

Shingleton Forest Management Unit Compartment Review Presentation

Compartment #136 Entry Year: 2014 Compartment Acreage: 2462 County: Alger

Revision Date: 6/10/2012

Stand Examiner: Rick-James Hill

Legal Description: T48N R15W Sections 5,6,8,17 and 18

RMU (if applicable): The compartment is located within the Pictured Rocks Buffer Management Area.

Management Goals: Provide for the protection, integrated management, and responsible use of a healthy, productive, forest and mineral resource base for the social, recreational, environmental, and economic benefit of the people of the State of Michigan.

Soil and Topography: There are two main soil types in this compartment; Autrain sand and Rubicon sand. Both are listed as being naturally low in fertility. The terrain is mainly flat with some areas that have the steeper depressions making frost pockets.

Ownership Patterns, Development, and Land Use in and Around the Compartment: This compartment has broken ownership of private and commercial private lands. Sections 5, 6 and the northern most 40 in section 8 are within the Pictured Rocks National Lake Shore Boundary. Sections 17, 18 and the remainder of 8 are not within the PRNL boundary.

Unique, Natural Features (include only non-site specific and non-sensitive information): This Compartment contains portions of Kingston Plains. There are Sharp-tailed grouse to north and there is a Loon in Nugent Lake. There is an Eagle to the west and osprey to the east. There is potential for sharp-tailed grouse in Grass stands, potential for goshawk in mature pine and northern hardwood stands. There is potential for red shoulder hawk in mature northern hardwoods.

Archeological, Historical, and Cultural Features (include only non-site specific and non-sensitive information): This area was logged at the turn of the century like many of the areas in the Shingleton Management Unit. There are old grades that are still maintained as drivable two-track and some traces of old grades no longer drivable.

Special Management Designations or Considerations: This part of the Kingston Plains area is designated for the production of timber. The north half of the compartment is within the dedicated Pictured Rocks Buffer.

Watershed and Fisheries Considerations: Fisheries Values excellent. This compartment contains Kingston Lake which supports a natural northern muskie population, as well as good numbers of largemouth and smallmouth bass, yellow perch, panfish, and some walleye. Kingston Lake water levels are severely down from the normal full pool mark. The treatments proposed around the shoreline are selective cuts, but need to adhere to a minimum buffer distance of 100 feet with only selective cutting within 25 feet of outside edge. Due to the low water level, the buffer should start where the ordinary high water mark would be under normal conditions.

Wildlife Habitat Considerations: This compartment is located in the Grand Marias Sandy End Moraine Outwash sub-subsection. The average growing season is approximately 120 days. The extreme winter temperature generally reaches approximately -35° F. Snowfall in this compartment averages 200 inches or more annually. The compartment falls within the Pictured Rocks Buffer Management Area which highlights the following Featured Species: American Marten, blackburnian warbler, northern goshawk and pileated woodpecker. General Land Office (GLO) Surveyor notes indicate that this entire compartment was dominated by upland sandy soils. Throughout the compartment, the 1851 forest contained extensive amounts of hemlock, beech, balsam fir, and white pine. Somewhat lower, yet abundant amounts of birch (presumably mostly yellow, but some white) and red maple provided an additional deciduous component in the forest. Red pine and spruce were recorded with much lower frequencies. Kingston Lake and a few kettle ponds represent the lowlands within the compartment. This compartment does not contain any drainages. As such, the primary natural disturbance regimes were likely fire and windthrow. Logging and extensive slash fires have substantially altered the landscape in this area. Most of the organic material and vegetation in the eastern portion of the compartment were destroyed. In 1954, Forestry and Game management divisions entered into a plan for experimental reforestation projects on the Kingston Plains. That plan called for a wood production area, a game production area, and a control. Within the control, vistas displaying the stump fields were maintained for scenic and historic purposes. Stands 31, 34, 35, 36, 61, 62, 63, and 64 are included in the wood production area of this plan. Stand 401 was identified as a stump field vista. Stands 37 and 40 appear to be very similar in species composition to the pre-settlement forest. Wildlife habitat objectives include maintaining the open character of the stump fields in stand 401, and promoting forest species and structural diversity in the western portion of the compartment that is similar to pre-settlement conditions. Gray wolves, moose (Michigan special), merlin (Michigan threatened), and sharp-tailed grouse (Michigan special concern) have been recorded in compartment. Other wildlife species of interest may include bluebird, sandhill crane, meadow jumping mouse, and coyote.

Mineral Resource and Development Concerns and/or Restrictions: Surface sediments consist of glacial outwash sand and gravel and postglacial alluvium. There is insufficient data to determine the glacial drift thickness. The Cambrian Trempealeau Formation subcrops below the glacial drift. The Trempealeau could be used for stone. There are not any gravel pits in the area and potential appears limited. There is no commercial oil and gas production in the UP.

Vehicle Access: Access is very good through the entire compartment. H-58 runs through the center with many good two-tracks spurring off giving access to almost the entire compartment. Many of the two tracks are quite sandy and not capable of supporting heavy truck traffic.

Survey Needs: There are a few areas that will need some work, including a 40 acre block with private land on three sides.

Recreational Facilities and Opportunities: Kingston Lake State Forest Campground is open to year round camping. There is a boat launch at the lake that is not exclusive to campers. One of the starting points to the Fox River Pathway begins at the campground. The Grand Marais Snowmobile Club maintains Snowmobile Trail 8 that follows H-58 through the compartment.

Fire Protection: This compartment is comprised mainly of red pine plantations, some low quality hardwood timber types and large grass openings. Some cutting is scheduled in this compartment but slash loads will not be increased in any significant amounts.

Additional Compartment Information:

- > The following 5 reports from the Operations Inventory System (OIPC) are attached:
 - **♦** Cover Type by Age Class
 - **♦** Cover Type by Management Objective

- **♦** Compartment Volume Summary
- **♦** Proposed Treatments No Limiting Factors
- **♦** Proposed Treatments With Limiting Factors
- > The following information is displayed, where pertinent, on the attached compartment maps:
 - ♦ Base feature information, stand numbers, cover types
 - **♦** Proposed treatments
 - **♦** Proposed road access system
 - ♦ Suggested potential old growth

