

## Shingleton Forest Management Unit Compartment Review Presentation Compartment #5 Entry Year: 2013

Compartment Acreage: 1672 County: Schoolcraft

**Revision Date:** 8/22/2011

**Stand Examiner:** Jennifer Burnham

**Legal Description:** T45N R13W Sections 21 - 24

**RMU** (if applicable): N/A

Management Goals: To maintain a healthy; sustainable forest with special consideration to wildlife habitat,

fisheries habitat, and recreational needs.

**Soil and Topography:** Newton sand and Wallace sand in the marsh/ridge areas. Blue Lake fine sand in the

NE corner of Section 24. Terrain is generally flat to slightly rolling.

Ownership Patterns, Development, and Land Use in and Around the Compartment: There are four different private properties within the compartment. Their use is recreational/camp in nature. There is little development in the compartment. The Seney National Wildlife Refuge is adjacent to the west and Luce County is to the east

**Unique, Natural Features:** The compartment is divided several times by the Fox River which is listed as a Natural and Scenic River; Holland Creek and the Holland Ditch, which was dug to drain land to the north.

Archeological, Historical, and Cultural Features: None known.

**Special Management Designations or Considerations:** The Fox River runs through the compartment and has a natural river designation.

Watershed and Fisheries Considerations: Good. Waters in this compartment are all warm water, but the Fox during colder seasons does provide some quality trout fishing. In addition, the Spreads, or what used to be the spreads and is now well channelized, is still an area just upstream that local anglers target for trout. Some trout are also present within this compartment at all seasons. Although this stretch of the Fox River and both Holland Creek and Holland Ditch are all classified Second Quality Warm Water (SQWW), protection from sand erosion should be high priority. Sand entering the system here will take possibly several hundred years to migrate downstream and out into Lake Michigan. The Manistique River is so inundated with sand now that we should be trying to limit ALL new sources of erosion within this watershed. Eventually, the sand that is already in the river will move on through with help from spring floods.

**Wildlife Habitat Considerations:** This compartment lies within the Seney Sand Lake Plain ecological subsubsection. The growing season in this area is less than 100 days with extreme minimum winter temperatures of –46 F. Annual snowfall in this area averages between 120 and 140 inches. General Land Office (GLO) Surveyor notes show the hardwoods within the northeast corner of this compartment contained sugar maple, beech, hemlock, elm, and basswood. The lowland forests contained cedar, white birch, hemlock, and black spruce. The upland knobs within these areas likely contained hemlock, white pine, white birch, and red pine. Windthrow, fire and beaver ponds along the Fox River were likely the major source of

natural disturbance. Red pine and jack pine are the current dominant species on the knobs within the swamp complex. However, the remainder of the compartment is likely similar in species composition to the presettlement forests. The Holland ditch is a man-made water course on the western side of the compartment which likely has lowered the water table facilitating the jack pine in that area. Wildlife habitat objectives include maintaining diversity within the hardwood stands, protecting the Fox River corridor, and maintaining age and structural diversity between conifer stands. Although wolves and moose are assumed to utilize this area, there are no known occurrences of endangered, threatened, or special concern species within the compartment. Wood turtle might also be found associated with the Fox River and Holland Ditch. Other species of interest include great blue heron, black-backed woodpecker, water shrew, and mink.

Mineral Resource and Development Concerns and/or Restrictions: Surface sediments consist of lacustrine (lake) sand and gravel and peat and muck. Much of the State land appears to be wetlands. There is up to 70 feet of local relief in the compartment. There is insufficient data to determine the glacial drift thickness. The Silurian Manitoulin Dolomite and Ordovician Queenston Shale, Big Hill Dolomite and Stonington Formation subcrop below the glacial drift. Some of these rocks could be used for stone. Sandpits are located throughout this area and gravel potential appears to be limited. There is no commercial oil and gas production in the UP.

**Vehicle Access:** There are 3 main ways to access the compartment. East side access is from the Old Seney Road. The north and west sides are accessible through private.

