

Revision Date: October 22, 2010

Stand Examiner: Robert Tylka

Legal Description: T44N-R13W, Sections 24, 25 and 36

Identified Planning Goals ('Management Area' or 'RMU', if applicable): This compartment is in the Milakokia Lakes Management Area.

Management Goals: Timber production and wildlife habitat are primary goals here. The public recreational facilities (see notes below) are also used during the appropriate seasons.

Soil and Topography: This area features flat to gently rolling terrain on the Buried Moraine and Newberry Moraine Land Type Associations. Much of the state land in this compartment consists of low wetlands on soils such as Carbondale muck; upland portions of the compartment are generally on sandier soils.

Ownership Patterns, Development, and Land Use in and Around the Compartment: State lands in Compartment 007 consist of two small parcels and a pair of larger blocks, all east of Highway M-77. A significant amount of land in this township to the west of Highway M-77 is owned by either the state or the U.S. Government as part of the Seney National Wildlife Refuge. Several thousand acres of corporate timber lands are located throughout the township, and numerous homes & farms are also present.

Unique, Natural Features: Common loon (*Gavia immer*, state threatened) have been known to utilize Kennedy Lake, Little Mud Lake, and Tee Lake for nesting, and there is potential for this species to occur in Stewart Lake. Wood turtle (*Clemmys insculpta*, state special concern) could occur in and along Mud Creek, Mead Creek and Tad Creek. Osprey (*Pandion haliaetus*, state threatened) and bald eagle (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*, state threatened) are known from the general area and there is potential for these raptors to occur within this compartment. There is also potential for nesting red-shouldered hawk (*Buteo lineatus*, state threatened) and Northern goshawks (*Accipiter gentilis*, state special concern) to occur throughout this compartment in stands of northern hardwoods, mixed swamp conifer, mature aspen and swamp hardwood.

Archeological, Historical, and Cultural Features:

Special Management Designations or Considerations: The northwest part of this compartment in Section 1 of T44N R13W lies within an area designated as deer wintering habitat.

Watershed and Fisheries Considerations: Fisheries Values, Marginal. Kennedy Lake is very shallow, and grows bullheads, pike, and some perch – and some of the largest snapping turtles I've ever had to pull out of a net. Although all of the tributaries to the Manistique River: Mud Creek to the north, the creeks between Kennedy and Stewart Lakes, and from Stewart Lake to Mead Creek, and Mead Creek itself, are all SQWW, protection from sand erosion should be high priority. Sand entering the system here will take possibly several hundred years to migrate downstream and out into Lake Michigan. The Manistique River is so inundated with sand now that we should be trying to limit ALL new sources of erosion within this watershed. Eventually, the sand that is already in the river will move on through with help from spring floods.

Wildlife Habitat Considerations: This compartment lies within the St. Ignace sub-subsection. The growing season is 130 days. Extreme winter low temperature is -46° F. Annual snowfall averages 80 inches. Upland presettlement vegetation within this compartment was most likely dominated by northern hardwoods and hemlock-beech forest. Lowlands were primarily conifer covered including cedar, tamarack, and black spruce. Windthrow was most likely the major disturbance regime in this area. From a land ownership perspective, this is one of the most highly fragmented compartments in the Shingleton forest area. Deciduous vegetation has shifted heavily toward aspen, while the coniferous component is primarily cedar. Wildlife habitat management objectives consist of providing a diversity of early successional deciduous covers while maintaining thermal cover in the lowlands. Osprey, loons, and bald eagles have been reported in or near this compartment.

Mineral Resource and Development Concerns and/or Restrictions: Surface sediments consist of coarsetextured glacial till. The glacial drift thickness varies between 50 and 100 feet. The Silurian Cabothead Shale subcrops below the glacial drift. There is no current economic use for the Cabothead. Sandpits and gravel pits are located throughout this area, mostly associated with uplands. There appears to be some gravel potential on State lands.