Compartment 136 Year of Entry 2014

Shingleton Mgt. Unit
Rick-James Hill: Examiner



Age Class

						Age v	Jiuss									
		80	0,0	St. St.	No. of the second	LO. A.S.	18 / S	86.00	, no.	\$ 6 P	8.38	on on one	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	20 [*] /30 [*]	R A	, so
Aspen	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	[
Hemlock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	11	0	0	0	0	0	20	
Herbaceous Openland	346	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	346	
Jack Pine	17	0	3	0	10	0	106	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	135	
Low-Density Trees	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60	
Marsh	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Mixed Upland Deciduous	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	71	71	1
Natural Mixed Pines	0	12	0	0	12	14	5	3	57	0	0	0	0	0	103	1
Northern Hardwood	0	0	0	0	0	0	92	0	90	0	0	0	0	0	183	
Planted Mixed Pines	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	
Red Pine	0	0	105	0	0	555	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	660	
Sand, Soil	49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	49	
Upland Conifers	0	0	0	0	0	11	44	0	26	0	0	0	0	0	81	
Upland Mixed Forest	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	88	159	0	0	0	0	0	257	1
Upland Shrub	127	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	127	1
Urban	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	1
Water	95	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	95	1
White Pine	0	0	0	10	169	43	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	241	1
Total	715	20	108	10	191	638	247	101	363	0	0	0	0	71	2463	



Table 2 – Proposed Treatment Summaries

Shingleton Mgt. Unit

Compartment 136 Year of Entry 2014 **Total Compartment Acres: 2463**

Acres by Treatment Type

Commercial Harvest - 1038 Site Prep - 0 Tree Planting - 196 Prescribed Burn - 0 Other - 0

Habitat Cut - 0 Opening Maintenance - 0 Tree Seeding - 0 Pesticide - 0

Cover Type by Harvest Method / /8 / /8 /

		/				Lie O	in S	
				\$ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \				
Hemlock		0	11	0	0	0	0	11
Jack Pine		106	0	0	0	0	0	106
Mixed Upland De	ciduous	0	71	0	0	0	0	71
Natural Mixed Pir	nes	0	0	0	0	48	0	48
Northern Hardwo	ood	0	121	0	0	0	0	121
Planted Mixed Pi	nes	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
Red Pine		12	0	0	0	405	0	417
Upland Conifers		0	11	0	0	0	0	11
Upland Mixed Fo	rest	0	0	88	159	0	0	248
	Total	123	213	88	159	454	0	1038

Shing	leton l	Mgt. ∣	Unit
-------	---------	--------	------

Table 3 -- Treatments Prescribed with No Limiting Factor

Co

mpartment: 136	NO NATURAL
ear of Entry 2014	DNR DNR
	CHIGA

t a n d	Treatment Name	Acres	CoverType	Size Density	Stand Age	BA Range	Treatment Type	Treatment Method	Cover Type Objective	Approval Status
1	41136001-Cut	8.0	42110 - Planted Red Pine	High Density Pole	53	171-200	Harvest	Systematic Thinning	42110 - Planted Red Pine	Cmpt. Review Proposal - Incomplete

Specs:

S

Prescription This red pine stand is over 50 years old; a third row thin would improve growth on the trees left in the stand and leave all options on the table for future management. Mark trees for removal also cut all jack pine and aspen, remove white pine and red maple for access.

Other Comments:

This stand should be cut with stands in c 135 as well as other stands west of Kingston Lake. There are a lot of sand hills making summer logging a challenging. Also winter logging is inappropriate due to the amount of snowfall received as well as recreation conflicts. A larger sale would make a large pit run spec more practical.

Next Steps:

Proposed

10/01/2013 Start Date:

Cmpt. Review 41136003-Cut 42110 - Planted 141-170 42110 - Planted 77 High 53 Harvest Systematic Red Pine Thinning Red Pine Proposal -Density Pole Incomplete

Specs:

Prescription This red pine stand is over 50 years old; a third row thin would improve growth on the trees left in the stand and leave all options on the table for future management. Mark trees for removal also cut all jack pine and aspen, remove white pine and red maple for access.

Other

This stand should be cut with stands in c 135 as well as other stands west of Kingston Lake. There are a lot of sand hills making summer logging Comments: a challenging. Also winter logging is inappropriate due to the amount of snowfall received as well as recreation conflicts. A larger sale would make a large pit run spec more practical.

Next Steps:

<u>Proposed</u>

Start Date: 10/01/2013

41136004-Cut 42141 - Planted 53 1-50 Harvest 42120 - Planted Cmpt. Review 5.4 High Clearcut with Mixed Pine, Mixed Density Reserves Jack Pine Proposal -Deciduous Pole Incomplete

Specs:

Prescription This stand is a failed plantation it should be clearcut and then jack pine should be planted in the red pines place. Leave under 10SF of red pine and white pine for diversity. Also leave 3 % of the area of the stand along the western edge as retention.

<u>Other</u> Comments:

This stand should be cut with stands in c 135 as well as other stands west of Kingston Lake. There are a lot of sand hills making summer logging a challenging. Also winter logging is inappropriate due to the amount of snowfall received as well as recreation conflicts. A larger sale would make a large pit run spec more practical.

<u>Next</u> Steps: Jack pine should be planted post harvest use any approved methods to insure jack pine regeneration on the site. Acceptable regeneration is red pine, jack pine, white pine, balsam fir, and spruce.

Proposed

10/01/2013 Start Date:

41136005-Cut 4.2 42111 - Planted High 53 51-80 Harvest Clearcut with 42120 - Planted Cmpt. Review Red Pine, Mixed Density Reserves Jack Pine Proposal -Deciduous Pole Incomplete

Prescription This stand is a failed plantation it should be clearcut and then jack pine should be planted in the red pines place. Leave under 10SF of red pine and white pine for diversity. Also leave 3 % of the area of the stand along the western edge as retention. Specs:

Other

This stand should be cut with stands in c 135 as well as other stands west of Kingston Lake. There are a lot of sand hills making summer logging Comments: a challenging. Also winter logging is inappropriate due to the amount of snowfall received as well as recreation conflicts. A larger sale would make a large pit run spec more practical.

Jack pine should be planted post harvest use any approved methods to insure jack pine regeneration on the site. Acceptable regeneration is red Next Steps: pine, jack pine, white pine, balsam fir, and spruce.

Proposed

Start Date: 10/01/2013

Shingleton	Mgt.	Uni
------------	------	-----

Table 3 -- Treatments Prescribed with No Limiting Factor

Compartment: 136 Year of Entry 2014

22	OF N	ATUR	1
13	1	1	15
RTA	î	12	16
EPA	DNI	R	1
10	MIC	N]
	10	HIGK	

a n d	Treatment Name	Acres	CoverType	Size Density	Stand Age	BA Range	Treatment Type	Treatment Method	Cover Type Objective	Approval Status
13	41136013-Cut	29.0	42110 - Planted Red Pine	High Density Pole	59	171-200	Harvest	Systematic Thinning	42110 - Planted Red Pine	Cmpt. Review Proposal - Incomplete

Specs:

S

Prescription This red pine stand is over 50 years old; a third row thin would improve growth on the trees left in the stand and leave all options on the table for future management. Mark trees for removal also cut all jack pine and aspen, remove white pine and red maple for access.