**Survey Needs:** Surveying in this area is difficult because of the lack of corners to work from. Complete survey would be cost prohibitive.

**Recreational Facilities and Opportunities:** The Old Seney Road is a snowmobile trail in the winter time. Other main recreational uses would be hunters and people fishing the Fox River. Recreational vehicle access is restricted from the north and could easily be restricted from the west.

**Fire Protection:** Compartment does contain lots of acres of pine which can be a fire hazard, but the area is wet or snow-covered a large amount of the year.

**Additional Compartment Information:** No comment.

- **➤** The following reports from the Inventory are attached:
  - **♦** Total Acres by Cover Type and Age Class
  - **♦** Proposed Treatment Summary
  - **♦** Proposed Treatments No Limiting Factors
  - **♦** Proposed Treatments With Limiting Factors
  - **♦** Stand Details (Forested and Nonforested)
  - **♦ Dedicated and Proposed Special Conservation Areas**
- > The following information is displayed, where pertinent, on the attached compartment maps:
  - **♦** Base feature information, stand boundaries, cover types, and numbers
  - **♦** Proposed treatments
  - **♦** Details on the road access system

### Compartment 005 Year of Entry 2013

Shingleton Mgt. Unit
Jennifer Burnham : Examiner



#### Age Class

							Age	J1055									
	No.	Days,	8.7	0.79	, R. J.	S. J.	LO. LO.	\$5.00 / J	89.00	S. J.	\$ \ &	86.7	00,00,12	0,10,10	, 00 / 50 / 50 / 50 / 50 / 50 / 50 / 50	48 / N	No.
Aspen	0	0	0	73	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	73	
Cedar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	30	
Jack Pine	0	0	34	13	16	0	99	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	178	
Lowland Conifers	0	28	102	0	0	0	31	0	0	0	215	0	0	0	0	376	
Lowland Deciduous	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	35	
Lowland Mixed Forest	0	22	0	0	17	0	56	0	0	13	152	0	0	0	0	260	
Lowland Shrub	220	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	220	
Lowland Spruce/Fir	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	19	
Mixed Upland Deciduous	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	0	0	0	0	28	
Natural Mixed Pines	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	20	
Northern Hardwood	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	46	0	0	0	0	123	182	
Red Pine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	6	151	0	0	0	170	
Tamarack	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	
Treed Bog	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	
Upland Conifers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	26	
Upland Mixed Forest	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	12	
Upland Spruce/Fir	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	
Urban	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	
Total	243	51	155	86	33	0	193	25	56	107	449	151	0	0	123	1672	



### **Table 2 – Proposed Treatment Summaries**

# Shingleton Mgt. Unit

Compartment 005 Year of Entry 2013 **Total Compartment Acres: 1672** 

### **Acres by Treatment Type**

Tree Planting - 0 Commercial Harvest - 185 Site Prep - 0 Prescribed Burn - 0 Other - 0

Habitat Cut - 0 Opening Maintenance - 0 Tree Seeding - 0 Pesticide - 0

### **Cover Type by Harvest Method**

		coro. Type by That root inclined											
		/	15 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10 10 S	100 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	No N	Out Out		S. R. R.				
Jack Pine		16	0	0	0	0	0	16					
Lowland Spruce/	Fir	4	0	0	0	0	0	4					
Natural Mixed Pir	nes	13	0	0	0	0	0	13	•				
Northern Hardwo	od	0	17	0	0	0	0	17					
Red Pine		0	0	0	129	0	0	129	•				
Tamarack		7	0	0	0	0	0	7					
	Total	40	17	0	129	0	0	185					

	Shingleton	Mgt. Uni
c		

## Table 3 -- Treatments Prescribed

Compartment: 005

OF NATUA	4
	150
DNR	10
12	19
MICHIGA.	