Vehicle Access: Numerous paved/improved county roads exist throughout the area, but wet terrain renders some areas inaccessible. There are few woods roads providing year-round access to the state forest lands.

Survey Needs: None identified at this time.

Recreational Facilities and Opportunities: There is a DNR boat landing on state land at the north end of Kennedy Lake, and Snowmobile Trail #432 passes through the compartment. The area also provides good opportunities for hunting, fishing & trapping.

Fire Protection: Access for fire suppression on state lands is limited in many places by the low, wet terrain. In contrast, access to private lands via the public road network is reasonably good.

Additional Compartment Information:

- > The following reports from the Inventory are attached:
 - Total Acres by Cover Type and Age Class
 - Proposed Treatment Summary
 - Proposed Treatments No Limiting Factors
 - Proposed Treatments With Limiting Factors
 - Stand Details (Forested and Nonforested)
 - Dedicated and Proposed Special Conservation Areas

> The following information is displayed, where pertinent, on the attached compartment maps:

- Base feature information, stand boundaries, cover types, and numbers
- Proposed treatments
- Details on the road access system



Compartment 7 T44N, R13W, Sec. 1,2,13,23-25,36 County: Schoolcraft Unit: Shingleton YOE: 2012 Acres: 1,156 acres GIS Calculated Stand Examiner: Bob Tylka Map Revised: 9/28/2010 Map Phase: Pre-Review



Legend

- Miris Corners
- Remonumented Section Corners
- Highway
 Paved Roads
 State Highway
- Trails ----
- Snowmobile Trails Utility Lines
- Power • •
- Intermittent Stream/Drain Stream
- Lakes and Rivers
- Stand Boundaries

Forest Stands Level 3

- 411 Northern Hardwood 413 Aspen Types 419 Mixed Upland Deciduous 611 Lowland Deciduous Forest 612 Lowland Coniferous Forest 613 Lowland Mixed Forest Non-Forest Stands Level 3 110 - Low Intensity Urban 330 - Low-Density Trees 500 - Water
 - 622 Lowland Shrub 623 Emergent Wetland

A State of the

















Table 1 – Total Acres by Cover Type and Age Class

Shingleton Mgt. Unit

Data updated before 2:00 PM

Compartment 007 Year of Entry 2012

							Age	Class									
	Hor	Cested	6°2	6 ^{7,0}	5 ²	02:-02.	10-12- 14-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	.3. S3	89. 19	10,10	69-50-	6.6	00.001 -	0 ¹ 10 ¹	20× 200	400 A	1810.
Aspen	0	0	52	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	82	
Cedar	0	0	0	0	0	0	26	0	29	182	1	50	0	24	0	313	
Lowland Aspen/Balsam Poplar	0	18	69	101	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	204	
Lowland Conifers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	43	0	0	0	0	0	0	43	
Lowland Deciduous	0	0	0	3	0	12	0	0	70	0	0	0	0	0	6	90	
Lowland Mixed Forest	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	19	0	0	0	0	0	27	
Lowland Shrub	160	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	160	
Lowland Spruce/Fir	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	
Marsh	52	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	52	
Mixed Upland Deciduous	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	
Northern Hardwood	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60	60	
Treed Bog	73	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	73	
Urban	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	
Water	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	39	İ
Total	329	18	121	103	46	12	26	0	249	204	1	50	0	24	66	1251	

Table 2 – Proposed Treatment Summaries

Ť	Shingleton Mgt. Unit Year of Entry 2012	Data updated before 2:00 PM								Compartment Total Compartment Acres:	007 1251
			A	Acres by 1	reatme	nt Ty	pe				
	Commercial Harvest - 124	Site Prep - 0		Tree P	lanting -	0		Presc	ribed Burn - 0	Other - 0	
	Habitat Cut - 0	Opening Maintena	nce - 0	Tree S	eeding -	- 0		Pestic	cide - 0		
	Lowland	I Deciduous	41	Cover Ty 40 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	pe by H	arves	t Meth	od	No. Contraction of the second		
	Lowland	Mixed Forest	13	0 0	0	0	0	13			
	Lowland	I Spruce/Fir	70	0 0	0	0	0	70			
		Total	124	0 0	0	0	0	124			