Other Comments: The Fox River Trail cuts through this stand, there is also part of the stand that borders Kingston Lake the lake should be buffered, the Fox River Trail will be hard to protect in its current location moving it to the buffer along the lake would provide a more scenic trail as well as an opportunity to build the trail utilizing more sustainable trail construction methods.

Next

Steps: Proposed

10/01/2013 Start Date:

41136017-Cut High Crown Thinning 42210 - Natural 43.5 42290 - Natural 141-170 Harvest Cmpt. Review Mixed Pine Red Pine Density Log Proposal -Incomplete

Specs:

Prescription This stand should be thinned remove the hardwood as well as balsam fir and spruce, mark pine for removal. Target basal area is 100 square feet. Thin for best tree in place make gaps where practical try to release some of the younger advanced regeneration. Protect any hemlock and oak present on site, buffer Kingston Lake for visuals and water quality. Also buffer the small vernal ponds in the stand as well as the frost pockets. The area of buffers may be more then 10% that will be acceptable in this situation.

Other | Comments:

This stand should be cut with stands in c 135 as well as other stands west of Kingston Lake. There are a lot of sand hills making summer logging a challenging. Also winter logging is inappropriate due to the amount of snowfall received as well as recreation conflicts. A larger sale would make a large pit run spec more practical.

Next Steps:

Proposed

10/01/2013 Start Date:

42110 - Planted 21 41136021-Cut 97 1 High 50 111-140 Harvest Systematic 42110 - Planted Cmpt. Review Red Pine Thinning Red Pine Proposal -Density Pole Incomplete

Specs:

Prescription. This red pine stand is over 50 years old; a third row thin would improve growth on the trees left in the stand and leave all options on the table for future management. Mark trees for removal also cut all jack pine and aspen, remove white pine and red maple for access.

Other Comments:

This stand should be cut with stands in c 135 as well as other stands west of Kingston Lake. There are a lot of sand hills making summer logging a challenging. Also winter logging is inappropriate due to the amount of snowfall received as well as recreation conflicts. A larger sale would make a large pit run spec more practical.

<u>Next</u> Steps:

<u>Proposed</u>

Start Date: 10/01/2013

4113 - R.Maple, 22 41136022-Cut 10.8 429 - Mixed Upland High 111-140 Harvest Single Tree Cmpt. Review Conifer Conifers Density Selection Proposal -Pole Incomplete

Specs:

Prescription Cut this stand using a selection system. Cut this stand to a target of 70 square feet of basil area lower basil areas due to beech are acceptable. Retention will be a mix of species on site in the form of residual left after the harvest. Improve quality of stand by marking for crop trees using the complete marker as a guide. Cut all beech that exhibit symptoms bbd. Improve species diversity with tree selection. Mesic conifers should

be favored though tree selection.

Group with other stands in the area survey work will be needed to find exact lines. Sale should be large to allow a large fill spec to improve sale Other_ viability.

Comments:

Next If beech brush becomes an issue herbicide the beech brush. Planting of one or all of the following species oak, resistant beech stock, hemlock or Steps: white pine should be considered post harvest. Acceptable regeneration will include a mix of all species on site.

Proposed

Start Date: 10/01/2013

Table 3 -- Treatments Prescribed with No Limiting Factor

Compartment: 136
Year of Entry 2014

13	OF N	ATUR	1
RTME	4	9	2
DEPA	DNF	R	
/	MICI	HIGAN	/

a n d	Treatment Name	Acres	CoverType	Size Density	Stand Age	BA Range	Treatment Type	Treatment Method	Cover Type Objective	Approval Status
26	41136026-Cut	70.6	4191 - Mixed Upland Deciduous with Conifer	High Density Pole	82	81-110	Harvest	Group Selection	3302 - Low Density Conifer Trees	Cmpt. Review Proposal - Incomplete

<u>Prescription</u> Cut all beech that exhibit symptoms bbd cut other trees as necessary to operate in the stand and remove the beech. The stand west of H-58 <u>Specs:</u> should be excluded form the harvest due to less beech being present there.

<u>opecs.</u> Should be excluded form the harvest du

Other Comments:

s

If beech brush becomes an issue herbicide the beech brush. Planting of one or all of the following species oak, resistant beech stock, hemlock or white pine should be considered part barriest. Acceptable regeneration is any hardwood or conifer present on site except back.

Steps: white pine should be considered post harvest. Acceptable regeneration is any hardwood or conifer present on site except beech.

Proposed

<u>Next</u>

Start Date: 10/01/2013

41136029-Cut 8.1 42110 - Planted 171-200 42110 - Planted Cmpt. Review 29 High 59 Harvest Systematic Red Pine Density Thinning Red Pine Proposal -Pole Incomplete

<u>Prescription</u> Row thin this red pine stand cut every third row mark trees if rows are not present mark for operability and quality.

Specs:

Other Group with other stands on eastside of H-58

Comments:

Next Steps:

Proposed

Start Date: 10/01/2013

42110 - Planted 42110 - Planted 36 41136036-Cut 17.7 High 59 171-200 Harvest Systematic Cmpt. Review Red Pine Red Pine Proposal -Density Thinning Pole Incomplete

Prescription Row thin this red pine stand cut every third row mark trees if rows are not present mark for operability and quality.

Specs:

Other Group with stands on east side of H-58.

Comments:

<u>Next</u> Steps:

<u>Proposed</u>

Start Date: 10/01/2013

41136045-Cut 42210 - Natural 42110 - Planted 45 71.0 High 59 81-110 Harvest Systematic Cmpt. Review Red Pine Thinning Red Pine Proposal -Density Pole Incomplete

<u>Prescription</u> Row thin this red pine stand cut every third row mark trees if rows are not present mark for operability and quality.

Specs:

Other Group with stands on east side of H-58.

Comments:

Next Steps:

<u>Proposed</u>

Start Date: 10/01/2013

Table 3 -- Treatments Prescribed with No Limiting Factor

Compartment: 136 Year of Entry 2014

1	OF NATURAL
THE	
EPAR	DNR
191	MICHIGAN 6

a n d	Treatment Name	Acres	CoverType	Size Density	Stand Age	BA Range	Treatment Type	Treatment Method	Cover Type Objective	Approval Status
52	41136052-Cut	7.7	42110 - Planted Red Pine	High Density Pole	59	1-50	Harvest	Clearcut with Reserves	42120 - Planted Jack Pine	Cmpt. Review Proposal - Incomplete

Prescription Clearcut this stand mark some red and white pine to leave no more then 2 trees per acre leave a small area (3%-5%) on the edge of the stand as

retention. Specs:

Other Group with stands on east side of H-58.

Comments:

<u>Next</u> Trench and plant this stand to jack pine plant per TMS spec. Acceptable regeneration is red pine, jack pine, white pine, balsam fir, and spruce.

