

Revision Date: 6-24-11

Stand Examiner: Debbie Goupell

Legal Description: T43N R28W Sec 15, 16, 21, 22, 27, 28

Management Goals: This compartment has approximately one-third lowland and two-thirds upland. The upland has one-third of its composition in aspen, while cedar makes up over three-fourths of the lowland. Management of all stands is to balance age class distribution, particularly in the aspen, and encourage the best suited species to continue to grow on the best suited sites. This compartment has a strong component and tendency toward upland mixed pine species. Hardwood management goals are to put growth on the best trees in place by removing crown competition. We will protect all bodies of water, especially the Ford River.

Soil and Topography: The south half of the compartment is dominated by Escanaba loamy fine sand, a well drained soil found on level to rolling hills, where much of the pine component is found. Emmet fine sandy loams and Pemene fine sandy loams, also well drained soils, are also found. Lowland soils are Carbondale and Cathro mucks which are very poorly drained soils, found on low flats and depressions. Waucedah Cathro complex is found predominantly in the floodplain of the Ford River.

Ownership Patterns, Development, and Land Use in and Around the Compartment: The compartment is bordered by State land on the north and most of the west side, and private ownership on the east and south. The area is heavily used by loggers, hunters, fisherpeople, campers, trappers, general recreationists.

Unique, Natural Features: Ford River

Archeological, Historical, and Cultural Features: Great Lakes Gas Transmission Company has an underground pipeline through the south portion of the compartment.

Special Management Designations or Considerations: None known

Watershed and Fisheries Considerations: Protect water quality for all bodies of water, particularly the Ford River and its tributaries. Promote long lived conifer species along these waterbodies and use adequate buffer protection.

Wildlife Habitat Considerations: This compartment includes the Pickerel Lake Deeryard. This is a very diverse deeryard that contains fir/spruce, natural red and white pine and significant amount of reproduction of each in the uplands and transition zones with cedar and black spruce in the lowlands. Interspersed forage areas are accessible from conifer cover and provide a valuable source of browse throughout the winter. Openings will be maintained as logistics allow.

Mineral Resource and Development Concerns and/or Restrictions: Surface sediments consist of coarsetextured and medium-textured glacial till. There is less than 100 feet of glacial drift thickness. The Cambrian Munising Group and Precambrian granite and gneiss and the Michigamme Formation subcrop below the glacial drift. There is not an economic use for these rocks, although the granite might have building stone potential. Randville Dolomite quarries are located seven miles to the south. Groveland Iron mine is located approximately ten miles to the southwest. The southern portion of this compartment was previously leased for metallic exploration and potential may still exist. A gravel pit is located in Section 27. There appears to be gravel potential in the compartment. There is no economic oil and gas production in the UP.

Vehicle Access: County Road 581 is the primary access along the east; Turner Road (county road) through the center. Dry Lake Road and other woods roads provide good access to most of the upland stands.

Survey Needs: Needed in Section 22, 27 & 28

Recreational Facilities and Opportunities: Hunting, camping, fishing, trapping, hiking, canoeing.

Fire Protection: Felch protection area. Access to most upland stands is fairly good, access to some swamp types would be difficult.

Additional Compartment Information:

- > The following 5 reports from the Operations Inventory System (OIPC) are attached:
 - Cover Type by Age Class
 - Cover Type by Management Objective
 - ♦ Compartment Volume Summary
 - Proposed Treatments No Limiting Factors
 - Proposed Treatments With Limiting Factors
- > The following information is displayed, where pertinent, on the attached compartment maps:
 - Base feature information, stand numbers, cover types
 - Proposed treatments
 - Proposed road access system
 - Suggested potential old growth