			Shing	gleton Mgt. Unit	Table 3	Tre	atments Pre	scribed	Compartment: 007	4
S t		Data	upda	ted before 2:00 PN	/ wi	th No I	imiting Fac	tor	Year of Entry 2012	DNRE
a n d	Treatn Nam	nent Ie	Acres	Stage1 CoverType	Size Density	Stand Age	Treatment Type	Treatment Method	Cover Type Objective	Approval Status
6	4100700	6-Cut	14.8	6117 - Lowland Deciduous, Mixed Coniferous	Medium Density Pole	71	Harvest	Clearcut with Reserves	Lowland Deciduous, Mixed Coniferous	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Preso Spec	<u>cription</u> T <u>s:</u> n	reatmen nix. No c	t - CC v hipping	v/reserves. Retain the allowed in this compared	cedar and any hen rtment.	nlock & v	vhite pine encou	intered. Management o	bjective is lowland asper	n/poplar/conifer
<u>Other</u> Comr	<u>nents:</u>									
<u>Next</u> Steps	Т <u>8:</u> с	SI to eno onifers.	courage Accepta	e expansion of aspen/p able regen includes all	oplar clones by rer species currently c	moving re on site.	esidual hardwoo	ds after the stand is ha	rvested, but retain subm	erchantable
11	4100701	1-Cut	17.6	6117 - Lowland Deciduous, Mixed Coniferous	High Density Log	71	Harvest	Clearcut with Reserves	Lowland Deciduous, Mixed Coniferous	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Preso Spec	<u>cription</u> T <u>s:</u> c	reatmen hipping a	t - CC v allowed	v/reserves. Retain the in this compartment.	cedar and any hen	nlock & v	vhite pine encou	intered. Management o	bjective is aspen/poplar/	conifer mix. No
<u>Other</u> Comr	<u>-</u> ments:									
<u>Next</u> Steps	т <u>»:</u>	SI to rer	nove su	bmerchantable hardwo	ood, but retain sub	merchan	table conifers.			
15	4100701	5-Cut	7.9	6130 - Fir, Aspen, Maple	High Density Log	71	Harvest	Clearcut	Lowland Deciduous, Mixed Coniferous	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Preso Spec	<u>cription</u> T <u>s:</u> th	reatmen nis comp	t - Clea artmen	rcut. Retain any hemlc t.	ock, cedar & white	pine enc	ountered. Manag	gement objective is asp	en/conifer mix. No chipp	ing allowed in
<u>Other</u> Comr	<u>·</u> L <u>ments:</u>	ittle mer	chantab	ble wood besides aspe	n & fir. Acceptable	regen in	cludes aspen ar	nd all conifer species.		
<u>Next</u> Steps	<u>.</u>									
21	4100702	1-Cut	8.2	6117 - Lowland Deciduous, Mixed Coniferous	High Density Pole	72	Harvest	Clearcut with Reserves	Lowland Deciduous, Mixed Coniferous	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Preso Spec	<u>cription</u> T <u>s:</u> c	reatmen hipping a	t - CC v allowed	v/reserves. Retain the in this compartment.	cedar and any hen	nlock & v	vhite pine encou	intered. Management o	bjective is mixed lowland	forest. No
<u>Other</u> Comr	P <u>ments:</u>	aper bir	ch is a r	major component but it	is breaking up/blo	wing dov	vn.			
<u>Next</u> Steps	<u>8:</u>									
31	4100703	1-Cut	70.4	6122 - Black Spruce	Medium Density Pole	72	Harvest	Clearcut with Reserves	Black Spruce	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Preso Spec	<u>cription</u> T <u>s:</u> a	reatmen llowed ir	t - CC v this co	v/reserves. Retain ced ompartment.	ar, and any hemloo	ck & whit	e pine encounte	ered. Management obje	ctive is black spruce. No	chipping
<u>Other</u> Comr	<u>_</u> ments:									
<u>Next</u> Steps	A <u>8:</u>	ll Iowlan	d conife	ers as well as aspen &	birch are acceptab	le regen	eration.			

