

Revision Date: 6-23-2010

Stand Examiner: Terry Cryderman

Legal Description: T43N R28W Sec 7-9, 16-21

Identified Planning Goals ('Management Area' or 'RMU', if applicable):

Management Goals: Develop age class distribution in aspen type. Maintain diversity in hardwood types. Increase conifers where possible.

Soil and Topography: Rolling hills to swamps.

Ownership Patterns, Development, and Land Use in and Around the Compartment: This compartment is all State-owned land, used by loggers, hunters, fisherpeople, campers, trappers, general recreationists. State land surrounds much of the compartment boundary.

Unique, Natural Features: North Branch of Ford River, Ford River, Dry Lake

Archeological, Historical, and Cultural Features: None known

Special Management Designations or Considerations: None known

Watershed and Fisheries Considerations: Protect water quality for all bodies of water, particularly the North Branch of the Ford River and the Ford River. Promote long lived conifer species along these waterbodies and use adequate buffer protection.

Wildlife Habitat Considerations:

Mineral Resource and Development Concerns and/or Restrictions: Surface sediments consist of medium-textured glacial till. There is insufficient data to determine the glacial drift thickness. The Cambrian Munising Formation and Precambrian granite/gneiss subcrop below the glacial drift. There is not an economic use for these rocks, although some might have dimension stone potential. Groveland Iron mine is located approximately twelve miles to the southwest. The southwest portion of this compartment was previously leased for metallic exploration and potential may still exist. A gravel pit is located within two miles to the south of the compartment. There may be gravel potential. There is no economic oil and gas production in the UP.

Vehicle Access: Turner Road (county gravel) west of Ralph, Dry Lake Rd (poor two-track) and Vicenzie Road (poor two-track).

Survey Needs: Needed in sections 17-20

Recreational Facilities and Opportunities: Hunting, camping, fishing, trapping, hiking, canoeing.

Fire Protection: Felch protection area. Access to most upland stands is poor to fair, access to some swamp types would be difficult.

Additional Compartment Information:

- > The following reports from the Inventory are attached:
 - Total Acres by Cover Type and Age Class
 - ♦ Proposed Treatment Summary
 - Proposed Treatments No Limiting Factors
 - Proposed Treatments With Limiting Factors
 - Stand Details (Forested and Nonforested)
 - Dedicated and Proposed Special Conservation Areas
- > The following information is displayed, where pertinent, on the attached compartment maps:
 - Base feature information, stand boundaries, cover types, and numbers
 - Proposed treatments
 - Details on the road access system







Table 1 – Total Acres by Cover Type and Age Class

Crystal Falls Mgt. Unit

Compartment 038 Year of Entry 2012



	Age Class																
	HOL	Asses	or f	70,79	6;-130	30 ^{,3} 9	014	65. ₀₅	69.00	6 ^{1,3}	60-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-0	66.2	001.001	6L1.0L1	× ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	400 × 400 ×	100
Aspen	0	98	418	608	332	323	34	0	0	82	0	0	0	0	0	1895	
Cedar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	84	91	3	0	0	0	0	179	
Herbaceous Openland	141	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	141	
Lowland Aspen/Balsam Poplar	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	
Lowland Conifers	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	5	28	0	0	0	0	0	41	
Lowland Mixed Forest	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	87	0	0	0	0	0	94	
Lowland Shrub	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35	
Lowland Spruce/Fir	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	47	9	0	0	0	0	0	56	
Northern Hardwood	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	235	0	0	0	0	0	235	
Red Pine	0	0	0	0	0	34	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	50	
Upland Conifers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	54	0	0	0	0	0	54	
Upland Mixed Forest	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	38	
Upland Shrub	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	
Upland Spruce/Fir	0	0	0	0	0	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	44	1
Water	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	
Total	197	98	421	608	340	416	34	0	142	626	3	0	0	0	0	2885	