Table 1 – Total Acres by Cover Type and Age Class

Crystal Falls Mgt. Unit Deborah Goupell : Examiner

Compartment 037 Year of Entry 2013



| | | | | | | | Age | Class | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----|---|-----|--------|------------------------|-----|--|-------|-------|------|--------|------|-----------------|---------|---------|---|-------|
| | Hor | A Street | 6°2 | 10°.79 | 67. 10 ⁻ | | 10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-1 | 65.05 | 60.00 | R. D | 99-00- | 66.7 | 10 ⁰ | 8LL 8LL | 20× 150 | AND | 1810. |
| Aspen | 0 | 56 | 251 | 64 | 0 | 96 | 27 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 593 | |
| Bog | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | l |
| Cedar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 442 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 442 | |
| Herbaceous Openland | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | |
| Lowland Aspen/Balsam Poplar | 0 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 163 | |
| Lowland Conifers | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | |
| Lowland Shrub | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87 | |
| Mixed Upland Deciduous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 49 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72 | |
| Natural Mixed Pines | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 | |
| Northern Hardwood | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 112 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 112 | |
| Red Pine | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | |
| Upland Conifers | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 | |
| Upland Mixed Forest | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 | ĺ |
| Urban | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |] |
| Total | 140 | 56 | 336 | 74 | 0 | 105 | 41 | 77 | 149 | 694 | 130 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1801 | |



_

| MICHIGAN | Crystal Falls Mgt. Unit | | | | | | | | | | | Compartment | 037 |
|----------|--------------------------|------------|--------------|---------|----------------|---------------------------|---------|--|---------|--------------|-----------------|--------------------------|------|
| | Year of Entry 2013 | | | | | | | | | | | Total Compartment Acres: | 1801 |
| | | | | | Acre | s by T | reatme | ent Ty | ре | | | | |
| | Commercial Harvest - 239 | Site Pi | rep - 0 | | Т | ree Pl | anting | - 0 | | Pres | cribed Burn - 0 | Other - 0 | |
| | Habitat Cut - 0 | Openii | ng Maintenai | nce - C |) Т | ree Se | eeding | - 0 | | Pesti | cide - 0 | | |
| | | | | | Cov | er Ty | oe by ⊦ | larves | st Meth | nod | | | |
| | | | | | AND CONTRACTOR | Contraction of the second | ood Leo | and a start of the | in or | A CONTRACTOR | Pole Pole | | |
| | Aspen | | | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | ĺ | | |
| | Lowlan | d Conifers | | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | I | | |
| | Mixed | Upland Dec | iduous | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | Ι | | |
| | Red Pir | ne | | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | I | | |
| | Upland | Mixed Fore | est | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 | I | | |
| | | Г | Total | 227 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 239 | | | |