S t	Shingleton Mgt. Unit S Data updated before 2:00 PM t a			Table 3 / wi	Tre th No I	atments Pres _imiting Facto	Compartment: 007 Year of Entry 2012		
a n d	Treatment Name	Acres	Stage1 CoverType	Size Density	Stand Age	Treatment Type	Treatment Method	Cover Type Objective	Approval Status
49	41007049-Cut	5.0	6132 - Mixed Lowland Forest with Cedar	High Density Log	89	Harvest	Clearcut with Reserves	Mixed Lowland Forest with Cedar	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Pres Spec	<u>cription</u> Treatmer <u>s:</u>	nt - CC	w/reserves. Retain all h	emlock. Managem	ient obje	ctive is mixed low	land forest. No chipp	ing allowed in this compa	artment.
<u>Othe</u> <u>Com</u> <u>Next</u> <u>Step</u>	<u>r</u> ments: s:								

Total Treatment Acreage Proposed: 123.9

S t	Data	Shingleton Mgt. Unit Data updated before 2:00 PM			Treatme a Limiti	ents Prescrib ing Factor	Compartment: 007 Year of Entry 2012		
a n d	Treatment Name	Acres	Stage1 CoverType	Size Density	Stand Age	Treatment Type	Treatment Method	Cover Type Objective	Approval Status
			#Error						
Presc Specs	ription <u>::</u>								
<u>Other</u> Comm	<u>nent:</u>								
<u>Next</u> Steps	<u>:</u>								
<u>Limitir</u> Treatr	ng Factor and No ment Reason	<u>)</u>							
Ac	Total Treatmen reage Proposed	t J:	0						

