

## **ROSCOMMON FOREST MANAGEMENT UNIT COMPARTMENT REVIEW PRESENTATION**

# COMPARTMENT # 79 ENTRY YEAR: 2012

Compartment Acreage: 1126 County: Roscommon

**Revision Date:** 1/27/10

Stand Examiner: D. Ekdom

Legal Description: T23N R04W Sections 28, 29, & 33

Management Area: Houghton Lake Wetlands

**Management Goals:** Maintain current age and species diversity in a range of early and late successional ecosystems.

**Soil and Topography:** Most of the compartment is flat to slightly rolling except for center of Section 29 which is hilly to fairly steep in spots with a NE aspect

**Ownership Patterns, Development, and Land Use in and Around the Compartment:** Compartment is a solid block of state land broken up by US-127 and is bounded by state land on the north and northwest and private property on the rest of the compartment. Land use on private property includes farmland with permanent residences, wooded acreages with either seasonal or permanent residences, and sub-divisions with either seasonal or permanent residences.

**Unique, Natural Features:** Documented reports of black tern, least bittern, and osprey within the compartment with a great blue heron rookery on stands to the north.

Archeological, Historical, and Cultural Features: Documented reports of archeological site(s) within the compartment.

**Special Management Designations or Considerations:** The south unit of the Houghton Lake Flats is within the compartment and is classified as a Special Conservation Area (SCA).

**Watershed and Fisheries Considerations:** Houghton Lake Flats and Houghton Lake on the east side of the compartment. There is also a large wetland complex west of US-127.

**Wildlife Habitat Considerations:** Maintain ecosystem diversity in the compartment via habitat manipulation to benefit game species such as deer, grouse, rabbits, and turkeys as well as non-game species within the Houghton Lake Flats such as eagles, osprey, and great blue herons.

**Mineral Resource and Development Concerns and/or Restrictions:** Surface sediments consist of peat/muck and glacial outwash sand and gravel and postglacial alluvium. The glacial drift thickness varies between 400 and 600 feet. Beneath the glacial drift is the Mississippian Michigan Formation. The Michigan is quarried for gypsum in the State. Most of the nearby gravel pits are associated with upland areas. Several gravel pits are located in Section 3. Gravel potential is thought to be good in the upland areas. East Norwich Field lies seven miles to the northwest. The field has produced over 15.9 million BO and 15.7 Bcf gas

primarily from the Devonian Richfield Formation and is in secondary recovery operations currently. None of the State land is currently leased in the compartment.

**Vehicle Access:** Vehicle access to most of the compartment exterior is good via county roads but restricted by US-127. The south dike of the Houghton Lake Flats is protected by a wildlife gate.

Survey Needs: None necessary at this time.

**Recreational Facilities and Opportunities:** No developed recreational facilities within the compartment except for a wildlife viewing platform along Old 27 in Section 28. The rest of the compartment receives moderate hunting pressure, mostly from locals.

**Fire Protection:** Fire protection in this compartment is not a problem due to close proximity of suppression forces and wet terrain. However, there is a well developed wildland-urban interface within the compartment.

Additional Compartment Information: This compartment has many records of invasive species, mostly associated within or on the boundary of the Houghton Lake Flats. Proposed treatments include 25 acres of final harvests in aspen and jack pine cover types and 12 acres of thinnings in oak cover types.

- > The following 5 reports from the Inventory are attached:
  - Cover Type by Age Class
  - Cover Type by Management Objective
  - ♦ Compartment Volume Summary
  - Proposed Treatments No Limiting Factors
  - Proposed Treatments With Limiting Factors
- > The following information is displayed, where pertinent, on the attached compartment maps:
  - ♦ Base feature information, stand numbers, cover types
  - Proposed treatments
  - Proposed road access system
  - Suggested potential old growth