S t		Jiiiig	neton wigt. Omit			imiting Fact		Year of Entry 2013	DNR
	atment lame	Acres	Stage1 CoverType	Size Density	Stand Age	Treatment Type	Treatment Method	Cover Type Objective	Approval Status
1 4100	5001-Cut	6.1	42220 - Natural Jack Pine	Medium Density Pole	71	Harvest	Clearcut	42221 - Natural Jack Pine, Mixed Deciduous	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Prescription Specs:	will have	to be ac						ss than 15 BA of WP. 1/ ot the top. Small acreag	
Other Comments				7 and the ditch. St	and 6 was	s recently cut an	d when setting up Stan	d 1 check to make sure	green up
Next Steps:			ion is expected, howe ecies are acceptable.	ever scarification or	other me	ans for jack pine	e regeneration should o	ccur if necessary. Jack	pine with the
7 4100	5007-Cut	7.1	6121 - Tamarack	High Density Pole	e 71	Harvest	Clearcut	6128 - Lowland Coniferous, Mixed Deciduous	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Prescription Specs:			lace cut line on the be			the top. There a	re some scattered red r	maple and aspen with ir	the
<u>Other</u> Comments		maybe di	fficult because of M-7	7. Stand 6 was rec	ently cut	- check for gree	n up issues before setti	ng up sale.	
<u>Next</u> Steps:			ne back naturally, if the ecies are acceptable.	nere are regeneration	on issues	planting, trench	ing or other artifical me	ans maybe needed. Jac	ck pine with the
8 4100	5008-Cut	10.0	6126 - Lowland Jack Pine	Medium Density Pole	71	Harvest	Clearcut with Reserves	6128 - Lowland Coniferous, Mixed Deciduous	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Prescriptior Specs:								ere is less than 15 BA o	
Other Comments				7 and the ditch. St	and 6 was	s recently cut an	d when setting up Stan	d 1 check to make sure	green up
<u>Next</u> Steps:			tion is expected, howe ecies are acceptable.	ever scarification or	r other me	eans for jack pind	e regeneration should o	occur if necessary. Jack	pine with
37 4100	5037-Cut	27.8	42210 - Natural Red Pine	Medium Density Log	100	Harvest	Shelter Wood with Reserves	42290 - Natural Mixed Pine	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Prescriptior Specs:		ld not go						nes or scattered through ill increase the chances	
<u>Other</u> Comments								through again dependin v spots where tag alder	
<u>Next</u> Steps:			tion is expected - espendenting for regenerat					sary follow up with scar	ification,
40 4100	5040-Cut	12.7	6127 - Lowland Pine	High Density Pole	e 85	Harvest	Clearcut with Reserves	6127 - Lowland Pine	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Prescriptior Specs:	n_Remove	all speci	es except pine and m	ark pine when nec	essary				
Other	Private li	ne will ne	eed a survey some	of the acreage cou	ıld be red	uced because of	this:		

<u>Other</u> Private line will need a survey -- some of the acreage could be reduced because of this. Comments:

Natural regeneration is expected for species that are currently present. If necessary scarify/trench/plant to get these spp back. <u>Next</u> Steps:

Shingleton Mgt. Unit

### Table 3 -- Treatments Prescribed with No Limiting Factor

Compartment: 005 Year of Entry 2013

1	100	NATL	RAL	10
RIME	/	4	12	5500
DEPA	DI	NR.	•	KCES)
1	· As	CHIG	AN	
-	~	****	٠ı	

t a n d	Treatment Name	Acres	Stage1 CoverType	Size Density	Stand Age	Treatment Type	Treatment Method	Cover Type Objective	Approval Status
45	41005045-Cut	100.8	42210 - Natural Red Pine	High Density Pole	101	Harvest	Shelter Wood with Reserves	42260 - Natural Pine, Mixed Deciduous	Cmpt. Review Proposal

Specs:

s

Prescription Remove all species leaving about 30 BA of red pine with some white pine mixed in. Some of the trees marked could be in patches or scattered through out the stand. BA should not go above 50 because it significantly hinders natural regeneration. Cutting on bare ground will increase the

chances for natural regeneration.