| S t | | Crystal Falls Mgt. Unit | | Table 3 wi | Tre th No I | atments Pre _imiting Fac | escribed tor | Compartment: 037 Year of Entry 2013 | DNR DNR |
|-----------------------|---|---|--|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| n d | Treatment Name | Acres | Stage1 CoverType | Size Density | Stand Age | Treatment Type | Treatment Method | Cover Type Objective | Approval Status |
| 10 | 12037010-Cut | 40.7 | 6129 - Mixed Coniferous Lowland Forest | High Density Pole | 97 | Harvest | Clearcut with Reserves | 6129 - Mixed Coniferous Lowland Forest | Cmpt. Review Proposal |
| Presci Specs | <u>ription_</u> Cut all sp <u>:</u> | pecies 2 | " dbh and greater exce | ept cedar. Winter ha | arvest, u | nless very dry si | ummer. | | |
| <u>Other</u> Comm | Black sp | ruce is I | heavier on west, tamai | ack heavier on eas | t end of s | stand | | | |
| <u>Next</u> Steps: | check re | generat | ion per WIs. Black spr | uce, tamarack, birc | h, red ma | aple will be acce | eptable regen species. | | |
| 29 | 12037029-Cut | 12.3 | 42210 - Natural Red Pine | High Density Log | 97 | Harvest | Single Tree Selection | 42210 - Natural Red Pine | Cmpt. Review Proposal |
| Presci Specs | <u>ription</u> Thin to E <u>:</u> | 8A 120 r | eleasing residual crow | ns on 2 sides | | | | | |
| <u>Other</u> Comm | nents: | | | | | | | | |
| <u>Next</u> Steps: | <u>.</u> | | | | | | | | |
| 39 | 12037039-Cut | 60.1 | 4133 - Aspen, Mixed Pine | High Density Log | 72 | Harvest | Clearcut with Reserves | 42260 - Natural Pine, Mixed Deciduous | Cmpt. Review Proposal |
| Presci Specs | ription Remove <u>:</u> requires | all spec harvest | ties 2"dbh and greater after July 15 (due to b | , retaining red & wh ark beetle). Anticipa | ite pine, ate mixe | cedar if present d deciduous/cor | . Summer logging to incr niferous regeneration, hop | ease scarification unles pefully with additional p | ss drought ine recruitment. |
| <u>Other</u> Comm | nents: | | | | | | | | |
| <u>Next</u> Steps: | Consider | r anchoi | chaining post harvest | if scarification is no | ot sufficie | ent to encourage | e pine regen. Check rege | n per WIs | |
| 40 | 12037040-Cut | 24.8 | 4191 - Mixed Upland Deciduous with Conifer | High Density Log | 72 | Harvest | Clearcut with Reserves | 4191 - Mixed Upland Deciduous with Conifer | Cmpt. Review Proposal |
| Presci Specs | ription Cut all sp <u>:</u> of stand. regenera timber ha | Decies 2 Poor as Note on es auled we | "dbh and greater exce spen site index. Anticip ast half of treatment. C esterly. Retention will t | pt red & white pine, pate pine/fir mix to r only skid trail (no roa pe buffer zone. | , cedar if egenerat ad) will b | present. Leave te with some de e allowed throug | 300 foot buffer to Ford R ciduous. Aspen, pine, fir, gh buffer zone between tr | tiver. Red pine is heaving mixed deciduous will n reatment areas if east e | er on west 1/2 nore likely end needs |
| <u>Other</u> Comm | nents: | | | | | | | | |
| <u>Next</u> Steps: | May con | sider an | chor chaining west ha | If of stand to promo | te pine re | egeneration. che | eck for regen as required | by WIs | |
| 43 | 12037043-Cut | 93.0 | 4311 - Pine, Aspen Mix | High Density Log | 88 | Harvest | Clearcut with Reserves | 42260 - Natural Pine, Mixed Deciduous | Cmpt. Review Proposal |
| Presci Specs | ription_Cut all sp <u>:</u> Consider | pecies 2 r snow f | "dbh and greater exce ree harvest to encoura | pt red & white pine, ge ground scarifica | cedar if tion to pr | present. Expect romote pine reg | t stand composition to re eneration. | generate similar to exis | ting stand. |
| <u>Other</u> Comm | nents: | | | | | | | | |
| <u>Next</u> Steps: | Consider | r anchor | chaining to promote a | additional pine rege | neration | immediately pos | st harvest. Check for rege | en as required by WIs | |

Crystal Falls Mgt. Unit

Table 3 - Treatments Prescribed with No Limiting Factor

Compartment: 037 Year of Entry 2013

| OF NATURAL DESIG |
|------------------|
| DNR C |
| Approval |

| S t | | | | wit | h No L | imiting Fact. | or | Year of Entry 2013 | DNR DNR | |
|----------------------|---|-----------|--|---------------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------------------|--|--------------------------|--|
| n d | Treatment Name | Acres | Stage1 CoverType | Size Density | Stand Age | Treatment Type | Treatment Method | Cover Type Objective | Approval Status | |
| 47 | 12037047-Cut | 3.0 | 4191 - Mixed Upland Deciduous with Conifer | High Density Pole | 67 | Harvest | Clearcut with Reserves | 4191 - Mixed Upland Deciduous with Conifer | Cmpt. Review Proposal | |
| Preso Spec | <u>Prescription</u> Remove all species 2" dbh and greater except red and white pine Expect same species mix as regeneration <u>specs:</u> | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>Other</u> Comr | <u></u> ments: | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>Next</u> Steps | check for s: | r regen a | as required by WIs | | | | | | | |
| 48 | 12037048-Cut | 5.1 | 4191 - Mixed Upland Deciduous with Conifer | High Density Pole | 60 | Harvest | Clearcut with Reserves | 4191 - Mixed Upland Deciduous with Conifer | Cmpt. Review Proposal | |
| Preso Spec | <u>cription</u> Remove <u>s:</u> | all spec | ies 2"dbh and greate | except red and whit | te pine. | | | | | |
| <u>Other</u> Comr | <u></u> ments: | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>Next</u> Steps | check for | regen a | as required by WIs | | | | | | | |
| | Total Treatman | | | | | | | | | |