Table 2 – Proposed Treatment Summaries

Crystal Falls Mgt. Unit Year of Entry 2012											Compartment Total Compartment Acres:	038 2885
				Acre	s by T	reatm	ent Ty	ре				
Commercial Harvest - 54	0 Site P	rep - 0		Т	ree Pl	anting	- 0		Pres	cribed Burn - 0	Other - 0	
Habitat Cut - 0	Openi	ng Maintena	nce - 11	0 T	ree Se	eeding	- 0		Pesti	cide - 0		
				Cov	er Ty	pe by l	Harves	st Meth	nod			
			58	an contraction	Co Click	00 11 00 55	and the second second	ining or	A LOS	Profession and Profes		
Asper	า		241	0	0	0	0	0	241			
Lowla	and Mixed Fo	orest	87	0	0	0	0	0	87			
North	ern Hardwoo	bd	0	0	0	0	124	0	124			
Red P	Pine		0	0	0	0	34	0	34			
Uplan	d Mixed For	est	10	0	0	0	0	0	10			
Uplan	d Spruce/Fir		0	0	0	0	44	0	44			
	Γ	Total	339	0	0	0	201	0	540			

S t		Crystal	Falls Mgt. Unit	Table 3 with⊺	Treatn No Lim	nents Presc niting Factor	ribed r	Compartment: 038 Year of Entry 2012	
a n d	Treatment Name	Acres	Stage1 CoverType	Size Density	Stand Age	Treatment Type	Treatment Method	Cover Type Objective	Approval Status
3	12038003-Cut	4.1	42110 - Planted Red Pine	High Density Pole	48	Harvest	Systematic Thinning	Planted Red Pine	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Pres Spec	<u>cription</u> Row thin <u>s:</u>	every th	ird row of Red Pine.	Cut all species exce	pt Red P	Pine.			
<u>Othe</u> Com	<u>r</u> ments:								
<u>Next</u> Step	<u>s:</u>								
5	12038005-Cut	7.7	42110 - Planted Red Pine	High Density Pole	48	Harvest	Systematic Thinning	Planted Red Pine	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Pres Spec	<u>cription</u> Row thin	every th	ird row of Red Pine.	Cut all species exce	pt Red P	Pine.			
<u>Othe</u> Com	<u>r</u> ments:								
<u>Next</u> Step:	<u>s:</u>								
6	12038006-Cut	40.4	42311 - Planted Spruce, Mixed Deciduous	High Density Pole	43	Harvest	Systematic Thinning	Planted Spruce	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Pres Spec	<u>cription</u> Cut two r <u>s:</u>	rows of V	Vhite Spruce and lea	ve three. Cut all spe	cies exce	ept White Spruc	ce.		
<u>Othe</u> Com	<u>r</u> ments:								
<u>Next</u> Step:	check fo	r spruce	budworm						
7	12038007-Cut	3.4	42310 - Planted Spruce	High Density Pole	43	Harvest	Systematic Thinning	Planted Spruce	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Pres Spec	cription_Cut two r s:	rows of V	Vhite Spruce and lea	ve three rows. Cut a	II other s	pecies.			
<u>Othe</u> Com	<u>r</u> ments:								
<u>Next</u> Step	check fo	r spruce	budworm						
13	12038013-Cut	3.7	42110 - Planted Red Pine	High Density Log	48	Harvest	Systematic Thinning	Planted Red Pine	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Pres Spec	<u>cription</u> Cut ever	y third ro	w of Red Pine. Cut a	Il other species.					
<u>Othe</u> Com	<u>r</u> ments:								
<u>Next</u> Step	<u>s:</u>								
17	12038017-Cut	18.0	42110 - Planted Red Pine	High Density Log	48	Harvest	Systematic Thinning	Planted Red Pine	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Pres Spec	<u>cription</u> Cut ever	y third ro	w of Red Pine. Cut a	Il other species.					
<u>Othe</u> Com	<u>r</u> ments:								
<u>Next</u> Step	<u>s:</u>								