Steps:

S

<u>Proposed</u>

10/01/2013 Start Date:

41136054-Cut High 4114 - Beech, 10.7 42350 - Upland 81 81-110 Single Tree Cmpt. Review 54 Harvest Hemlock **Density Log** Selection Hemlock Proposal -Incomplete

Prescription Cut this stand using a selection system. Basil area should be targeted at 80 sf less is acceptable in areas of beech. Focus on removal of beech as well as cutting hardwood that are of poor form or over mature. Some hemlock will be harvested the goal is to regenerate hemlock so this is Specs:

acceptable. Balsam fir should be removed; Spruce should be marked so that there is still a spruce component in the stand. Retention will be a

mix of species on site in the form of residual left after the harvest.

Logging access must be granted or be found through state land on the east side of this block. Group with other stands in the area survey work **Other** will be needed to find exact lines. Comments:

If beech brush becomes an issue herbicide the beech brush. Planting of one or all of the following species oak, resistant beech stock, hemlock or <u>Next</u> white pine should be considered post harvest. Acceptable regeneration is a mix of red maple, sugar maple, paper birch, yellow birch, hemlock, Steps:

white pine, oak, red pine, spruce, balsam fir and black cherry.

<u>Proposed</u>

10/01/2013 Start Date:

55 41136055-Cut 28.6 4119 - Mixed High 81 81-110 Harvest Single Tree 4110 - Sugar Maple Cmpt. Review Northern Hardwoods Density Log Selection Association Proposal -Incomplete

Prescription Cut this stand using a selection system. Cut this stand to a target of 80 square feet of basil area lower basil areas due to beech are acceptable. Specs: Retention will be a mix of species on site in the form of residual left after the harvest. Improve quality of stand by marking for crop trees using

the complete marker as a guide. Cut all beech that exhibit symptoms bbd. Improve species diversity with tree selection. Mesic conifers should be favored though tree selection.

<u>Other</u> Logging access must be granted or be found through state land on the east side of this block. Group with other stands in the area survey work will be needed to find exact lines. Comments:

If beech brush becomes an issue herbicide the beech brush. Planting of one or all of the following species oak, resistant beech stock, hemlock or Next Steps: white pine should be considered post harvest. Acceptable regeneration is a mix of red maple, sugar maple, paper birch, yellow birch, hemlock,

white pine, oak, red pine, spruce, balsam fir and black cherry.

Proposed

Start Date: 10/01/2013

41136057-Cut 4117 - Mixed N. Cmpt. Review 21.8 High 68 81-110 Harvest Single Tree 4112 - Maple, Hardwood - Pine Density Selection Beech, Cherry Proposal -Pole Incomplete Association

Prescription Cut all beech that exhibit symptoms bbd cut other trees as necessary to operate in the stand and remove the beech.

Specs:

Other Comments:

<u>Next</u> If beech brush becomes an issue herbicide the beech brush. Planting of one or all of the following species oak, resistant beech stock, hemlock or

white pine should be considered post harvest. Acceptable regeneration is any hardwood or conifer present on site except beech. Steps:

Proposed

10/01/2013 Start Date:

Table 3 -- Treatments Prescribed with No Limiting Factor

Compartment: 136 Year of Entry 2014

- 22	108	NAT	JRA	
13	1	1	1	Š
RTA			12	100
EPA	DI	NR.	•	100
10			,;	1
	1	CHIG		

a n d	Treatment Name	Acres	CoverType	Size Density	Stand Age	BA Range	Treatment Type	Treatment Method	Cover Type Objective	Approval Status
59	41136059-Cut	40.2	4115 - Y.Birch, Hemlock NH	High Density Pole	62	111-140	Harvest	Single Tree Selection	4115 - Y.Birch, Hemlock NH	Cmpt. Review Proposal - Incomplete

Specs:

S

Prescription Cut this stand using a shetlerwood system. Cut this stand to a target of 50 square feet of basil area lower basil areas due to beech are acceptable. Focus on removal of beech as well as cutting hardwood that are of poor form or over mature. Do not cut hemlock or white pine as the goal of the harvest is increase the representation of theses species in the stand Keep much of the yellow birch as well as sugar maple that are of good form. Retention will be a mix of species on site in the form of residual left after the harvest. Cut all beech that exhibit symptoms bbd. Improve species diversity with tree selection. Mesic conifers should be favored though tree selection.

Other_ Comments: Group with stand 60, FLG access may be needed

Next Steps: If beech brush becomes an issue herbicide the beech brush. Planting of one or all of the following species oak, resistant beech stock, hemlock or white pine should be considered post harvest. Acceptable regeneration is a mix of red maple, sugar maple, paper birch, yellow birch, hemlock, white pine, oak, red pine, spruce, balsam fir and black cherry.

Proposed

10/01/2013 Start Date:

41136060-Cut 159.3 51-80 60 4319 - Mixed High 81 Harvest Shelter Wood 4115 - Y.Birch, Cmpt. Review **Upland Forest** Density with Reserves Hemlock NH Proposal -Pole Incomplete

Specs:

Prescription Cut this stand using a shetlerwood system. Cut this stand to a target of 50 square feet of basil area lower basil areas due to beech are acceptable. Focus on removal of beech as well as cutting hardwood that are of poor form or over mature. Do not cut hemlock or white pine as the goal of the harvest is increase the representation of theses species in the stand Keep much of the yellow birch as well as sugar maple that are of good form. Retention will be a mix of species on site in the form of residual left after the harvest. Cut all beech that exhibit symptoms bbd. Improve species diversity with tree selection. Mesic conifers should be favored though tree selection.

Other

<u>Next</u>

Steps:

Group with stand 59 FLG access may be needed.

Comments:

If beech brush becomes an issue herbicide the beech brush. Planting of one or all of the following species oak, resistant beech stock, hemlock or white pine should be considered post harvest. Acceptable regeneration is a mix of red maple, sugar maple, paper birch, yellow birch, hemlock, white pine, oak, red pine, spruce, balsam fir and black cherry.

Proposed

10/01/2013 Start Date:

41136062-Cut 88.3 4319 - Mixed High 81-110 Harvest Seed Tree with 4113 - R.Maple, Cmpt. Review Upland Forest Density Reserves Conifer Proposal -Pole Incomplete

Specs:

Prescription Cut this stand using a shetlerwood system. Cut this stand to a target of 50 square feet of basil area lower basil areas due to beech are acceptable. Focus on removal of beech as well as cutting hardwood that are of poor form or over mature. Keep much of the yellow birch as well as sugar maple that are of good form. Retention will be a mix of species on site in the form of residual left after the harvest. Cut all beech that exhibit symptoms bbd. Improve species diversity with tree selection. Mesic conifers should be favored though tree selection.