Other\_

Some areas may be void of any trees because of low spots where tag alder persists. Comments:

Natural regeneration is expected - especially from what can be seen in the surrounding past cuts. If necessary follow up with scarification, Next planting and/or trenching for regeneration of red pine and other current species mix... Steps:

64 41005064-Cut 16.8

4119 - Mixed Northern Hardwoods High Density Pole 86

Harvest

**Group Selection** 

4119 - Mixed Northern Hardwoods Cmpt. Review Proposal

Prescription Mark to promote regeneration. Residual BA should hit around 70.

Specs:

Not sure on the PVT line. Access can be gained through state lands. Other

Comments:

regeneration of current species is anticipated

<u>Next</u> Steps:

**Total Treatment** 

181.2 Acreage Proposed:

Shingleton Mgt. Unit Table 4 -- Treatments Prescribed with Compartment: 005 a Limiting Factor s Year of Entry 2013 t **Treatment** Acres Stage1 Size Stand **Treatment Treatment Cover Type Approval** n Method Objective Status Name CoverType Density Age Type d Cmpt. Review 43 41005043-Cut 4.2 6122 - Black Spruce Medium Density 98 Harvest Clearcut with 6128 - Lowland Coniferous, Mixed Pole Reserves Proposal Deciduous Prescription Remove all species, leave white pine that will probably not blow down. Specs:

This stand may decrease greatly in size depending on the completed survey. **Other** 

Comment:

Natural regeneration is expected of currently species is exspected.

<u>Next</u> Steps:

Limiting Factor and No

2H: Survey needed

**Treatment Reason** 

**Total Treatment** 

4.2 Acreage Proposed:

# Out of YOE -- Treatments Prescribed with No Limiting Factor

Year of Entry: 2013

Treatment Name	Acres	Stage1 CoverType	Size Density	Stand Age	Treatment Type	Treatment Method	Cover Type Objective	Approval Status	
41022_OutOfY OE-Cut	35.6				Harvest	Systematic Thinning	42110 - Planted Red Pine	Cmpt. Review Proposal	

<u>Prescription</u> 3rd row thinning. Cut all trees in designated rows. Rows can be spaced wider apart in areas with lower basal area. Do not cut hemlock and oak. <u>Specs:</u>

Other Do not cut any trees within 50 feet of the West Branch Manistique River.

Comments:

Next Thin next year of entry.

Steps:

41049\_OutOfY OE\_1-Cut4.7Harvest Single Tree Selection Mixed Pine42290 - Natural Mixed PineCmpt. Review Proposal

Prescription Mark red pine and white pine to 30 sq. ft. Create gaps in canopy for regeneration where pine exists. Areas that have thicker young poles can be

Specs: marked to 80. Cut all other species except hemlock and oak if present.

Other Access to stand is too difficult for continuous thinning.

Comments:

Regeneration walkthrough during next inventory cycle. Acceptable regeneration includes any species mixture currently found onsite.

<u>Next</u> Steps:

41053\_OutOfY 10.2 Harvest Single Tree Selection 42290 - Natural Cmpt. Review Mixed Pine Proposal

Prescription Mark red pine and white pine to 30 sq. ft. Create gaps in canopy for regeneration where pine exists. Areas that have thicker young poles can be

Specs: marked to 80. Cut all other species except hemlock and oak if present.

Other Access to stand is too difficult for continuous thinning.

Comments:

Regen walkthrough during next inventory cycle. Acceptable regeneration includes any species mixture currently found onsite.