Crystal Falls Mgt. Unit

Table 3 -- Treatments Prescribed

Compartment: 038



S t				with	No Lin	niting Factor		Year of Entry 2012	Michigan DNRE
a n d	Treatment Name	Acres	Stage1 CoverType	Size Density	Stand Age	Treatment Type	Treatment Method	Cover Type Objective	Approval Status
18	12038018-Cut	32.6	4110 - Sugar Maple Association	High Density Pole	80	Harvest	Crown Thinning	Sugar Maple Association	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Presci Specs	<u>ription_</u> Release <u>:</u>	crop tre	es by reducing BA to	80.					
<u>Other</u> Comm	nents:								
<u>Next</u> Steps:	<u>.</u>								
19	12038019-Cut	32.7	6139 - Mixed Lowland Forest	Medium Density Pole	80	Harvest	Clearcut with Reserves	Mixed Coniferous Lowland Forest	Cmpt. Review Proposal
<u>Presc</u> Specs	<u>ription_</u> Cut all s _i ::	pecies ty	wo inches and over ex	cept Cedar.					
<u>Other</u> Comn	nents:								
<u>Next</u> Steps:	1								
28	12038028-Cut	34.3	4110 - Sugar Maple Association	High Density Log	80	Harvest	Crown Thinning	Sugar Maple Association	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Presci Specs	<u>ription_</u> Release <u>::</u>	crop tre	es by thinning to 80 B	A.					
<u>Other</u> Comm	nents:								
<u>Next</u> Steps:	<u>.</u>								
29	12038029-Cut	16.6	4110 - Sugar Maple Association	High Density Log	80	Harvest	Crown Thinning	Sugar Maple Association	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Presci Specs	<u>ription_</u> Thin to 8 <u>::</u>	0 BA to	release crop trees.						
<u> Other</u> Comm	ients:								
<u>Next</u> Steps:	<u>.</u>								
33	12038033-Cut	26.1	4139 - Aspen, Mixed Deciduous	High Density Pole	80	Harvest	Clearcut	Aspen	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Presc Specs	<u>ription_</u> Cut all st <u>::</u>	ems two	o inches and larger to	perpetuate aspen ty	/pe.				
<u>Other</u> Comm	nents:								
<u>Vext</u> Steps:	1								
34	12038034-Cut	5.9	4110 - Sugar Maple Association	High Density Log	80	Harvest	Crown Thinning	Sugar Maple Association	Cmpt. Review Proposal
<u>Presc</u> Specs	<u>ription</u> Thin to 8 ::	0 BA, re	etaining minor species	; focus on crown rel	ease on	crop trees; remov	ve poorer formed trees	i	
Other	-								

<u>Next</u> Steps:

S t		Cryst	al Falls Mgt. Unit	Table 3 with	Treatr No Lin	nents Presc hiting Factor	ribed	Compartment: 038 Year of Entry 2012	
a n d	Treatment Name	Acres	s Stage1 CoverType	Size Density	Stand Age	Treatment Type	Treatment Method	Cover Type Objective	Approval Status
40	12038040-Cut	8.2	4110 - Sugar Maple Association	High Density Log	80	Harvest	Crown Thinning	Sugar Maple Association	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Pres Spec	<u>cription</u> Thin to E <u>:s:</u>	8A 80, 1	focusing on crown relea	ising the crop trees	; favor m	inor species;			
<u>Othe</u> Com	<u>r</u> ments:								
<u>Next</u> Step	<u>s:</u>								
42	12038042-Cut	10.4	4110 - Sugar Maple Association	High Density Log	80	Harvest	Crown Thinning	Sugar Maple Association	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Pres Spec	<u>cription</u> Thin to E <u>:s:</u>	8A 80 fo	ocusing on crown relea	se of the crop trees	; favor m	inor species; rei	move poorer formed tree	25	
<u>Othe</u> Com	<u>r</u> ments:								
<u>Next</u> Step	<u>s:</u>								
43	12038043-Cut	10.4	4319 - Mixed Upland Forest	High Density Pole	80	Harvest	Clearcut with Reserves	Mixed Upland Forest	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Pres Spec Othe Com Next Step	<u>cription</u> Remove <u>s:</u> <u>ments:</u> perform <u>s:</u>	all ster regen d	ms 2" and over at dbh e check as required by W	except cedar; leave	200 foot	river buffer, whi	ch will serve as retentior	nperform	
44	12038044-Cut	3.4	4110 - Sugar Maple Association	High Density Log	80	Harvest	Crown Thinning	Sugar Maple Association	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Pres Spec	<u>cription</u> Thin to E <u>s:</u>	8A 80 fo	ocusing on crown relea	se of crop trees, rer	moving p	oorly formed tre	es, favor minor species		
Othe Com Next Step	<u>r</u> ments: s:								
45	12038045-Cut	7.0	4110 - Sugar Maple Association	High Density Log	80	Harvest	Crown Thinning	Sugar Maple Association	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Pres Spec	<u>cription</u> Thin to E : <u>s:</u>	8A 80 fo	ocusing on crown releas	se of crop trees; rer	nove poo	orly formed trees	s; favor minor species		
<u>Othe</u> Com	<u>r</u> ments:								
<u>Next</u> Step	<u>s:</u>								
46	12038046-Cut	2.3	4112 - Maple, Beech, Cherry Association	High Density Log	80	Harvest	Crown Thinning	Sugar Maple Association	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Pres Spec	<u>cription</u> Thin to 8 : <u>s:</u> r	0 BA, 1	focusing on crown relea	ising the crop trees	, favoring	minor species	and removing poorer for	med trees	

Comments:

<u>Next</u> <u>Steps:</u>

S t		Crysta	l Falls Mgt. Unit	Table 3 with I	Treatr No Lim	nents Prescrib niting Factor	ed	Compartment: 038 Year of Entry 2012	
a n d	Treatment Name	Acres	Stage1 CoverType	Size Density	Stand Age	Treatment Type	Treatment Method	Cover Type Objective	Approval Status
49	12038049-Cut	131.3	4130 - Aspen	High Density Pole	46	Harvest	Clearcut	Aspen	Cmpt. Review
Prese Spec	<u>cription</u> Cut all s <u>s:</u>	pecies 2	" dbh and up; retentio	n along south side a	llong ceo	dar swamp			Toposar
<u>Othe</u> Com	<u>r</u> ments:								
Next Steps	<u>s:</u>								
53	12038053-Cut	3.4	4110 - Sugar Maple Association	High Density Log	80	Harvest	Crown Thinning	Sugar Maple Association	Cmpt. Review Proposal
<u>Prese</u> Spec	<u>cription</u> Thin to 8 <u>s:</u>	30BA foc	cusing on crown releas	se of crop trees; rem	iove poo	orly formed trees; fav	vor minor species		
<u>Othe</u> Com	r_ ments:								
<u>Next</u> Steps	<u>3:</u>								
66	12038066-Cut	50.4	4136 - Aspen, Mixed Conifer	High Density Pole	80	Harvest	Clearcut with Reserves	Aspen	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Preso Spec	<u>cription</u> Remove <u>s:</u>	all stem	ns 2" and greater dbh;	retain red & white p	ine, ceda	ar and hemlock whi	ch will serve as rete	ntion	
<u>Othe</u> Com	<u>r</u> ments:								
<u>Next</u> Steps	perform	regen cł	neck as required by W	ls					
67	12038067-Cut	54.4	6130 - Fir, Aspen, Maple	High Density Pole	81	Harvest	Clearcut with Reserves	Lowland Deciduous, Mixed Coniferous	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Prese Spec	<u>cription</u> Cut all s <u>s:</u>	tems 2"	and greater at dbh exc	cept for cedar; reten	tion will	be along north side			
<u>Othe</u> Com	<u>r</u> ments:								
<u>Next</u> Steps	perform <u>s:</u>	regen cł	necks per WIs						
76	12038076-Cut	33.6	4130 - Aspen	High Density Log	52	Harvest	Clearcut	Aspen	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Prese Spec	<u>cription</u> Cut all s <u>s:</u>	tems 2"	and up at dbh.						
<u>Othe</u> Com	<u>r</u> ments:								
Next Steps	perform <u>3:</u>	regen cl	neck per WIs						
23	NF_12038023- NonFor	85.5	Non-Forested		0	Non-Forest Management	Other - Specify	Cool Season Grass	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Prese Spec	<u>cription</u> Opening <u>s:</u>	Mainter	nance: Burn or Disc, S	eed & Fertilize oper	ning, Ber	m when completed			
<u>Othe</u> Com	<u>r</u> ments:								
<u>Next</u> Steps	<u>s:</u>								