Other

Next Steps: Keep BA higher near H-58 for visual management. Cut a year early to save some beech.

Comments:

If beech brush becomes an issue herbicide the beech brush. Planting of one or all of the following species oak, resistant beech stock, hemlock or white pine should be considered post harvest. acceptable regeneration is a mix of red maple, sugar maple, paper birch, yellow birch, hemlock,

white pine, oak, red pine, spruce, balsam fir and black cherry.

<u>Proposed</u>

10/01/2012 Start Date:

66 41136066-Cut 106.0 42220 - Natural High 60 Harvest Clearcut with 42120 - Planted Cmpt. Review Jack Pine Density Reserves Jack Pine Proposal -Pole Incomplete

Prescription Clearcut this stand, leave any red pine and white pine present in the stand. Cut only a portion of the stand as to minimize visual management on Specs: H-58 as well as green up issues with the stand to the south. Retain 10 percent of the stand in areas that will improve visuals and mitigate green

up issues.

<u>Other</u> Comments:

Regenerate jack pine using any methods needed including but not limited to scarification, planting and herbicides. Acceptable regeneration is red Next pine, jack pine, white pine, balsam fir, and spruce. Steps:

Proposed

10/01/2013 Start Date:

Table 3 -- Treatments Prescribed with No Limiting Factor

Compartment: 136
Year of Entry 2014

,	OF	NATI	IRA)	
ME	1	4	7	63
PAR	DN	IR	V	
10	M	Curc	1	1
		CHIG		

t a n d	Treatment Name	Acres	CoverType	Size Density	Stand Age	BA Range	Treatment Type	Treatment Method	Cover Type Objective	Approval Status
72	41136072-Cut	30.4	4115 - Y.Birch, Hemlock NH	High Density Log	64 I	111-140	Harvest	Single Tree Selection	4112 - Maple, Beech, Cherry Association	Cmpt. Review Proposal - Incomplete

<u>Prescription</u> Cut all beech that exhibit symptoms bbd cut other trees as necessary to operate in the stand and remove the beech.

Specs:

<u>Next</u>

s

Other Comments:

If beech brush becomes an issue herbicide the beech brush. Planting of one or all of the following species oak, resistant beech stock, hemlock or

white pine should be considered post harvest. Acceptable regeneration is any hardwood or conifer present on site except beech.

Steps: Proposed

Start Date: 10/01/2013

41136074-Cut 17.5 42110 - Planted Systematic 42110 - Planted Cmpt. Review 74 High 57 Harvest Red Pine Density Thinning Red Pine Proposal -Pole Incomplete

<u>Prescription</u> Row thin this red pine stand cut every third row mark trees if rows are not present mark for operability and quality.

Specs:

Other Group with other stands in the area.

Comments:

Next Steps:

Proposed

Start Date: 10/01/2013

76 41136076-Cut 4.7 42290 - Natural High 66 171-200 Harvest Crown Thinning 42210 - Natural Cmpt. Review Mixed Pine Density Log Red Pine Proposal - Incomplete

Prescription Thin this stand mark to improve crop trees, and stand diversity. Remove hardwood, fir, jack pine and spruce. Mark red and white pine Target BA

Specs: for the stand is 100.

Other Retention will be sample of trees present in the stand using green paint to mark to leave.

Comments:

Next Steps:

<u>Proposed</u>

Start Date: 10/01/2013

41136079-Cut 42110 - Planted 42110 - Planted 79 89.4 High 57 111-140 Harvest Systematic Cmpt. Review Red Pine Thinning Red Pine Proposal -Density Pole Incomplete

Prescription Row thin this red pine stand cut every third row mark trees if rows are not present mark for operability and quality.

Specs:

Other Group with other stands in the area.

Comments:

Next Steps:

Proposed

Start Date: 10/01/2013

Table 3 -- Treatments Prescribed with No Limiting Factor

Compartment: 136
Year of Entry 2014

OF NATURAL	i
	ß
NR DNR	URC
18	1
AFICHIGAN.	

a n d	Treatment Name	Acres	CoverType	Size Density	Stand Age	BA Range	Treatment Type	Treatment Method	Cover Type Objective	Approval Status
87	41136087-Cut	60.0	42110 - Planted Red Pine	High Density Log	58 I	81-110	Harvest	Systematic Thinning	42110 - Planted Red Pine	Cmpt. Review Proposal - Incomplete

<u>Prescription</u> This red pine stand is over 50 years old; a third row thin would improve growth on the trees left in the stand and leave all options on the table for <u>Specs</u>: future management. Mark trees for removal also cut all jack pine and aspen, remove white pine and red maple for access.

Other Group with stands on east side of H-58

Comments:

s

<u>Next</u>

Steps:

Proposed

Start Date: 10/01/2013

16 41136016-8.1 4130 - Aspen 15 Tree Planting Hand Plant 42120 - Planted Cmpt. Review Low **Plant** Density Jack Pine Proposal -Sapling Incomplete

<u>Prescription</u> Jack pine should be planted use any approved methods to insure jack pine regeneration on the site.

Specs:

Other Comments:

Next Steps:

Proposed

Start Date: 10/01/2013

4.0 42220 - Natural 8 Tree Planting 42120 - Planted 35 41136035-Low Hand Plant Cmpt. Review Jack Pine Density Jack Pine Proposal -**Plant** Sapling Incomplete

Prescription Trench and plant this stand it failed natural regeneration plant per TMS spec.

Specs:

Other A new FTP is needed. Include stand in 134 that failed as well.

Comments:

Next Acceptable regeneration is red pine, jack pine, white pine, balsam fir, and spruce.

Steps:

<u>Proposed</u>

Start Date: 10/01/2013

42220 - Natural 41136047-7.5 8 Tree Planting Hand Plant 42120 - Planted 47 Low Cmpt. Review **Plant** Jack Pine Jack Pine Proposal -Density Sapling Incomplete

<u>Prescription</u> Trench and plant this stand it failed natural regeneration plant per TMS spec.

Specs:

Other Needs new FTP.

Comments:

Acceptable regeneration is red pine, jack pine, white pine, balsam fir, and spruce.

Next Steps:

Proposed

Start Date: 10/01/2013

Table 3 -- Treatments Prescribed with No Limiting Factor

Compartment: 136
Year of Entry 2014

	OF NATURAL	
THE		
EPAR	DNR	
10	Arichigan .	

a n d	Treatment Name	Acres	CoverType	Size Density	Stand Age	BA Range	Treatment Type	Treatment Method	Cover Type Objective	Approval Status
51	41136051- Plant	5.1	42220 - Natural Jack Pine	Low Density Sapling	8		Tree Planting	Hand Plant	42120 - Planted Jack Pine	Cmpt. Review Proposal - Incomplete

<u>Prescription</u> Trench and plant this stand it failed natural regeneration plant per TMS spec.