Next Steps:

**Total Treatment** 

Acreage Proposed: 50.5

Sningleton Mgt. Unit				resteu ota	Year of Entry: 2013
Level 4 Cover Type	Size Density	Acres	Stand Age	BA Range	General Comments:
42220 - Natural Jack Pine	Medium Density Pole	6.1	71	81-110	
4110 - Sugar Maple Association	Medium Density Pole	13.5	71	51-80	
42390 - Mixed Non- Pine Upland Conifers	High Density Pole	6.2	70	81-110	9/28 tam 7-8'dbh, 1/5 wp 12dbh, 1/3jp 7dbh. Tam in undevstovy some sp/bf/cherry/same age that std3was. 8/20jp10dbh-fairly,healthy,3/16 tam-12dbh healthy Heavy tag alder understory.
6124 - Lowland Spruce- Fir	Low Density Sapling	12.0	4		
6121 - Tamarack	High Density Pole	7.1	71	51-80	
6126 - Lowland Jack Pine	Medium Density Pole	10.0	71	51-80	
42320 - Upland Spruce	Medium Density Pole	5.3	68	51-80	
42260 - Natural Pine, Mixed Deciduous	High Density Pole	7.3	51	51-80	good regeneration of aspen, red pine, white pine with some fir and spruce. Red pine mainly in the more open areas. Treat when regen is ready for treatment.
6129 - Mixed Coniferous Lowland Forest	Medium Density Pole	17.3	93		very wet ground. regeneration came back from cutting but slow b/c of high water table
6130 - Fir, Aspen, Maple	Low Density Sapling	7.3	4		
429 - Mixed Upland Conifers	High Density Pole	19.6	64	81-110	treat b/c of age and BA - good mix of spp and will be able to regenerate this stand.
6125 - Lowland Black Spruce, Jack Pine	Medium Density Pole	12.7	51	1-50	
42220 - Natural Jack Pine	High Density Pole	19.8	51	81-110	
6125 - Lowland Black Spruce, Jack Pine	High Density Pole	18.3	56	51-80	
6124 - Lowland Spruce- Fir	Medium Density Pole	22.8	92		same spp composition as pre std 24, however the water table is higher and the tree are not growing as fast and have more open areas in the canopy.
6125 - Lowland Black Spruce, Jack Pine	Low Density Sapling	16.4	4		
6132 - Mixed Lowland Forest with Cedar	High Density Sapling	17.1	31		nice healthy regeneration
	Level 4 Cover Type  42220 - Natural Jack Pine  4110 - Sugar Maple Association  42390 - Mixed Non- Pine Upland Conifers  6124 - Lowland Spruce- Fir  6126 - Lowland Jack Pine  42320 - Upland Spruce  42320 - Upland Spruce  42260 - Natural Pine, Mixed Deciduous  6129 - Mixed Coniferous Lowland Forest  6130 - Fir, Aspen, Maple  429 - Mixed Upland Conifers  6125 - Lowland Black Spruce, Jack Pine  42220 - Natural Jack Pine  6125 - Lowland Black Spruce, Jack Pine  6124 - Lowland Spruce- Fir  6125 - Lowland Spruce- Fir	Level 4 Cover Type  42220 - Natural Jack Pine  Medium Density Pole  4110 - Sugar Maple Association  Medium Density Pole  42390 - Mixed Non- Pine Upland Conifers  6124 - Lowland Spruce- Fir  6126 - Lowland Jack Pine  Medium Density Pole  6126 - Lowland Jack Pine  Medium Density Pole  42320 - Upland Spruce Medium Density Pole  42320 - Upland Spruce Medium Density Pole  42260 - Natural Pine, Mixed Deciduous  Medium Density Pole  6129 - Mixed Coniferous Lowland Forest  Medium Density Pole  42260 - Natural Pine, Mixed Upland Coniferous Lowland Forest  High Density Pole  6125 - Lowland Black Spruce, Jack Pine  Medium Density Pole  High Density Pole  High Density Pole  High Density Pole  Medium Density Pole  High Density Pole  Medium Density Pole  Low Density Pole  Medium Density Pole	Level 4 Cover TypeSize DensityAcres42220 - Natural Jack PineMedium Density Pole6.14110 - Sugar Maple AssociationMedium Density Pole13.542390 - Mixed Non- Pine Upland ConifersHigh Density Pole6.26124 - Lowland Spruce- FirLow Density Sapling12.06121 - TamarackHigh Density Pole7.16126 - Lowland Jack PineMedium Density Pole10.042320 - Upland SpruceMedium Density Pole5.342260 - Natural Pine, Mixed DeciduousHigh Density Pole7.36129 - Mixed Coniferous Lowland ForestMedium Density Pole17.36130 - Fir, Aspen, MapleLow Density Sapling7.3429 - Mixed Upland ConifersHigh Density Pole19.66125 - Lowland Black Spruce, Jack PineMedium Density Pole12.742220 - Natural Jack PineHigh Density Pole19.86125 - Lowland Black Spruce, Jack PineHigh Density Pole18.36124 - Lowland Spruce- FirMedium Density Pole22.86125 - Lowland Black Spruce, Jack PineLow Density Pole22.86126 - Lowland Black Spruce, Jack PineLow Density Sapling16.46132 - Mixed Lowland SaplingHigh Density Sapling17.1	Level 4 Cover Type         Size Density         Acres         Stand Age           42220 - Natural Jack Pine         Medium Density Pole         6.1         71           4110 - Sugar Maple Association         Medium Density Pole         13.5         71           42390 - Mixed Non-Pine Upland Conifers         High Density Pole         6.2         70           6124 - Lowland Spruce-Fir         Low Density Sapling         12.0         4           6121 - Tamarack         High Density Pole         7.1         71           6126 - Lowland Jack Pine         Medium Density Pole         10.0         71           42320 - Upland Spruce         Medium Density Pole         5.3         68           42260 - Natural Pine, Mixed Deciduous         High Density Pole         7.3         51           6129 - Mixed Coniferous Lowland Forest         Density Pole         17.3         93           6130 - Fir, Aspen, Maple Conifers         Low Density Pole         19.6         64           6130 - Fir, Aspen, Maple Conifers         High Density Pole         12.7         51           6125 - Lowland Black Spruce, Jack Pine         High Density Pole         12.7         51           6125 - Lowland Black Spruce, Jack Pine         High Density Pole         18.3         56           6124 - Lowlan	Level 4 Cover Type         Size Density         Acres         Stand Age         BA Range           42220 - Natural Jack Pine         Medium Density Pole         6.1         71         81-110           4110 - Sugar Maple Association         Medium Density Pole         13.5         71         51-80           42390 - Mixed Non-Pine Upland Conifers         High Density Pole         6.2         70         81-110           6124 - Lowland Spruce-Fir         Low Density Pole         12.0         4         4           6121 - Tamarack         High Density Pole         7.1         71         51-80           6126 - Lowland Jack Pine         Medium Density Pole         5.3         68         51-80           42320 - Upland Spruce         Medium Density Pole         5.3         68         51-80           42260 - Natural Pine, Mixed Coniferous Lowland Forest         High Density Pole         17.3         93         51-80           6129 - Mixed Upland         Density Pole         17.3         93         4         81-110           6130 - Fir, Aspen, Maple         Low Density Pole         19.6         64         81-110           6125 - Lowland Black Spruce, Jack Pine         Medium Density Pole         12.7         51         1-50           6125 - Lowland Black Spruce,