Crystal Falls Mgt. Unit

S

Table 3 -- Treatments Prescribedwith No Limiting Factor

Compartment: 038 Year of Entry 2012



t a n d	Treatment Name	Acres	Stage1 CoverType	Size Density	Stand Age	Treatment Type	Treatment Method	Cover Type Objective	Approval Status
64	NF_12038064- NonFor	15.5	Non-Forested		0	Non-Forest Management	Other - Specify	Cool Season Grass	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Pres Spec	<u>cription</u> Opening <u>cs:</u>	Maintena	ance: Disc, Seed and	Fertilize, and O	pening.				
<u>Othe</u> Com	e <u>r</u> ments:								
<u>Next</u> Step	<u>s:</u>								
75	NF_12038075- NonFor	9.1	Non-Forested		0	Non-Forest Management	Other - Specify	Cool Season Grass	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Pres Spec	<u>cription</u> Opening <u>cs:</u>	Maintena	ance: Disc,Seed & Fe	rtilize Opening a	nd Berm.				
<u>Othe</u> Com	<u>er</u> ments:								
<u>Next</u> Step	<u>s:</u>								
A	Total Treatmer	nt d: 65	0.5						

S t		Crystal F	alls Mgt. Unit	Table 4 -	- Treatme a Limiti	ents Prescrib ng Factor	Compartment: 038 Year of Entry 2012		
a n d	Treatment Name	Acres	Stage1 CoverType	Size Density	Stand Age	Treatment Type	Treatment Method	Cover Type Objective	Approval Status
			#Error						
Preso Spec	<u>cription</u> s:								
<u>Com</u> <u>Next</u> <u>Steps</u>	<u>ment:</u> <u>s:</u>								
<u>Limiti</u> Treat	ng Factor and No ment Reason	<u>)</u>							
A	Total Treatmen creage Proposed	t d:	0						

S t	Crystal Falls Mgt. Unit			5 – Fo Invento	prested Stands ry Method: IFMAP	Compartment: 038 Year of Entry: 2012
a n d	Level 4 Cover Type	Size Density	Acres	Stand Age	BA Range	General Comments:
1	4134 - Aspen, Spruce/Fir	High Density Pole	18.5	34		
2	4130 - Aspen	High Density Sapling	8.8	23		
3	42110 - Planted Red Pine	High Density Pole	4.1	48	141-170	
4	6122 - Black Spruce	High Density Pole	44.8	77		
5	42110 - Planted Red Pine	High Density Pole	7.7	48	200+	
6	42311 - Planted Spruce, Mixed Deciduous	High Density Pole	40.4	43	111-140	
7	42310 - Planted Spruce	High Density Pole	3.4	43	111-140	
8	4134 - Aspen, Spruce/Fir	High Density Sapling	144.6	23		
9	6122 - Black Spruce	High Density Pole	2.2	77		
10	4134 - Aspen, Spruce/Fir	Medium Density Pole	9.3	48		
12	4130 - Aspen	High Density Pole	10.0	34		
13	42110 - Planted Red Pine	High Density Log	3.7	48	200+	
15	4130 - Aspen	High Density Sapling	110.0	14		
17	42110 - Planted Red Pine	High Density Log	18.0	48	171-200	
18	4110 - Sugar Maple Association	High Density Pole	32.6	80	111-140	
19	6139 - Mixed Lowland Forest	Medium Density Pole	32.7	80		
21	429 - Mixed Upland Conifers	High Density Log	54.4	80		
22	4134 - Aspen, Spruce/Fir	High Density Sapling	38.4	23		