Specs:

s

Other Needs a new FTP.

Comments:

Next Acceptable regeneration is red pine, jack pine, white pine, balsam fir, and spruce.

Steps:

<u>Proposed</u>

Start Date: 10/01/2013

41136081-Tree Planting 42120 - Planted 81 104.6 42210 - Natural Medium 20 Hand Plant Cmpt. Review Proposal -**Plant** Red Pine Density Jack Pine Sapling Incomplete

Prescription Stand has been trenched

Specs: Under FTP

Other Comments:

Next Acceptable regeneration is red pine, jack pine, white pine, balsam fir, and spruce.

Steps:

<u>Proposed</u>

Start Date: 07/30/2012 4:01:20 PM

83 NF_41136083- 67.2 3102 - Grass Tree Planting Hand Plant 42110 - Planted Cmpt. Review Red Pine Proposal - Incomplete

Prescription Under FTP

Specs:

Other Comments:

Next Acceptable regeneration is red pine, jack pine, white pine, balsam fir, and spruce.

Steps:

<u>Proposed</u>

Start Date: 07/30/2012

Total Treatment

Acreage Proposed: 1234.1

Shingleton Mgt. Unit Table 4 -- Treatments Prescribed with Compartment: 136 a Limiting Factor s Year of Entry 2014 n Treatment Acres CoverType Size Stand BA **Treatment Treatment Cover Type Approval** Name Method Objective Status Density Age Range Type d #Error **Prescription** Specs: <u>Other</u> Comment: <u>Next</u> Steps: <u>Proposed</u> Start Date: #Error

Total Treatment Acreage Proposed:

Limiting Factor and No Treatment Reason

0

Out of YOE -- Treatments **Prescribed with No Limiting Factor**

Year of Entry: 2014

Treatment Name	Acres	CoverType	Size Density	Stand Age	BA Range	Treatment Type	Treatment Method	Cover Type Objective	Approval Status
	1.8	Unspecified				Harvest	Unspecified	Unspecified	Cmpt. Review Proposal - Incomplete
Prescription Specs:									
Other Comments:									
Next Steps:									
Proposed Start Date:									
41009014- Cut1	5.2	6120 - Lowland Cedar	High Density Pole	141		Harvest	Patch or Strip Clearcut	6120 - Lowland Cedar	Cmpt. Review Proposal - Incomplete
Prescription patch of Specs:	cut app. 5 ac	cres, determined at tin	ne of prep						
Other Comments:									
Next Monito Steps:	r according	to work instructions.							
Proposed Start Date: 10/01/2	2011								
41044_OutOf OE-Cut	Y 0.9					Harvest	Crown Thinning	42210 - Natural Red Pine	Cmpt. Review Proposal - Incomplete
Prescription Mark ro Specs:	ed pine and	white pine to 80 sq.ft.	where dens	ities are	high enoug	h. Cut all other	species except hemle	ock, oak, and cedar.	
Other Retent Comments:	ion will be a	portion of the red pine	e and white _l	pine trees	s remainin) .			
Next Possib Steps:	le regenera	tion harvest next year	of entry.						
Proposed Start Date: 10/01/2	2013								

7.8

S t	Shingleton	n Mgt. Unit		5 – For	rested Sta	Compartment: 136 Year of Entry: 2014
a n d	Level 4 Cover Type	Size Density	Acres	Stand Age	BA Range	General Comments:
1	42110 - Planted Red Pine	High Density Pole	8.0	53	171-200	Row thin this stand.
2	42200 - Natural White Pine	High Density Pole	5.2	53	81-110	
3	42110 - Planted Red Pine	High Density Pole	7.7	53	141-170	This stand should be row thinned to improve growth.
4	42141 - Planted Mixed Pine, Mixed Deciduous	High Density Pole	5.4	53	1-50	This is a failed red pine plantation it should be clearcut and replanted to jack pine.
5	42111 - Planted Red Pine, Mixed Deciduous	High Density Pole	4.2	53	51-80	This is a failed red pine plantation it should be clearcut and replanted to jack pine.
6	42110 - Planted Red Pine	High Density Log	57.2	59	141-170	Thined last YOE could thin for form this YOE or leave for Next YOE
13	42110 - Planted Red Pine	High Density Pole	29.0	59	171-200	This stand should be row thinned to improve growth.
16	4130 - Aspen	Low Density Sapling	8.1	15		This is a brushy aspen site that is not doing much, Pine in the area grows well it should be planted with pine either jack or red so it can become productive.
17	42290 - Natural Mixed Pine	High Density Log	43.5	89	141-170	A selection cut or thinning to 90-100 square feet would be beneficial focus on removing hardwood and selecting for quality.
18	42290 - Natural Mixed Pine	Medium Density Log	13.8	89	81-110	This stand is the Kingston lake campground as well as the Kingston lake access site.
20	42200 - Natural White Pine	High Density Log	18.8	89	51-80	This stand was cut using a sheltewood system regeneration looks good in a lot of places. When the regeneration become merchantable thin the stand.
21	42110 - Planted Red Pine	High Density Pole	97.1	50	111-140	This stand has varying stocking and quality a thinning might add some growth, thinning may also improve the diversity of the stand. If the stand is cut try and cut it with stands from the adjacent compartment.
22	429 - Mixed Upland Conifers	High Density Pole	10.8	56	111-140	
23	42100 - Planted White Pine	High Density Sapling	10.5	38	81-110	
26	4191 - Mixed Upland Deciduous with Conifer	High Density Pole	70.6	Uneven Age	81-110	Cut with inmates in 2002, looks good for the most part, if areas of beech are found a salvage could be performed.
29	42110 - Planted Red Pine	High Density Pole	8.1	59	171-200	This stand should be row thinned to improve growth.