5 - Forested Stands

Shingleton Mgt. Unit

Compartment: 005

s t	Shingletor	n Mgt. Unit		5 – Fo	orested Sta	nds Compartment: 005 Year of Entry: 2013
a n d	Level 4 Cover Type	Size Density	Acres	Stand Age	BA Range	General Comments:
24	42220 - Natural Jack Pine	High Density Pole	16.0	37	51-80	
25	42220 - Natural Jack Pine	High Density Pole	78.7	56	81-110	would like to hold off on this to gain more diameter. Stand is healthy.
26	6122 - Black Spruce	Medium Density Pole	14.5	92	1-50	edges of stand are growing spruce but there are interior areas that are more treed bog like.
27	42210 - Natural Red Pine	Medium Density Pole	5.9	98	1-50	regen looks really good. Nice stand
28	6120 - Lowland Cedar	High Density Pole	10.3	98		nice stand
29	42220 - Natural Jack Pine	High Density Sapling	13.3	20		
30	42220 - Natural Jack Pine	High Density Sapling	33.8	14	1-50	
31	42320 - Upland Spruce	Medium Density	8.1	17		
33	4191 - Mixed Upland Deciduous with Conifer	High Density Pole	27.8	98		buffer for the Holland Creek
35	42210 - Natural Red Pine	Low Density Pole	13.0	76	1-50	Stand cut winter of 2011. Will need regen check later on.
36	6120 - Lowland Cedar	High Density Pole	19.7	98		nice stand
37	42210 - Natural Red Pine	Medium Density Log	46.9	100	51-80	the west side of the stand where the BA is higher should be treated again to allow for better regeneration.
38	6127 - Lowland Pine	High Density Sapling	80.9	12		great regeneration off all spp.
40	42290 - Natural Mixed Pine	High Density Pole	12.7	85	81-110	
41	6127 - Lowland Pine	High Density Sapling	20.8	12		nice regeneration. stand coulld actually be combined with pre stand 34 maybe be slightly lower in elevation allowing for more spruce and less white pine regen.
43	6122 - Black Spruce	Medium Density Pole	4.2	98	1-50	S5 higher water table not allowing for good growth on the trees. falls off the red pine ridge from adjacent stand. aspen and white pine are found along the edges and a couple small knobs in the stand.

s t	Shingleto	n Mgt. Unit		5 – Foi	rested Sta	nds Compartment: 005 Year of Entry: 2013
a n d	Level 4 Cover Type	Size Density	Acres	Stand Age	BA Range	General Comments:
45	42210 - Natural Red Pine	High Density Log	103.8	101	81-110	there are scattered black spruce mostly non- merchantable. Red and white pine thick with large diameter. needs to be opened up for regeneration. there are more areas of low ground that contain little or no pine that will not be a part of the treatment acreage. Treat with stands to the south in compartment 6
47	6139 - Mixed Lowland Forest	High Density Pole	151.7	98		
48	6139 - Mixed Lowland Forest	High Density Pole	28.9	50	51-80	Stand is still small diameter and gaining growth slowly. The spp remain the same through out the stand but has more aspen in some places and spruce in others. Maybe treat in 20 years. Ground is very wet and would only be possible with a winter cut.
50	6132 - Mixed Lowland Forest with Cedar	Medium Density Pole	26.9	50	51-80	very poor quality cedar, what they left from last cutting. the other spp have better quality. very wet soil.
52	4319 - Mixed Upland Forest	High Density Log	12.1	86		
53	4112 - Maple, Beech, Cherry Association	High Density Pole	29.0	86	111-140	100' set back from the Fox River
54	6118 - Lowland Deciduous with Cedar	Medium Density Pole	23.3	83	81-110	
55	6132 - Mixed Lowland Forest with Cedar	Medium Density	13.2	83		
56	6139 - Mixed Lowland Forest	Low Density Sapling	15.2	9		
57	6128 - Lowland Coniferous, Mixed Deciduous	High Density Pole	175.0	95	111-140	Consider harvesting parts of this stand to help create age class diversity because of its large acreage.
58	4130 - Aspen	Medium Density Pole	44.1	27		
59	4110 - Sugar Maple Association	Medium Density Pole	118.3	Uneven Age	51-80	
60	4139 - Aspen, Mixed Deciduous	High Density Sapling	24.9	27		
61	4110 - Sugar Maple Association	High Density Pole	5.0	Uneven Age	51-80	
62	6117 - Lowland Deciduous, Mixed Coniferous	Medium Density	11.8	13		
63	4139 - Aspen, Mixed Deciduous	High Density Sapling	3.5	27		