Total Treatment 239.1 Acreage Proposed:

| S t | | Crystal F | alls Mgt. Unit | Table 4 | - Treatme a Limiti | ents Prescrib ng Factor | Compartment: 037 Year of Entry 2013 | DNR MATURAL OF | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|--|-------------------------|--------------------|
| n d | Treatment Name | Acres | Stage1 CoverType | Size Density | Stand Age | Treatment Type | Treatment Method | Cover Type Objective | Approval Status |
| | | | #Error | | | | | | |
| Presc Specs | ription 3: | | | | | | | | |
| <u>Other</u> Comn | nent: | | | | | | | | |
| <u>Next</u> <u>Steps</u> | <u>.</u> | | | | | | | | |
| <u>Limitir</u> <u>Treatr</u> | ng Factor and No ment Reason | <u>)</u> | | | | | | | |
| Ac | Total Treatmen reage Propose | ıt d: | 0 | | | | | | |

Out of YOE -- Treatments Prescribed with No Limiting Factor

Year of Entry: 2013

DR. Archigan

| Treatment | Acres | Stage1 | Size | Stand | Treatment | Treatment | Cover Type | Approval |
|------------------------|-------|-----------|---------|-------|-----------|---------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|
| Name | | CoverType | Density | Age | Type | Method | Objective | Status |
| 12060_OutOfY OE-Cut | 6.0 | | | | Harvest | Clearcut with Reserves | 4130 - Aspen | Cmpt. Review Proposal |

<u>Prescription</u> Clearcut 2" dbh and above except cedar, hemlock and pine if present. <u>Specs:</u>

6.0

Other_

Comments:

<u>Next</u> Steps:

> Total Treatment Acreage Proposed:

| S t | Crystal Falls Mgt. Unit | | | 5 – Fo | prested Star | nds Compartment: 037 Year of Entry: 2013 |
|-------------|--|-------------------------|-------|--------------|--------------|---|
| a n d | Level 4 Cover Type | Size Density | Acres | Stand Age | BA Range | General Comments: |
| 1 | 4112 - Maple, Beech, Cherry Association | High Density Pole | 6.0 | 86 | 81-110 | |
| 2 | 4134 - Aspen, Spruce/Fir | High Density Pole | 33.6 | 43 | | larger trees on south edge |
| 3 | 4130 - Aspen | High Density Sapling | 60.1 | 11 | | Cut in 1999 |
| 4 | 4134 - Aspen, Spruce/Fir | High Density Pole | 26.7 | 56 | | birch component heavier along north edge |
| 5 | 4110 - Sugar Maple Association | High Density Pole | 97.3 | 86 | 81-110 | Dry Lake Snake TS cut 2004 |
| 6 | 6120 - Lowland Cedar | High Density Pole | 14.1 | 87 | | stream/drainage in here |
| 7 | 4130 - Aspen | High Density Sapling | 24.4 | 27 | | TSj closed in 1984; pocket of larger (~9" dbh) aspen in center of stand |
| 8 | 6120 - Lowland Cedar | High Density Pole | 30.7 | 87 | | west end of stand appears to have been cut = black ash (E3) |
| 10 | 6129 - Mixed Coniferous Lowland Forest | High Density Pole | 40.7 | 97 | | Black spruce is heavier on west half, tamarack heavier on east end. Small pockets of pure cedar and some cedar is scattered. Tag swale in SW. |
| 12 | 42290 - Natural Mixed Pine | High Density Pole | 14.6 | 55 | | 1954 photo shows mostly open |
| 13 | 4134 - Aspen, Spruce/Fir | High Density Pole | 8.7 | 40 | | |
| 15 | 4130 - Aspen | High Density Sapling | 68.6 | 13 | | Ralph TS closed 1987 |
| 16 | 4130 - Aspen | High Density Sapling | 18.4 | 13 | | Ralph TS closed 1987 |
| 17 | 6111 - Lowland Balsam Poplar | High Density Pole | 77.2 | 90 | | Stand is along the Ford River; much of the Balm of gilead is falling down |
| 18 | 6120 - Lowland Cedar | High Density Pole | 50.3 | 85 | | heavier pocket of white cedar to east, near private |
| 20 | 6120 - Lowland Cedar | High Density Pole | 51.5 | 85 | | |
| 21 | 4134 - Aspen, Spruce/Fir | High Density Sapling | 39.5 | 25 | | Cut in 1985 |
| 22 | 6111 - Lowland Balsam Poplar | High Density Sapling | 85.5 | 15 | | Old Grade TS closed 1996 |