## Table 1 – Total Acres by Cover Type and Age Class

Roscommon Mgt. Unit

Data updated before 10:00 AM

## Compartment 079 Year of Entry 2012



							0										
	Nor	Desige of the second	6. / J	10,79	627	30 <sup>-33</sup>	OP OP	95.05	00.00	Ri D	69-00		601.001	021.021	200× 1540	of the the	,00, 10,00
Aspen	0	0	54	12	0	13	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	97	l
Bog	209	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	209	
Herbaceous Openland	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	
Jack Pine	0	0	31	0	0	8	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	47	
Low-Density Trees	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	
Lowland Aspen/Balsam Poplar	0	0	19	0	0	3	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0	14	60	
Lowland Conifers	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	24	
Lowland Deciduous	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	
Lowland Shrub	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	
Marsh	379	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	379	
Mixed Upland Deciduous	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	48	0	0	0	3	56	
Northern Hardwood	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	21	
Oak	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	25	0	0	0	0	33	
Treed Bog	139	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	139	
Urban	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	
Water	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	
Total	772	0	104	12	0	50	16	0	0	72	73	0	0	0	28	1126	

# Table 2 – Proposed Treatment Summaries

Michigan 🛁											
DNRE	Roscommon Mgt. Unit Year of Entry 2012	t. Unit Data updated before 10:00 AM 12						Compartment Total Compartment Acres:	079 1126		
			Ac	res by	Treatm	ent Ty	ре				
	Commercial Harvest - 36	Site Prep - 0		Tree F	lanting	- 0		Presc	ribed Burn - 0	Other - 0	
	Habitat Cut - 0	Opening Maintenar	nce - 0	Tree S	eeding	- 0		Pesti	cide - 0		
			С	over Ty	pe by H	larves	st Meth	od			
	Jack Pi	ne	8 0	Contraction of the second	999 997 997 997 997 997 997 997 997 997	A CONTRACTOR	Citicities Office	Sol Colin	See Contraction of the second		
		d Daaiduqua	17 0	0	0	0	0	17			
	Lowian	a Deciduous	17 0	0	0	0	0	17			
	Mixed U	Jpland Deciduous	0 0	0	0	11	0	11			
		Total	25 0	0	0	11	0	36			