S t a n d	Shingleton	n Mgt. Unit		5 – Fo	orested Stands	Compartment: 005 Year of Entry: 2013	DNR
	Level 4 Cover Type	Size Density	Acres	Stand Age	BA Range	General Comments:	MICHIGAN
64	4119 - Mixed Northern Hardwoods	High Density Pole	16.8	86	81-110		

### 6 - Nonforested Stands

Compartment: 005 Year of Entry: 2013



Stand	Cover Type	Acres	Managed Site	Management Priority (Objective)	General Comments:
2	122 - Road/Parking Lot	2.9	No	Unspecified	
5	6220 - Alder/willow	3.8	No	Unspecified	
9	6223 - Inundated Shrub Swamp	165.0	No	Unspecified	might need to add new std. just N of std 2. 9/22bc very poor quality. Tag alder heauy almost in OS. couple elm 10in 50/75-cover .30-90.BA old cut records 43 tam out 60 BS cut out this. what was. left.
13	6224 - Treed Bog	5.4	No	Unspecified	
17	122 - Road/Parking Lot	1.2	No	Unspecified	
19	6220 - Alder/willow	17.8	No	Unspecified	
32	6229 - Mixed lowland shrub	5.9	No	Unspecified	
34	6229 - Mixed lowland shrub	2.0	No	Unspecified	
39	6229 - Mixed lowland shrub	1.5	No	Unspecified	
42	6229 - Mixed lowland shrub	10.3	No	Low (NonForested)	
44	6229 - Mixed lowland shrub	2.2	No	Low (NonForested)	
46	6229 - Mixed lowland shrub	11.8	No	Low (NonForested)	
49	6224 - Treed Bog	4.9	No	Low (NonForested)	
51	6224 - Treed Bog	8.3	No	Low (NonForested)	

Shingleton Mgt. Unit

Compartment: 005 Year of Entry: 2013



### 7 - PROPOSED SPECIAL CONSERVATION AREA\* (SCA) DETAILS

\* This is a partial list of SCAs for this compartment. Not included are those areas identified under other Department initiatives (Natural Rivers, Deer Wintering Areas, etc.). Those will be identified in separate, future map and report products.

Stand	SCA Type	SCA Name	Acres	Comments
multiple - see	Unique Site - SCA	41005_SCA		A for stand condition 8 is no longer needed, as the stand is covered the Fox River HCVA

Shingleton Mgt. Unit

Compartment: 005 Year of Entry 2013



#### **8 – DEDICATED CONSERVATION AREA DETAILS**

\* This is a list of Dedicated Biodiversity Areas for this compartment along with a 1/4 mile buffer surrounding the compartment. Refer to Dedicated Conservation Area Map for areas that the below listed Conservation Areas are located.

Conservation Area	n Type	Description	ERA = Ecological Reference Area HCVA = High Conservation Value Area SCA = Special Conservation Area			
SCA	Cold Water Stream	A coldwater stream has temperature and dissolved oxygen cond stocked trout populations and those of other coldwater fish speci year to year. Coldwater streams in Michigan typically provide the contributions of groundwater to their stream flows. Such streams designated as trout resources by Fisheries Order 210.	ies (e.g., slimy sculpin) to persist from see conditions due to substantial			
and W openir endan genera		An area that provide some specific need for the life cycle of wildlife species, including State Wildlife Areas and Waterfowl Production Areas, deer wintering complexes in lowland conifer communities, grassland openings and savannas. Habitat areas are distinct from critical habitat designated for recovery of endangered or threatened species (such as Kirtland's warbler or piping plover areas) in that they are more general in nature, are not primarily associated with threatened or endangered species, and are not covered by species recovery plans that are developed in cooperation with Federal agencies.				
HCVA	Natural Rivers	There are two Natural Rivers datasets which are derived from sp approved distance from the river centerlines. The Natural Rivers most Natural Rivers. The Vegetative Buffer ranges from 25 to 10 and Vegetative Buffers for each Natural River see the table locat folder.	S Zoning District is a 400 foot buffer for 20 feet. To view specific Zoning Districts			