S t	Shingleton Mgt. Unit			5 – Fo	orested Sta	nds Compartment: 136 Year of Entry: 2014
a n d	Level 4 Cover Type	Size Density	Acres	Stand Age	BA Range	General Comments:
30	42350 - Upland Hemlock	High Density Pole	9.6	74	81-110	
33	42290 - Natural Mixed Pine	High Density Log	3.0	75	200+	An island in kingston lake.
35	42220 - Natural Jack Pine	Low Density Sapling	4.0	8		This area needs more trenching and replanting, was under an FTP needs a new one now.
36	42110 - Planted Red Pine	High Density Pole	17.7	59	171-200	This stand should be row thinned to improve growth.
37	42290 - Natural Mixed Pine	High Density Pole	11.8	15		Stand borders Kingston Lake, it should be Factor limited for water quality.
38	42290 - Natural Mixed Pine	High Density Pole	14.2	56		
39	429 - Mixed Upland Conifers	High Density Log	2.1	85		Stand borders Kingston Lake, it should be Factor limited for water quality and access.
40	429 - Mixed Upland Conifers	High Density Log	3.0	85	81-110	Stand borders Kingston Lake, it should be Factor limited for water quality and access.
45	42210 - Natural Red Pine	High Density Pole	71.0	59	81-110	This stand has varying stocking and quality a thinning might add some growth, thinning may also improve the diversity of the stand.
46	42220 - Natural Jack Pine	High Density Sapling	2.9	29		
47	42220 - Natural Jack Pine	Low Density Sapling	7.5	8		This stand should be replanted to Jack Pine.
48	429 - Mixed Upland Conifers	High Density Pole	43.5	67	51-80	
51	42220 - Natural Jack Pine	Low Density Sapling	5.1	8		This stand needs to be retrenched and planted initial planting has failed and old FTP was closed.
52	42110 - Planted Red Pine	High Density Pole	7.7	59	1-50	Clearcut this stand plant Jack pine this time also leave some red pine and white pine to add diversity to the area.
53	42260 - Natural Pine, Mixed Deciduous	Medium Density Pole	12.1	40		
54	42350 - Upland Hemlock	High Density Log	10.7	81	81-110	This is a hemlock stand. The BBD killing front has passed and killed much of the beech. Beech would have been around 15 percent of the stand before BBD killed about half of the beech.

Shingletor	n Mgt. Unit		5 – Fo	orested Sta	nds Compartment: 136 Year of Entry: 2014
Level 4 Cover Type	Size Density	Acres	Stand Age	BA Range	General Comments:
4119 - Mixed Northern Hardwoods	High Density Log	28.6	81	81-110	This is a diverse hardwood stand. The BBD killing front has passed and killed much of the beech. Beech would have been around 25 percent of the stand before BBD killed about half of the beech.
4319 - Mixed Upland Forest	High Density Pole	9.7	58	51-80	Poorly stocked hardwood slowly filling in an opening when BA justifies thin this stand.
4117 - Mixed N. Hardwood - Pine	High Density Pole	21.8	68	81-110	This stand was thinned last year of entry there is still some beech in the stand.
4115 - Y.Birch, Hemlock NH	High Density Pole	40.2	62	111-140	Cut all beach and most red maple. A sheterwood for white pine and hemlock regeneration would be smart an herbicide treatment to set back reduce beech brush would be needed post harvest.
4319 - Mixed Upland Forest	High Density Pole	159.3	81	51-80	This stand is a mix of hemlock, white pine, red maple, beech, fir, birch and sugar maple. There is about 20% beech in the stand it's full of scale and dying much of the maple looks to be in poor shape as well, there is also a component of fir that is nearing maturity.
42111 - Planted Red Pine, Mixed Deciduous	High Density Pole	6.2	57		
4319 - Mixed Upland Forest	High Density Pole	88.3	76	81-110	Much of the beech in this stand has died there is still some product left so there should be a salvage harvest. This area was hemlock and white pine pre settlement there is a good amount of both species in both stands now a shelter wood harvest to 40-50 sf to improve the hemlock and white pine proportion of the stand.
429 - Mixed Upland Conifers	High Density Log	21.1	86	1-50	This stand is a mix of white pine and hemlock. There is some good regeneration in the understory. This area was cut last entry period with pine and hemlock reserved, it's an example of what I am looking to do in much of the hardwood in the compartment.
42200 - Natural White Pine	High Density Pole	138.3	48	51-80	
42220 - Natural Jack Pine	High Density Pole	106.0	60		This stand is a mature Jack Pine stand in an area with a high priority on visual management due to its proximity to PRNL and the amount of traffic County road H-58 receives. The should be cut but visual issues should be taken into account with the cut either being split or having a large area that can be buffered along public view.
42220 - Natural Jack Pine	High Density Pole	9.8	48		
42200 - Natural White Pine	High Density Pole	30.7	46		
4115 - Y.Birch, Hemlock NH	High Density Log	30.4	64	111-140	
	Level 4 Cover Type 4119 - Mixed Northern Hardwoods 4319 - Mixed Upland Forest 4117 - Mixed N. Hardwood - Pine 4115 - Y.Birch, Hemlock NH 4319 - Mixed Upland Forest 42111 - Planted Red Pine, Mixed Deciduous 4319 - Mixed Upland Forest 429 - Mixed Upland Conifers 42200 - Natural White Pine 42220 - Natural Jack Pine 42200 - Natural Jack Pine 42200 - Natural White Pine 42200 - Natural White Pine	A119 - Mixed Upland Forest High Density Pole 4117 - Mixed N. High Density Pole 4117 - Mixed N. High Density Pole 4115 - Y.Birch, Hemlock NH Pole 4319 - Mixed Upland Forest High Density Pole 4319 - Mixed Upland Forest High Density Pole 42111 - Planted Red Pine, Mixed Deciduous Pole 4319 - Mixed Upland Forest High Density Pole 429 - Mixed Upland Conifers High Density Pole 42200 - Natural White Pine High Density Pole 42220 - Natural Jack Pine High Density Pole 42220 - Natural Jack Pine High Density Pole 42220 - Natural White Pine High Density Pole	Level 4 Cover Type Bize Density Acres 4119 - Mixed Northern Hardwoods 4319 - Mixed Upland Forest High Density Pole 4117 - Mixed N. Hardwood - Pine High Density Pole 4115 - Y.Birch, Hemlock NH High Density Pole 4119 - Mixed Upland Forest High Density Pole 40.2 4319 - Mixed Upland Forest High Density Pole 42111 - Planted Red Pine, Mixed Deciduous 4319 - Mixed Upland Forest High Density Pole 4220 - Natural White Pine High Density Pole 42220 - Natural Jack Pine High Density Pole 42220 - Natural White Pole 42220 - Natural White Pole 42220 - Natural White Pine High Density Pole 42220 - Natural White Pole 42220 - Natural Jack Pine High Density Pole 42230 - Natural White Pole 42240 - Natural White Pole 42250 - Natural White Pole 42260 - Natural White Pole 42260 - Natural White Pole 42270 - Natural White Pole 42280 - Natural White Pole 42290 - Natural White Pole 42290 - Natural White Pole 42200 - Natural White Pole 42200 - Natural White Pole	Level 4 Cover Type Density Acres Age	Level 4 Cover Type Size Density Acres Stand Age BA Range 41119 - Mixed Northern Hardwoods High Density Log 28.6 81 81-110 4319 - Mixed Upland Forest High Density Pole 9.7 58 51-80 4117 - Mixed N. Hardwood - Pine High Density Pole 21.8 68 81-110 4115 - Y.Birch, Hemlock NH High Density Pole 40.2 62 111-140 4319 - Mixed Upland Forest High Density Pole 159.3 81 51-80 42111 - Planted Red Pine, Mixed Upland Forest High Density Pole 88.3 76 81-110 429 - Mixed Upland Conifers High Density Pole 138.3 48 51-80 4220 - Natural White Pine High Density Pole 106.0 60 42220 - Natural Jack Pine High Density Pole 9.8 48 42200 - Natural Jack Pine High Density Pole 30.7 46 4115 - Y.Birch, Pine High Density Pole 30.4 64 111-140