| S t | Crystal Falls Mgt. Unit | | | 5 – Fo | prested Sta | nds Compartment: 037 Year of Entry: 2013 |
|-------------|---|-------------------------|-------|--------------|-------------|---|
| a n d | Level 4 Cover Type | Size Density | Acres | Stand Age | BA Range | General Comments: |
| 23 | 4110 - Sugar Maple Association | High Density Pole | 4.9 | 86 | 81-110 | |
| 24 | 4134 - Aspen, Spruce/Fir | High Density Log | 42.9 | 45 | | |
| 26 | 6120 - Lowland Cedar | High Density Pole | 59.0 | 86 | | |
| 27 | 4199 - Other Mixed Upland Deciduous | High Density Pole | 14.9 | 86 | 81-110 | |
| 28 | 4110 - Sugar Maple Association | High Density Pole | 4.1 | 86 | 81-110 | Dry lake Snake TS cut 2004 |
| 29 | 42210 - Natural Red Pine | High Density Log | 12.3 | 97 | 141-170 | |
| 30 | 4134 - Aspen, Spruce/Fir | High Density Pole | 10.5 | 41 | | Aspen ranges dbh 7-14" |
| 31 | 6120 - Lowland Cedar | High Density Pole | 9.6 | 85 | | |
| 32 | 429 - Mixed Upland Conifers | High Density Pole | 8.9 | 49 | | Cut with stand to north next entry (2023) |
| 33 | 6120 - Lowland Cedar | High Density Pole | 9.4 | 85 | | |
| 34 | 6120 - Lowland Cedar | Medium Density Pole | 174.6 | 85 | | |
| 35 | 429 - Mixed Upland Conifers | High Density Pole | 31.1 | 80 | 81-110 | Appears that much of the current understory was cut in 1974 and left most of the red pine; may be a stand to move toward pine |
| 36 | 4133 - Aspen, Mixed Pine | High Density Sapling | 103.8 | 16 | | Picket Line TS cut 1994. Pine is residual overstory |
| 37 | 42260 - Natural Pine, Mixed Deciduous | High Density Pole | 10.1 | 27 | | Appears to be an old wildlife cut for habitat as there are no timber sale records |
| 39 | 4133 - Aspen, Mixed Pine | High Density Log | 60.1 | 72 | | This stand can be/is moving toward a pine stand. Cut will definitely result in aspen throughout the stand but may want to consider anchor chaining in heavier pine areas (south half of stand) to promote additional pine. |
| 40 | 4191 - Mixed Upland Deciduous with Conifer | High Density Log | 49.0 | 72 | | not a great aspen site; will become a pine/fir stand |
| 42 | 4133 - Aspen, Mixed Pine | High Density Log | 39.9 | 72 | | fair amount of white pine potential; fair to decent WP/RP site. Aspen falling out |