			Roscor	nmon Mgt. Unit	Table 3	Trea	atments Pre	scribed	Compartment: 079	Ł
S t		Data	updat	ed before 10:00 A	AM W	ith No L	imiting Fact	tor	Year of Entry 2012	Michigan
a n d	Trea Na	tment ame	Acres	Stage1 CoverType	Size Density	Stand Age	Treatment Type	Treatment Method	Cover Type Objective	Approval Status
10	71079	010-Cut	8.3	42120 - Planted Jack Pine	High Density Pol	e 47	Harvest	Clearcut	Planted Red Pine, Mixed Deciduous	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Presc Specs	ription 3:	final harv leave, no	est stan retentio	d by cutting all asper on due to small size o	and jack pine but f stand, steep slop	thin rim of es, and de	RP from below sire to expand a	by removing 1/3rd of th by removing 1/3rd of th	e volume, mark oak ma ງ	st trees to
<u>Other</u> Comn	_ nents:	remove e areas wit	every 3rd h really	l row where possible steep slopes which c	or remove 15' - lea an't be negotiated v	ive 30' up with equip	/down slopes wł ment	here it's not feasible to r	emove rows, leave out o	of the sale any
<u>Next</u> Steps	<u>:</u>	trench ar	nd plant	red pine except in are	eas heavy to aspen	regenera	tion AND areas	where red pine was thin	ned	
12	7107 Cut_	79012- _exp-1	1.8	4199 - Other Mixed Upland Deciduous	High Density Log	g 89	Harvest	Crown Thinning	Other Mixed Upland Deciduous	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Presc Specs	ription <u>s:</u>	cut all as over othe leave all	pen and er hardw conifers	thin rest of species to oods, no retention du for diversity	by marking to cut le e to small size of s	eaving 90 s stand and s	SF/Acre residua stand age, mana	ll BA of mostly oak, favo age to retain a mix of oa	r white oak over red oal k and other hardwoods	and red oak in the canopy,
<u>Other</u> Comn	nents:	opening i not feasil	up the ca ble	anopy enough to crea	ate some regenerat	tion holes	to encourage m	ore oak regeneration is	an option but is not nec	essary if it is
<u>Next</u> Steps	<u>:</u>									
18	71079	018-Cut	16.5	6117 - Lowland Deciduous, Mixed Coniferous	High Density Pole	e 44	Harvest	Clearcut	Aspen, Jack Pine	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Presc Specs	ription <u>s:</u>	final harv type, buff maximize	est to re fer pond sprouti	egenerate aspen, mai to the west,"L" type i ng and reduce the po	k well-crowned oal n the center, and w tential for rutting	k for wildlif vet meado	e mast, no reter w to the south, o	ntion due to health conc cut during the dormant s	erns and desire to expa season on dry or frozen	nd the aspen ground to
<u>Other</u> Comn	nents:	any comi stand	bination	of aspen/jack pine to	a fully stocked sta	nd is acce	ptable, plant/se	ed jack pine if aspen so	es not regenerate to a f	ully stocked
<u>Next</u> Steps	<u>:</u>	regenera	tion surv	/ey						
21	71079	021-Cut	9.6	4191 - Mixed Upland Deciduous with Conifer	High Density Log	g 91	Harvest	Crown Thinning	Mixed Upland Deciduous with Conifer	Cmpt. Review Proposal
Presc Specs	ription 3:	thin stand density, f retention	d by cutt avor wh due to a	ing all aspen and jac ite oak over red oak a age and configuration	k pine and marking and oak/pine over o of the stand	pine/hard	lwoods to cut, re woods, leave a l	esidual target BA is 90 - buffer if needed on the lo	120 SF/Acre depending owland to the east, no to	on starting minimal
<u>Other</u> Comn	nents:	signs in t regenera	respass tion is a	on the south side of n option but is not ne	the stand, opening cessary if it is not f	up the ca easible	nopy enough to	create some regenerati	on holes to encourage r	nore oak
<u>Next</u> Steps	<u>:</u>									
Ac	Total creage	Treatmen Proposed	t 1: 3	36.2						

S t	Data	Roscommon Mgt. Unit Data updated before 10:00 AM			- Treatmo a Limiti	ents Prescrib ng Factor	Compartment: 079 Year of Entry 2012		
a n d	Treatment Name	Acres	Stage1 CoverType	Size Density	Stand Age	Treatment Type	Treatment Method	Cover Type Objective	Approval Status
			#Error						
Preso Spec	<u>cription</u> s:								
Other Comr	<u>nent:</u>								
<u>Next</u> Steps	<u>S:</u>								
<u>Limiti</u> <u>Treat</u>	ng Factor and No ment Reason	<u>)</u>							
A	Total Treatmer creage Propose	nt d:	0						

**Out of YOE -- Treatments** Year of Entry: 2012 **Prescribed with No Limiting Factor** Data updated before 10:00 AM Treatment Treatment Treatment Cover Type Acres Stage1 Size Stand Approval CoverType Method Objective Status Name Density Age Туре

Prescription Specs:

<u>Other</u>

Comments:

<u>Next</u> Steps:

> Total Treatment Acreage Proposed:

0

S t	Roscommo	n Mgt. Unit		<b>5 – Foi</b> Data update	rested Sta	nds Compartment: 079 0:00 AM Year of Entry: 2012
a n d	Level 4 Cover Type	Size Density	Acres	Stand Age	BA Range	General Comments:
2	4199 - Other Mixed Upland Deciduous	High Density Log	3.0	Uneven Age	111-140	NHW stand with conifer and oak component, all aged with at least 3 age classed, hold 10 years and treat with oak stand to the east
3	6128 - Lowland Coniferous, Mixed Deciduous	High Density Pole	8.3	89		wet drainage area and transition zonewith conifers and hardwoods
4	6112 - Lowland Aspen	Low Density Sapling	18.9	14		hummocky ground with a high water table, wettest parts are heavy to tag alder
5	4199 - Other Mixed Upland Deciduous	High Density Log	38.3	91	51-80	2 aged going towards all-aged, u.s was cut in 1984, stand has NHW components including sugar maple and ironwood and consists of oak SL overtop RM/SM/BTA poles and saps, east and south parts are more A6/A3 with scattered oak SL and should probably be managed for aspen in 20-30 years, rest of stand should be held 10-20 years until bulk of u.s reaches merchantability and thenthin to start managing as all-aged oak/NHW stand
6	4130 - Aspen	High Density Sapling	53.5	14		Mostly upland but with pockets of wetter aspen or lowland brush type especially on the north edge along the lowland areas, upland and transition areas are BTA and lower/wetter areas are TA
8	4123 - Red Oak	Low Density Log	25.0	91		
9	42220 - Natural Jack Pine	High Density Pole	3.8	89		island of slightly higher ground surrounded by marsh/"L"
10	42120 - Planted Jack Pine	High Density Pole	8.3	47	51-80	stand is in a bowl created by barrow pit excavated by construction of US-127, stand was planted jack pine in center with 6-10 rows of red pine on the rim and upper slopes of the barrow pit after operations ceased, stand has scattered aspen clones and solitary aspens and scattered mixed oak, jack pine was growing well except for the last 12 -15 years when the canopy closed, RP growing well but jack pine starting to stagnate, thin to improve growth of jack pine for the last 10 years of its rotation OR take now and re-plant to RP, RP SI is 70+ (65 feet at 43 years)
12	4199 - Other Mixed Upland Deciduous	High Density Log	5.2	89	111-140	oak /maple stand with scattered BTA and WP, north portion is more oak/white pine with wetter terrain and will probably be white pine if left, some oak starting in the u.s. in the south portion
13	4123 - Red Oak	High Density Pole	7.7	89	141-170	red oak decent quality and just reaching log size, scattered areas heavy to aspen, aslos scattered WP on east side, some oak seedlings started
18	6117 - Lowland Deciduous, Mixed Coniferous	High Density Pole	16.5	44		aspen stand in old barrow pit, TA and JP are both declining with some hypox canker starting on TA, expand stand into adjacent JP stand where possible

S	Roscommon Mgt. Unit			<b>5 – For</b> Data undate	rested Sta	Ands Compartment: 079	Michigan 🛔
t a n d	Level 4 Cover Type	Size Density	Acres	Stand Age	BA Range	General Comments:	DNRE
19	6126 - Lowland Jack Pine	High Density Sapling	19.9	14		bog jack pine stand with lots of BB/LL ground cover but s higher ground within stand also, no FTP found for this although parts appear artif. regenerated (possibly see	spots of stand eded)
21	4191 - Mixed Upland Deciduous with Conifer	High Density Log	9.6	91	171-200	stand is composed of oak, aspen, and pine sawlogs wi saplings and poles and scattered areas heavy to WP sa RM saplings are almost pole size in spots, several area are heavy to WP poles, stand is long and narrow and around the south and east sides of the barrow pi	th RM plings, as also wraps t
22	4113 - R.Maple, Conifer	High Density Pole	9.9	44		stand is mostly RM poles with scattered oak & pine XL ready to treat yet	_, not
23	42220 - Natural Jack Pine	High Density Sapling	11.5	13		stand was cut with similiar stand to the north in Comp during winter 1996, tops were left for seed, appears to small inclusion of slightly older A3 on the NE side	t. 77 be a e
25	42220 - Natural Jack Pine	Medium Density Pole	3.4	89		island of slightly higher ground in middle of large wet	land
26	4130 - Aspen	Medium Density Pole	4.2	44		long skinny upland stand with lowland on both sides, sta a constructed causeway to truck fill from barrow pit to U during contruction of the highway, stand is mostly asper few pine poles and saps	nd was IS-127 1 with a
27	6127 - Lowland Pine	Medium Density Pole	15.8	57		JP/RP/Aspen island of slightly higher ground surround wetlands/US-127, lots of dead/dying JP and aspen, RP a doing well however, bulk of stand is lowland with poor pu for any management due to terrain and access, wettest have tag alder in the u.s. with some pine regneration sta more open spots and on the edges	ed by and RM otential spots arting in
32	4133 - Aspen, Mixed Pine	High Density Sapling	11.9	26		nice aspen stand which is growing well, must have ga access across private or stand was habitat cut	lined
36	6112 - Lowland Aspen	Medium Density Pole	13.7	Uneven Age		lowland stand with some upland, bulk of stand is humn ground with a high water table and has numerous wet ru pockets, lowland aspen converting to lowland maple, al with at least 3 age classes 1. mature/over-mature TA S black as poles in the wettest spots 2. 3-5" RM poles with ash poles in the weetere spots, and 3. seedling/saplain with black ash seedlings in spots	nocky ins and I-aged iL with n black ng RM
39	6112 - Lowland Aspen	High Density Log	24.3	89	81-110	parts of this stand are upland, other parts are low and we on north and west ends) and may not be operable, 2 age with matrue aspen SL over NHW poles and saps, asp declining and should be cut if access can be gained and is oeprable when dry or frozen	et (esp. ed stand en is I stand
41	6112 - Lowland Aspen	Medium Density Pole	2.7	44		small, very wet aspen stand, no spot for a landing, pos invasive species present (Vines)	ssibly
42	4119 - Mixed Northern Hardwoods	High Density Log	11.3	Uneven Age	81-110	NHW stand heavy to RM as RM saps are almost pole siz dropping out of stand, NHW species are low quali	ze, BTA ty