S t	Crystal Falls Mgt. Unit			5 – Fo Invento	orested Stands ry Method: IFMAP	Compartment: 038 Year of Entry: 2012			
a n d	Level 4 Cover Type	Size Density	Acres	Stand Age	BA Range	General Comments:			
24	4134 - Aspen, Spruce/Fir	High Density Pole	58.4	33					
25	6124 - Lowland Spruce- Fir	High Density Pole	4.6	77					
26	4134 - Aspen, Spruce/Fir	High Density Sapling	69.8	23					
27	6124 - Lowland Spruce- Fir	High Density Pole	28.3	81					
28	4110 - Sugar Maple Association	High Density Log	34.3	80	111-140	Thin to 80 BA to release crop trees.			
29	4110 - Sugar Maple Association	High Density Log	16.6	80	111-140	Thin to 80 BA to release crop trees.			
30	6120 - Lowland Cedar	High Density Pole	78.5	77		Lot of variety.			
31	6120 - Lowland Cedar	High Density Pole	27.9	80					
32	6122 - Black Spruce	High Density Pole	9.0	81					
33	4139 - Aspen, Mixed Deciduous	High Density Pole	26.1	80		Final harvest. A real mix of species and ages.			
34	4110 - Sugar Maple Association	High Density Log	5.9	80	111-140	Thin to 80 BA to release crop trees.			
35	6124 - Lowland Spruce- Fir	High Density Pole	8.4	39					
36	4130 - Aspen	High Density Sapling	275.1	20					
37	6120 - Lowland Cedar	High Density Pole	5.5	78					
38	4130 - Aspen	High Density Sapling	93.5	14					
39	4319 - Mixed Upland Forest	High Density Pole	15.5	40					
40	4110 - Sugar Maple Association	High Density Log	8.2	80	111-140				
41	4134 - Aspen, Spruce/Fir	High Density Sapling	17.5	24					

S t	Crystal Falls	s Mgt. Unit		5 – Fo Inventor	orested Stands ry Method: IFMAP	Compartment: 038 Year of Entry: 2012		
a n d	Level 4 Cover Type	Size Density	Acres	Stand Age	BA Range	General Comments:		
42	4110 - Sugar Maple Association	High Density Log	10.4	80	111-140			
43	4319 - Mixed Upland Forest	High Density Pole	22.6	80		mix of species; needs buffer from river		
44	4110 - Sugar Maple Association	High Density Log	3.4	80	111-140			
45	4110 - Sugar Maple Association	High Density Log	7.0	80	111-140			
46	4112 - Maple, Beech, Cherry Association	High Density Log	2.3	80	111-140			
49	4130 - Aspen	High Density Pole	131.3	46		Cutting for age class distribution		
50	4130 - Aspen	High Density Sapling	2.2	7		There is a trace of red pine here		
51	4134 - Aspen, Spruce/Fir	High Density Pole	69.3	40				
53	4110 - Sugar Maple Association	High Density Log	3.4	80	111-140			
54	4130 - Aspen	Medium Density Pole	100.9	45				
55	42210 - Natural Red Pine	High Density Log	16.4	80	81-110			
56	4130 - Aspen	High Density Sapling	34.0	7				
57	4139 - Aspen, Mixed Deciduous	High Density Sapling	25.2	15				
58	4130 - Aspen	High Density Pole	96.7	32				
59	4139 - Aspen, Mixed Deciduous	High Density Sapling	163.3	15				
60	6120 - Lowland Cedar	High Density Pole	3.4	90				
61	4130 - Aspen	High Density Sapling	39.2	7				
62	4110 - Sugar Maple Association	High Density Pole	35.0	80	81-110	Thinned in 2005		