| S t | Crystal Falls Mgt. Unit | | | 5 – Fo | prested Sta | nds Compartment: 037 Year of Entry: 2013 |
|-------------|---|-------------------------|-------|--------------|-------------|--|
| a n d | Level 4 Cover Type | Size Density | Acres | Stand Age | BA Range | General Comments: |
| 43 | 4311 - Pine, Aspen Mix | High Density Log | 93.0 | 88 | 111-140 | South half of stand (east of trail road) has more aspen/red maple/birch and will therefore tend to regenerate to such. May want to consider scarification of northern half to promote more pine |
| 44 | 4133 - Aspen, Mixed Pine | High Density Sapling | 55.9 | 4 | | CoManager TS cut 2006 |
| 45 | 6120 - Lowland Cedar | High Density Pole | 43.0 | 85 | | |
| 46 | 429 - Mixed Upland Conifers | High Density Pole | 37.3 | 65 | 111-140 | Red pine is concentrated more on the west side of trail road; east of trail road leans more toward mixed conifer type with aspen |
| 47 | 4191 - Mixed Upland Deciduous with Conifer | High Density Pole | 3.0 | 67 | | Remove all but pine |
| 48 | 4191 - Mixed Upland Deciduous with Conifer | High Density Pole | 5.1 | 60 | | |
| 53 | 42260 - Natural Pine, Mixed Deciduous | High Density Log | 31.2 | 65 | | |

Crystal Falls Mgt. Unit

6 – Nonforested Stands

Compartment: 037

Year of Entry: 2013

NATURA

| Stand | Cover Type | Acres | Managed Site | Management Priority (Objective) | General Comments: |
|-------|---------------------------|-------|-----------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 9 | 122 - Road/Parking Lot | 3.8 | N\A | Unspecified | |
| 11 | 6220 - Alder/willow | 13.3 | N\A | Unspecified | |
| 14 | 3102 - Grass | 10.6 | N\A | Unspecified | |
| 19 | 122 - Road/Parking Lot | 13.5 | N\A | Unspecified | |
| 25 | 622 - Lowland Shrub | 46.6 | N\A | Unspecified | |
| 38 | 622 - Lowland Shrub | 27.4 | N\A | Unspecified | |
| 41 | 6225 - Bog | 11.1 | N\A | Unspecified | |
| 49 | 122 - Road/Parking Lot | 0.3 | N\A | Unspecified | |
| 50 | 122 - Road/Parking Lot | 0.8 | N\A | Unspecified | |
| 51 | 310 - Herbaceous Openland | 10.1 | N\A | Unspecified | |
| 52 | 122 - Road/Parking Lot | 2.1 | N\A | Unspecified | |



7 – PROPOSED SPECIAL CONSERVATION AREA* (SCA) DETAILS

* This is a partial list of SCAs for this compartment. Not included are those areas identified under other Department initiatives (Natural Rivers, Deer Wintering Areas, etc.). Those will be identified in separate, future map and report products.

| Stand | SCA Type | SCA Name | Acres | Comments |
|-------|----------|----------|-------|----------|
| | | | | |



8 – DEDICATED CONSERVATION AREA DETAILS

* This is a list of Dedicated Biodiversity Areas for this compartment along with a 1/4 mile buffer surrounding the compartment. Refer to Dedicated Conservation Area Map for areas that the below listed Conservation Areas are located.

| Conservatio Area | on Type | Description | ERA = Ecological Reference Area HCVA = High Conservation Value Area SCA = Special Conservation Area |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|
| SCA | Cold Water Lake | coldwater lake has temperature and dissolved oxygen conditions that allow naturally-reproduced or tocked trout populations and those of other coldwater fish species to persist from year to year. Suitable onditions for coldwater fishes may occur in Michigan lakes if they are relatively deep, have substantial roundwater inflows, or are located in colder (northern) areas of the state. Such lakes are established by birector's action and designated as trout resources by Fisheries Order 200. | |
| SCA | Cold Water Stream | A coldwater stream has temperature and dissolved oxygen conditions that allow naturally-reproduced or stocked trout populations and those of other coldwater fish species (e.g., slimy sculpin) to persist from year to year. Coldwater streams in Michigan typically provide these conditions due to substantial contributions of groundwater to their stream flows. Such streams are established by Director's action and designated as trout resources by Fisheries Order 210. | |
| SCA | Concentrated Recreation Area | Facilities that are designed and maintained for routine or heavy r State Forest campgrounds, motorized and non-motorized trails, t access sites. | ecreational use, including State Parks, trailheads, staging areas and public |