 1.1 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 4.9 |
| Male | --- | 0.8 | 5.8 | 58.5 | 20.4 | 8.2 | 4.4 | 1.2 | 0.6 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 4.5 |
| 1990 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | --- | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 37.1 | 34.7 | 22.9 | 3.6 | 0.4 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 5.9 |
| Male | --- | 3.1 | 5.0 | 14.0 | 49.2 | 21.1 | 7.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 5.0 |
| 1991 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | --- | --- | 0.1 | 18.8 | 19.2 | 45.7 | 11.5 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 0.6 | --- | --- | --- | --- | 5.7 |
| Male | --- | 0.1 | 43.8 | 9.6 | 19.6 | 20.5 | 3.6 | 2.6 | 0.2 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 4.4 |
| 1992 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | --- | 0.1 | 0.0 | 9.4 | 14.5 | 12.1 | 17.9 | 13.7 | 10.2 | 12.9 | 4.6 | 3.0 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 7.5 |
| Male | --- | 0.6 | 19.5 | 30.8 | 17.4 | 17.6 | 11.4 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | --- | --- | --- | 4.8 |
| 1993 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | --- | --- | 1.6 | 13.7 | 31.8 | 11.7 | 18.6 | 14.6 | 6.5 | 1.2 | 0.3 | --- | --- | --- | 6.1 |
| Male | --- | --- | 33.3 | 25.6 | 14.2 | 12.6 | 9.0 | 2.9 | 1.1 | 1.3 | --- | --- | --- | --- | 4.6 |
| 1994 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | --- | --- | 1.3 | 17.3 | 32.7 | 16.0 | 7.7 | 12.2 | 7.7 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 0.6 | --- | --- | 6.0 |
| Male | --- | --- | 4.9 | 18.9 | 12.8 | 10.4 | 13.4 | 17.1 | 12.8 | 4.9 | 1.2 | --- | --- | --- | 6.5 |
| 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | --- | --- | --- | 9.4 | 53.1 | 13.4 | 9.1 | 7.1 | 3.9 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 0.4 | --- | --- | 5.8 |
| Male | --- | --- | 1.3 | 9.0 | 20.5 | 21.0 | 12.7 | 14.0 | 12.5 | 7.6 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.2 | --- | 6.7 |
| 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | --- | --- | --- | 0.2 | 9.1 | 18.4 | 22.6 | 13.1 | 12.6 | 15.9 | 6.9 | 1.3 | --- | --- | 7.8 |
| Male | --- | --- | 0.6 | 0.8 | 6.3 | 16.1 | 18.9 | 21.9 | 18.4 | 13.0 | 3.1 | 0.9 | --- | --- | 7.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | --- | --- | 0.4 | 4.1 | 1.3 | 11.8 | 26.8 | 22.9 | 12.4 | 8.4 | 7.1 | 4.9 | --- | --- |  |
| Male | --- | --- | --- | 1.5 | 0.3 | 15.2 | 23.6 | 27.3 | 16.1 | 9.2 | 4.0 | 2.0 | --- | 0.6 | 7.9 |

Table 5.-Mean total length (inches) at age of walleye from tagging operation, Tittabawassee River, spring 1992-97.

| Year class | Age | Male |  | Female |  | Age | Male |  | Female |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Length | Number | Length | Number |  | Length | Number | Length | Number |
|  | 1992 |  |  |  |  | 1993 |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1991 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1990 | 2 | 14.6 | 9 | --- | 0 | 3 | 16.4 | 29 | 21.6 | 1 |
| 1989 | 3 | 17.2 | 21 | --- | 0 | 4 | 18.4 | 20 | 18.4 | 17 |
| 1988 | 4 | 18.9 | 18 | 20.4 | 20 | 5 | 20.6 | 11 | 21.5 | 24 |
| 1987 | 5 | 20.1 | 10 | 21.6 | 16 | 6 | 21.8 | 13 | 23.5 | 9 |
| 1986 | 6 | 21.7 | 14 | 23.3 | 8 | 7 | 22.3 | 13 | 25.1 | 18 |
| 1985 | 7 | 22.8 | 16 | 23.4 | 11 | 8 | 24.2 | 13 | 25.8 | 18 |
| 1984 | 8 | 24.0 | 8 | 25.0 | 7 | 9 | 24.0 | 5 | 26.8 | 11 |
| 1983 | 9 | 24.2 | 3 | 26.0 | 8 | 10 | 22.8 | 2 | 28.2 | 6 |
| 1982 | 10 | 24.6 | 3 | 26.8 | 15 | 11 | --- | 0 | 29.1 | 3 |
| 1981 | 11 | 25.7 | 4 | 27.2 | 8 | 12 | 19.7 | 1 | --- | 0 |
| 1980 | 12 | --- | 0 | 28.5 | 8 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1979 | 13 | --- | 0 | 28.6 | 6 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1978 | 14 | --- | 0 | 28.6 | 1 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Total number |  |  | 106 |  | 108 |  |  | 107 |  | 107 |
|  | 1994 |  |  |  |  | 1995 |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 16.8 | 7 | --- | 0 |
| 1991 | 3 | 16.3 | 8 | 17.1 | 2 | 4 | 18.4 | 49 | 20.0 | 24 |
| 1990 | 4 | 18.2 | 31 | 20.2 | 27 | 5 | 19.9 | 111 | 22.1 | 135 |
| 1989 | 5 | 19.6 | 21 | 21.7 | 51 | 6 | 20.7 | 114 | 22.9 | 34 |
| 1988 | 6 | 20.8 | 17 | 23.2 | 25 | 7 | 21.4 | 69 | 24.0 | 23 |
| 1987 | 7 | 21.7 | 22 | 24.6 | 12 | 8 | 22.2 | 76 | 24.7 | 18 |
| 1986 | 8 | 22.2 | 28 | 25.3 | 19 | 9 | 22.7 | 68 | 27.3 | 10 |
| 1985 | 9 | 22.6 | 21 | 25.3 | 12 | 10 | 23.7 | 41 | 25.5 | 6 |
| 1984 | 10 | 23.6 | 8 | 25.2 | 3 | 11 | 23.6 | 4 | 28.3 | 3 |
| 1983 | 11 | 24.8 | 2 | 27.5 | 2 | 12 | 23.9 | 2 | 28.2 | 1 |
| 1982 | 12 | --- | 0 | 29.7 | 1 | 13 | 25.6 | 1 | --- | 0 |
| 1981 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 14 |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1979 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total number |  |  | 158 |  | 154 |  |  | 542 |  | 254 |