f VOF

Vear of Entry 2012

	Data	update	d before 2:00 PM	Pr	Out of escribed	YOE Ire I with No Li	eatments miting Factor	fear of Entry: 2	Michigan
Treatme Name	nt	Acres	Stage1 CoverType	Size Density	Stand Age	Treatment Type	Treatment Method	Cover Type Objective	Approval Status
41039_Ou OE-Cu	tOfY t	14.6				Harvest	Clearcut with Reserves	Natural Pine, Mixed Deciduous	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Prescription Specs:	Cuta	all trees ex	ccept hemlock and oa	k. Leave a few r	ed pine an	d white pine for	r seed.		
<u>Other</u> Comments:	Acce have feet. shou	ess to this st may be Buffer Sn Id exclude	stand will involve the needed. Survey work hith creek 100 feet. Th the very dense patch	installation of a may be needen lese will be the nees.	temporary d. There is retention a	bridge. This co a creek / draina reas. East edge	uld be built and placed by age located in southern p e of stand has some ceda	/ the logger west of thi art of stand, it runs ea ır. Cedar can be cut, b	s stand. Winter st/west. Buffer 50 ut sale boundary
<u>Next</u> <u>Steps:</u>	Plant any s	t red pine species m	on ridges to maintain ixture currently found	component. Lov onsite.	w ground s	hould regenera	te to mixed species. Acce	eptable management o	bjectives includes
41049_Ou OE-Cu	tOfY t	15.3				Harvest	Single Tree Selection	Natural Red Pine	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Prescription Specs:	Cut a avail	all species able and t	except red pine ,oak, hin thicker areas of po	white pine, and bles.	l hemlock.	Red pine and	white pine should be mar	ked. Create regenerat	on holes where
<u>Other</u> <u>Comments:</u>	See botto	MNFI com	iments. Winter harve s. Protect existing rec	st will be neede I pine and white	d due to ro pine reger	ad conditions in neration.	nto treatment area. Buffe	on Walsh Ditch shou	d be placed at the
<u>Next</u> Steps:	Natu	ural regen	eration of red pine, jac	k pine, and whi	te pine is a	cceptable. Plai	nt red pine if regeneration	fails.	
41088_Ou OE-Cu	tOfY t	2.3				Harvest	Shelterwood	Natural Red Pine	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Prescription Specs:	Mark spec	red pine ies excep	and white pine to 50 s t hemlock and oak.	q. ft. basal area	to thicken	crowns and pr	epare for regeneration ha	rvest next year of entr	y. Cut all other
<u>Other</u> <u>Comments:</u>	Set u addit	ip treatme	nt as soon as it is app ntion, small stand.	proved at compa	artment rev	riew in order to	combine it into one timbe	rsale with Comparme	nt 88, stand 43. No
<u>Next</u> <u>Steps:</u>	Evalı	uate stanc	l next year of entry for	possible regen	eration hav	est. Try to mai	ntain management object	ive of natural red pine	
41118_Out OE_1-C	tOfY ut	8.6				Harvest	Crown Thinning	Natural Red Pine	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Prescription Specs:	Cuta	all Jack Pi	ne and mark Red and	White Pine to S	90 BA				
<u>Other</u> Comments:	Cut v	vith stand	34 comp 117						
<u>Next</u> <u>Steps:</u>									
41179_Ou OE-Cu	tOfY t	4.2				Harvest	Single Tree Selection	Sugar Maple Association	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Prescription Specs:	Cut t spec gaps snag	o 80 SF u ies variati in areas s.	sing selection system on across it, thin to im of less shade tolerant	. Release crop prove diversity species. Cut as	trees using favor reten pen clones	the complete r tion of mesic co for aspen rege	narker as a guide, mark f onfers. In areas of beech eneration. Leave some s	or best tree in place. T use beach bark marki ingle aspen trees whe	This stand has some ng guidelines. Place re possible for soft
Other Comments:	Acce Birch	ptable reç ı, Hemloci	eneration is a mix of and White Pine	hardwood speci	es includin	g Sugar maple	, Red maple, Basswood,	Black Cherry, Yellow B	Birch, Aspen, White
<u>Next</u> Steps:									

Total Treatment Acreage Proposed: 45.1

S t	Shingleton	Mgt. Unit		5 – For Data update	ested Sta	nds Compartment: 007 2:00 PM Year of Entry: 2012
a n d	Level 4 Cover Type	Size Density	Acres	Stand Age	BA Range	General Comments:
1	6112 - Lowland Aspen	High Density Sapling	5.5	14	1-50	Transitional site between upland & lowland habitat.
2	4112 - Maple, Beech, Cherry Association	High Density Log	5.4	Uneven Age	81-110	Rolling terrain featuring unevenaged hardwoods on a transitional site between upland & lowland habitat.
3	6120 - Lowland Cedar	Low Density Sapling	25.7	52	1-50	
4	6120 - Lowland Cedar	Medium Density Log	95.1	86	81-110	
5	6120 - Lowland Cedar	High Density Log	5.0	80	141-170	
6	6117 - Lowland Deciduous, Mixed Coniferous	Medium Density Pole	14.8	71	51-80	Cut along with the nearby aspen stands - aspen/balsam poplar are breaking up.
7	4199 - Other Mixed Upland Deciduous	High Density Log	3.5	80	81-110	
8	6112 - Lowland Aspen	High Density Sapling	11.1	14	1-50	
9	6120 - Lowland Cedar	High Density Pole	18.6	86	171-200	
10	6112 - Lowland Aspen	High Density Pole	10.0	34	51-80	
11	6117 - Lowland Deciduous, Mixed Coniferous	High Density Log	17.6	71	111-140	Large, mature aspen - cut now.
13	4111 - S.Maple, Hard Mast Association	Medium Density Log	37.5	Uneven Age	81-110	Unevenaged hardwoods - select cut last entry. Scattered yellow birch, black cherry and white ash found in this stand.
14	6119 - Mixed Lowland Deciduous Forest	High Density Pole	3.7	71	51-80	Very wet swale - lowland hardwoods + aspen/fir.
15	6130 - Fir, Aspen, Maple	High Density Log	7.9	71	111-140	Large, mature aspen & balsam fir - cut now.
16	6112 - Lowland Aspen	Medium Density Pole	6.4	34	51-80	Crown closure varies throughout this stand.
18	6112 - Lowland Aspen	High Density Sapling	8.9	14		young aspen
19	6112 - Lowland Aspen	High Density Sapling	4.9	14	1-50	young aspen w/a few residual elm, fir & spruce poles