S t	Crystal Falls Mgt. Unit			5 – Fo Inventor	orested Stands ry Method: IFMAP	Compartment: 038 Year of Entry: 2012			
a n d	Level 4 Cover Type	Size Density	Acres	Stand Age	BA Range	General Comments:			
63	6120 - Lowland Cedar	High Density Pole	63.2	87					
65	4134 - Aspen, Spruce/Fir	High Density Sapling	34.2	22		There are about 10% residual trees in overstory			
66	4136 - Aspen, Mixed Conifer	High Density Pole	56.2	80					
67	6130 - Fir, Aspen, Maple	High Density Pole	54.4	81					
69	4130 - Aspen	High Density Sapling	22.9	6		There is white pine residual trees in this stand.			
70	4130 - Aspen	Medium Density Pole	64.9	35					
71	4130 - Aspen	High Density Pole	11.9	32					
72	4136 - Aspen, Mixed Conifer	High Density Sapling	26.1	16					
73	4130 - Aspen	High Density Pole	71.3	36					
74	6132 - Mixed Lowland Forest with Cedar	High Density Pole	6.5	77					
76	4130 - Aspen	High Density Log	33.6	52					
77	4110 - Sugar Maple Association	High Density Log	76.1	80	81-110	Thinned in 2005			
78	6111 - Lowland Balsam Poplar	High Density Sapling	2.7	14					
79	4134 - Aspen, Spruce/Fir	High Density Pole	12.0	45					
80	4134 - Aspen, Spruce/Fir	High Density Pole	19.9	26					

Crystal Falls Mgt. Unit

6 – Nonforested Stands Inventory Method: IFMAP

Compartment: 038 Year of Entry: 2012



Stand	Cover Type	Acres	Gen Cmts:
11	310 - Herbaceous Openland	6.8	
14	622 - Lowland Shrub	34.8	
16	310 - Herbaceous Openland	2.1	
20	310 - Herbaceous Openland	8.2	
23	310 - Herbaceous Openland	85.5	
47	310 - Herbaceous Openland	4.4	
48	320 - Upland Shrub	6.9	
52	310 - Herbaceous Openland	9.1	
64	310 - Herbaceous Openland	15.5	
68	50 - Water	14.2	
75	310 - Herbaceous Openland	9.1	



7 – PROPOSED SPECIAL CONSERVATION AREA* (SCA) DETAILS

* This is a partial list of SCAs for this compartment. Not included are those areas identified under other Department initiatives (Natural Rivers, Deer Wintering Areas, etc.). Those will be identified in separate, future map and report products.

Stand	SCA Type	SCA Name	Acres	Comments



8 – DEDICATED CONSERVATION AREA DETAILS

* This is a list of Dedicated Biodiversity Areas for this compartment along with a 1/4 mile buffer surrounding the compartment. Refer to Dedicated Conservation Area Map for areas that the below listed Conservation Areas are located.

Conservation Area	Туре	Description	ERA = Ecological Reference Area HCVA = High Conservation Value Area SCA = Special Conservation Area
SCA Cold Water A coldwater stream has temperature and disa Stream stocked trout populations and those of other year to year. Coldwater streams in Michigan contributions of groundwater to their stream designated as trout resources by Fisheries C		A coldwater stream has temperature and dissolved oxygen cor stocked trout populations and those of other coldwater fish spe year to year. Coldwater streams in Michigan typically provide th contributions of groundwater to their stream flows. Such stream designated as trout resources by Fisheries Order 210.	nditions that allow naturally-reproduced or scies (e.g., slimy sculpin) to persist from hese conditions due to substantial ns are established by Director's action and