S t	Shingleton Mgt. Unit			5 - Forested Stands		nds Compartment: 136 Year of Entry: 2014
a n d	Level 4 Cover Type	Size Density	Acres	Stand Age	BA Range	General Comments:
74	42110 - Planted Red Pine	High Density Pole	17.5	57		This stand is in poor shape the trees are small and poorly stocked it could be thinned be allowed to grow for a while or be restarted.
76	42290 - Natural Mixed Pine	High Density Log	4.7	66	171-200	
79	42110 - Planted Red Pine	High Density Pole	89.4	57	111-140	This stand has some natural appearance due to topography present, and some large trees that where here prior to 1955. This stand could use a thin of every third row its hard to fallow rows so in most cases it will be natural appearing try to mark for diversity
80	4112 - Maple, Beech, Cherry Association	High Density Log	61.6	81	81-110	This stand was cut in 97-98, it has vary thick regen. The stand is of better quality then surrounding stands. BA is still to low so waiting ten more years would be a good plan.
81	42210 - Natural Red Pine	Medium Density	104.6	20		This stand was under planted at some point in the past in 2007 the stand was chosen for the red pine project, it was clearcut in 2011 the stand is being trenched in 2012 and will be planted in 2013 there is much red pine as a result of the under planting
82	42200 - Natural White Pine	High Density Pole	4.1	50	81-110	
85	42200 - Natural White Pine	High Density Pole	19.3	58	81-110	
86	42110 - Planted Red Pine	High Density Pole	74.8	57	81-110	This is a failed red pine plantation in an area with a high priority on visual management due to its proximity to PRNLand the amount of traffic County road H-58 receives. A pattern of irregular clearcuts with scattered red pine seed trees could be tried these areas should be converted to Jack Pine as the site will likely support that species much better.
87	42110 - Planted Red Pine	High Density Log	60.0	58	81-110	
88	42200 - Natural White Pine	High Density Pole	4.7	58	1-50	
89	42200 - Natural White Pine	High Density Pole	9.3	58	1-50	

6 - Nonforested Stands

Compartment: 136 Year of Entry: 2014



Stand	Cover Type	Acres	Managed Site	Management Priority (Objective)	General Comments:	MICHIGAN
7	330 - Low-Density Trees	4.1	N\A	Unspecified		
8	320 - Upland Shrub	55.6	N\A	Unspecified		
9	310 - Herbaceous Openland	1.8	N\A	Unspecified		
10	310 - Herbaceous Openland	1.3	N\A	Unspecified		
11	310 - Herbaceous Openland	1.3	N\A	Unspecified		
12	330 - Low-Density Trees	1.0	N\A	Unspecified		
14	730 - Mud Flats	1.1	N\A	Unspecified		
15	730 - Mud Flats	1.2	N\A	Unspecified		
19	310 - Herbaceous Openland	4.6	N\A	Unspecified		
24	710 - Sand, Soil	44.0	N\A	Unspecified		
25	710 - Sand, Soil	1.4	N\A	Unspecified		
27	310 - Herbaceous Openland	1.5	N\A	Unspecified		
28	320 - Upland Shrub	7.2	N\A	Unspecified		
31	50 - Water	94.3	N\A	Unspecified		
32	11 - Low Intensity Urban	9.1	N\A	Unspecified		
34	3302 - Low Density Conifer Trees	2.8	N\A	Unspecified		
41	320 - Upland Shrub	5.5	N\A	Unspecified		
42	730 - Mud Flats	1.6	N\A	Unspecified		
				·		

6 - Nonforested Stands

Compartment: 136 Year of Entry: 2014



Stand	Cover Type	Acres	Managed Site	Management Priority (Objective)	General Comments:
43	50 - Water	1.0	N\A	Unspecified	
44	320 - Upland Shrub	4.3	N\A	Unspecified	
49	320 - Upland Shrub	4.3	N\A	Unspecified	
50	330 - Low-Density Trees	24.3	N\A	Unspecified	
58	330 - Low-Density Trees	3.1	N\A	Unspecified	
65	320 - Upland Shrub	1.9	N\A	Unspecified	
67	310 - Herbaceous Openland	12.1	N\A	Unspecified	
68	330 - Low-Density Trees	1.5	N\A	Unspecified	
69	320 - Upland Shrub	48.1	N\A	Unspecified	
73	11 - Low Intensity Urban	11.1	N\A	Unspecified	
75	330 - Low-Density Trees	8.1	N\A	Unspecified	
77	310 - Herbaceous Openland	255.8	N\A	Unspecified	
78	6233 - Wet Meadow	1.2	N\A	Unspecified	
83	3102 - Grass	67.2	Planted	Red Pine	
84	330 - Low-Density Trees	14.8	N\A	Unspecified	
-					

Compartment: 136 Year of Entry: 2014



7 - PROPOSED SPECIAL CONSERVATION AREA* (SCA) DETAILS

* This is a partial list of SCAs for this compartment. Not included are those areas identified under other Department initiatives (Natural Rivers, Deer Wintering Areas, etc.). Those will be identified in separate, future map and report products.

Stand	SCA Type	SCA Name	Acres	Comments

Compartment: 136
Year of Entry 2014

DNR DICHIGAN

8 – DEDICATED CONSERVATION AREA DETAILS

* This is a list of Dedicated Biodiversity Areas for this compartment along with a 1/4 mile buffer surrounding the compartment. Refer to Dedicated Conservation Area Map for areas that the below listed Conservation Areas are located.

Conservati Area	on Type	Description	ERA = Ecological Reference Area HCVA = High Conservation Value Area SCA = Special Conservation Area	
SCA Concentrated Facilities that are designed and maintained for routine or heavy raccess sites.				
SCA	Contiguous Resource Area	These are DNR-owned lands that are directly contiguous to adjacent ownerships, where there is potential for coordination of landscape-level management for similar purposes. Such lands include distinct but contiguous DNR-owned lands, such as State Parks, State Forest and Wildlife Areas. Such lands also include DNR-owned lands that are adjacent to other ownerships such as Federal Parks, National Forest wilderness areas, National Wildlife Refuges, conservancy lands, and private lands such as the Huron Mountain Club.		
SCA	Visual Management Area	An area of general social appreciation that is managed to recogn Examples of these areas include scenic vistas, scenic or natural		