_	Shingleto	n Mgt. Unit		5 – Foi	rested Sta	nds Compartment: 007
s t				Data update	ed before 2	2:00 PM Year of Entry: 2012
a n d	Level 4 Cover Type	Size Density	Acres	Stand Age	BA Range	General Comments:
20	4110 - Sugar Maple Association	High Density Log	8.1	Uneven Age	81-110	Unevenaged hardwoods - sugar maple is dominant. Greater species diversity along the stand's edges where it borders the lowlands.
21	6117 - Lowland Deciduous, Mixed Coniferous	High Density Pole	8.2	72	81-110	Slightly rolling terrain, but most of the area is very wet - winter ops only.
22	6112 - Lowland Aspen	High Density Pole	11.0	22	1-50	Young aspen on rolling terrain; more lowland than upland. Trees are just reaching merchantable size.
23	6117 - Lowland Deciduous, Mixed Coniferous	Medium Density Pole	5.4	71	1-50	Evidence suggests that this stand is succeeding from a lowland poplar stand as the balsam poplar drops out.
24	6120 - Lowland Cedar	High Density Pole	3.2	71	111-140	Good wildlife cover
26	6112 - Lowland Aspen	Medium Density	18.3	5		
28	6117 - Lowland Deciduous, Mixed Coniferous	Medium Density Pole	19.9	72	1-50	Stand now displays unevenaged characteristics as the mid- successional species (spruce-fir-red maple) advance to upper- crown positions. The age shown here approximates that of the oldest trees (generally the paper birch) in the stand, and matches the adjacent spruce stand. Site indices vary from place to place within the stand, but are generally in the low-to-medium range for commercial timber management.
31	6122 - Black Spruce	Medium Density Pole	99.8	72	81-110	Some variation in age & site indices - generally 3-4 stick spruce.
32	6120 - Lowland Cedar	High Density Pole	14.5	75	200+	
34	4130 - Aspen	High Density Sapling	52.3	13		
35	6120 - Lowland Cedar	Low Density Pole	6.0	75	1-50	Back-flooded cedar - the few still alive are badly stressed. Scattered black ash and paper birch are almost all dead.
36	6120 - Lowland Cedar	Low Density Pole	5.6	75	1-50	Back-flooded cedar - the few that are still alive are badly stressed. Paper birch & black ash were scattered components of this stand, but virtually all of the deciduous trees in the upper canopy are dead.
39	6120 - Lowland Cedar	High Density Pole	1.5	92	141-170	Good wildlife cover near Kennedy Lake. Stand includes a small pocket of upland hardwoods near the boat launch.
42	6112 - Lowland Aspen	High Density Sapling	14.4	14		Cut in 1996.
43	4130 - Aspen	High Density Log	30.0	38	141-170	