Table 5.-Contunued.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & \text { class } \end{aligned}$ | Age | Male |  | Female |  | Age | Male |  | Female |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Length | Number | Length | Number |  | Length | Number | Length | Number |
|  | 1996 |  |  |  |  | 1997 |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | --- | 0 | 20.5 | 2 |
| 1993 | 3 | 17.5 | 4 | --- | 0 | 4 | 20.0 | 5 | 20.8 | 19 |
| 1992 | 4 | 17.8 | 5 | 21.1 | 1 | 5 | 20.2 | 1 | 21.9 | 6 |
| 1991 | 5 | 19.6 | 41 | 21.7 | 41 | 6 | 20.5 | 53 | 23.0 | 55 |
| 1990 | 6 | 20.5 | 104 | 23.3 | 83 | 7 | 21.1 | 82 | 24.2 | 125 |
| 1989 | 7 | 21.3 | 122 | 24.1 | 102 | 8 | 21.8 | 95 | 24.9 | 107 |
| 1988 | 8 | 22.2 | 142 | 25.0 | 59 | 9 | 22.7 | 56 | 26.3 | 58 |
| 1987 | 9 | 23.0 | 119 | 26.5 | 57 | 10 | 23.4 | 32 | 26.8 | 39 |
| 1986 | 10 | 23.2 | 84 | 27.1 | 72 | 11 | 23.6 | 14 | 27.1 | 33 |
| 1985 | 11 | 24.3 | 20 | 28.1 | 31 | 12 | 24.8 | 7 | 28.1 | 23 |
| 1984 | 12 | 24.9 | 6 | 28.3 | 6 | 13 | --- | 0 | --- | 0 |
| 1983 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 14 | 26.8 | 1 | --- | 0 |
| 1982 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 15 | --- | 0 | --- | 0 |
| 1981 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 16 | 21.5 | 1 | --- | 0 |
| Total number |  |  | 647 |  | 452 |  |  | 347 |  | 467 |

Table 6.-Tag return matrix for walleye tagged at Dow Dam during spring, 1984-97.

| Recovery year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tag year | Number tagged | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | Total returns | Estimated recovery rate |
| 1984 | 3,548 | 77 | 98 | 69 | 56 | 33 | 21 | 9 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 383 | 2.17 |
| 1985 | 3,335 |  | 100 | 95 | 59 | 31 | 12 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 317 | 3.01 |
| 1986 | 2,923 |  |  | 120 | 100 | 42 | 18 | 19 | 12 | 10 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 337 | 4.29 |
| 1987 | 6,020 |  |  |  | 307 | 121 | 64 | 22 | 19 | 24 | 14 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 588 | 4.77 |
| 1988 | 4,036 |  |  |  |  | 163 | 86 | 34 | 28 | 20 | 17 | 12 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 371 | 3.91 |
| 1989 | 2,494 |  |  |  |  |  | 71 | 47 | 37 | 51 | 18 | 15 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 252 | 3.53 |
| 1990 | 2,488 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60 | 55 | 53 | 34 | 9 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 228 | 2.44 |
| 1991 | 3,079 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75 | 113 | 51 | 16 | 9 | 11 | 11 | 286 | 2.67 |
| 1992 | 2,995 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 170 | 83 | 30 | 19 | 14 | 10 | 326 | 5.40 |
| 1993 | 2,989 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 154 | 52 | 31 | 24 | 17 | 278 | 4.88 |
| 1994 | 2,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 76 | 49 | 44 | 36 | 205 | 2.75 |
| 1995 | 2,970 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 54 | 54 | 45 | 150 | 1.99 |
| 1996 | 2,992 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 71 | 71 | 142 | 2.46 |
| 1997 | 2,993 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 80 | 80 | 2.67 |
| Mean | 3,276 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.35 |
| Total | 45,861 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,945 |  |