~	Shingleto	n Mgt. Unit		5 – Foi	rested Sta	Inds Compartment: 007
t s				Data update	ed before 2	2:00 PM Year of Entry: 2012
a n d	Level 4 Cover Type	Size Density	Acres	Stand Age	BA Range	General Comments:
44	6132 - Mixed Lowland Forest with Cedar	Medium Density Pole	13.7	89	1-50	This stand is beginning to exhibit unevenaged characteristics as the first cohorts of spruce/ fir/balsam poplar/paper birch are rapidly dropping out. Site indices appear to vary throughout but generally seem to be in the low-to-medium ranges. Natural disturbances including blowdown, breakup & flooding are fairly regular occurances here; this plus the semi-open nature of the wetter areas results in extremely variable crown closure. The age given here is from previous inventory records. In reality a fair degree of age-class diversity has developed.
46	6117 - Lowland Deciduous, Mixed Coniferous	High Density Sapling	2.6	24		Age is estimated from from cutting records. The site is extremely wet, and all trees are very slow-growing. Scattered merchantable trees are present, but generally in poor shape.
47	6112 - Lowland Aspen	High Density Pole	16.5	21	81-110	Cut in 1989 and has already reached merchantable size. This stand occupies a transitional site that includes a mix of both upland & lowland habitat characteristics. It is fully-stocked with quaking aspen & balsam poplar; the dense understory includes a wide variety of tree species. The larger hardwood poles were probably advanced regeneration from the previous stand.
49	6132 - Mixed Lowland Forest with Cedar	High Density Log	5.0	89	51-80	Already prepped for sale - has been sold & returned.
50	6117 - Lowland Deciduous, Mixed Coniferous	High Density Log	11.8	44	81-110	Aspen on a transitional (upland to lowland) site - cut next entry
52	4110 - Sugar Maple Association	Medium Density Pole	3.1	Uneven Age	81-110	
53	6120 - Lowland Cedar	Medium Density Log	10.5	122	51-80	Prescribed last entry - sold but returned uncut.
54	6120 - Lowland Cedar	High Density Log	14.2	89	141-170	
55	6112 - Lowland Aspen	High Density Pole	41.0	24	51-80	
56	6112 - Lowland Aspen	High Density Sapling	19.8	18	1-50	Young aspen - a few stems are reaching merch. size. Stand density is somewhat variable.
57	6128 - Lowland Coniferous, Mixed Deciduous	Medium Density Pole	18.8	75	81-110	This stand gets wetter as you approach the creek bottom to the east & south. Site indices vary throughout but are generally medium to low; some age variability is also evident.
58	6112 - Lowland Aspen	High Density Pole	4.3	24		Young aspen cut in 1986.
59	6112 - Lowland Aspen	High Density Sapling	3.9	18	1-50	A few trees are reaching merchantable size.
60	6120 - Lowland Cedar	High Density Log	48.7	89	141-170	Site indices vary but are generally moderate to good. The density & composition of the understory also change quite a bit from site to site within the stand area.

S t	Shingleton Mgt. Unit			5 – For Data update	ested Sta	IndsCompartment: 0072:00 PMYear of Entry: 2012
a n d	Level 4 Cover Type	Size Density	Acres	Stand Age	BA Range	General Comments:
62	6124 - Lowland Spruce- Fir	Medium Density Pole	24.0	77	81-110	Site conditions change quickly from site to site within this stand, resulting in variable composition, density and site indices. Aspen & paper birch are breaking up.
64	6120 - Lowland Cedar	High Density Pole	5.5	101	111-140	Slow-growing cedar etc. on boggy ground - operability is questionable along the southern & eastern edges of this stand.
65	6120 - Lowland Cedar	Medium Density Pole	3.5	101	111-140	Cedar on wet, boggy ground - operability is questionable. Low to moderate site index. A few scattered balsam poplar & birch are also present. Some evidence of age-class diversity is developing.
66	4110 - Sugar Maple Association	Medium Density Log	6.0	Uneven Age	81-110	Unevenaged hardwoods - the ages given are rough averages that match the adjacent timber on state land.
67	6112 - Lowland Aspen	High Density Sapling	28.0	20		Young aspen - a few trees are reaching merchantable size.
68	6120 - Lowland Cedar	Low Density Log	6.0	120	51-80	Slow-growing cedar; site index is generally medium to low. Stand density varies significantly.
70	6120 - Lowland Cedar	High Density Log	41.1	101	111-140	Unevenaged characteristics are developing as natural disturbances create structural diversity within this stand of relatively slow-growing cedar.
72	6120 - Lowland Cedar	Low Density Log	7.9	120	51-80	Semi-open stand of slow-growing cedar on rolling ground. The lower sites are frequently flooded and support only wetland forbs, grasses and brush spp., while the slightly - elevated spots support trees and tag alder. Site index for cedar varies but is generally low.
73	6113 - Lowland Maple	High Density Log	6.2	Uneven Age	51-80	Unevenaged red maple with a mix of other hardwoods & conifers.

Shingleton Mgt. Unit

6 – Nonforested Stands

Data updated before 2:00 PM

Stand	Cover Type	Acres	Gen Cmts:
12	6233 - Wet Meadow	23.9	Cattails & grasses in the open areas; lowland shrubs & dead cedar, etc. in many places. Water levels appear to vary significantly throughout the year. Scattered (low density) submerchantable cedar, fir, birch & ash are also present.
17	11 - Low Intensity Urban	4.1	
25	6224 - Treed Bog	72.8	Wet site featuring scattered submerchantable conifers, paper birch, lowland brush spp. and wetland grasses/shrubs throughout the area. Site indices appear to be too low for commercial timber management, though a few trees have reached merch. size.
			Mud Creek flows through this stand; evidence of seasonal flooding is present.
27	6220 - Alder/willow	12.3	Regeneration of commercial tree spp. is sparse; the area is now dominated by tag alder/willow.
29	6220 - Alder/willow	1.0	
30	6220 - Alder/willow	3.0	
33	50 - Water	5.7	
37	6220 - Alder/willow	9.1	
38	6220 - Alder/willow	1.0	
40	11 - Low Intensity Urban	1.1	
41	6230 - Cattail	11.8	
45	6233 - Wet Meadow	16.1	This semi-open wetland is a mix of tag alder/willow, cattails & other emergent spp., wet grassy meadow and low-density trees that are generally submerchantable. A few pockets of bigger trees are also present on slightly elevated knobs.
48	6223 - Inundated Shrub Swamp	5.6	Very wet area bordering Stewart Lake. Scattered tag alder & willow plus low shrubs, cattails & grasses/sedges - generally open marshland.
51	50 - Water	5.4	
61	6220 - Alder/willow	117.9	Heavy tag alder/willow on very wet ground surrounding Crescent Lake. Ground cover includes grass/sedges and cattails; a few submerchantable fir & cedar are also present along the fringes of the adjacent timber stands.

Shingleton Mgt. Unit

6 – Nonforested Stands

Compartment: 007 Year of Entry: 2012

Data updated before 2:00 PM

Stand	Cover Type	Acres	Gen Cmts:
63	50 - Water	27.7	Cornell Lake
69	6229 - Mixed lowland shrub	2.5	
71	6220 - Alder/willow	7.8	

7 – PROPOSED SPECIAL CONSERVATION AREA* (SCA) DETAILS

* This is a partial list of SCAs for this compartment. Not included are those areas identified under other Department initiatives (Natural Rivers, Deer Wintering Areas, etc.). Those will be identified in separate, future map and report products.

Data updated before 2:00 PM

Stand	SCA Type	SCA Name	Acres	Comments

8 – DEDICATED CONSERVATION AREA DETAILS

* This is a list of Dedicated Biodiversity Areas for this compartment along with a 1/4 mile buffer surrounding the compartment. Refer to Dedicated Conservation Area Map for areas that the below listed Conservation Areas are located.

Conservation Area	Туре	Data updated before 2 Description	:00 PM ERA = Ecological Reference Area HCVA = High Conservation Value Area SCA = Special Conservation Area
SCA	Habitat Area	An area that provide some specific need for th and Waterfowl Production Areas, deer winterin openings and savannas. Habitat areas are dis endangered or threatened species (such as K general in nature, are not primarily associated covered by species recovery plans that are de	e life cycle of wildlife species, including State Wildlife Areas ng complexes in lowland conifer communities, grassland tinct from critical habitat designated for recovery of rtland's warbler or piping plover areas) in that they are more with threatened or endangered species, and are not veloped in cooperation with Federal agencies.