S t	Roscomm		<b>5 – F</b> e Data upda	orested Star ted before 10	nds Compartment: 079 0:00 AM Year of Entry: 2012	11/11	
a n d	Level 4 Cover Type	Size Density	Acres	Stand Age	BA Range	General Comments:	
45	4130 - Aspen	High Density Pole	19.2	89	111-140	A6 stand with good component of NHW species both in the canopy and the u.s., should convert to NHW species if left uncut, aspen doing OK and should hold 10 years with little volume loss due to site productivity	
46	4130 - Aspen	High Density Pole	8.7	41		nice A6 stand, should hold 10-20 years with little volume loss due to age and site productivity	-

Roscommon Mgt. Unit

# 6 – Nonforested Stands

Data updated before 10:00 AM

Compartment: 079 Year of Entry: 2012



Stand	Cover Type	Acres	Gen Cmts:
1	622 - Lowland Shrub	1.8	
7	310 - Herbaceous Openland	2.4	
11	330 - Low-Density Trees	4.1	
14	50 - Water	2.2	
15	310 - Herbaceous Openland	4.6	
16	122 - Road/Parking Lot	1.3	
17	6220 - Alder/willow	2.6	lowland shrub with scaatered TA & JP
20	6233 - Wet Meadow	1.6	
24	6225 - Bog	205.5	
28	6225 - Bog	3.1	
29	6224 - Treed Bog	139.0	
30	623 - Emergent Wetland	1.6	
31	623 - Emergent Wetland	311.3	Ho. Lk. Flats - South Unit
33	122 - Road/Parking Lot	3.5	
34	623 - Emergent Wetland	18.1	
35	50 - Water	13.3	
37	623 - Emergent Wetland	43.9	
38	622 - Lowland Shrub	3.8	

Roscommon Mgt. Unit

# 6 – Nonforested Stands

Data updated before 10:00 AM

Compartment: 079 Year of Entry: 2012



Stand	Cover Type	Acres	Gen Cmts:
40	623 - Emergent Wetland	2.7	
43	310 - Herbaceous Openland	2.9	
44	6229 - Mixed lowland shrub	2.4	stand was probably black ash last YOE but was called "L", now it truly is an "L" as most ash trees are dead or dying



### 7 – PROPOSED SPECIAL CONSERVATION AREA\* (SCA) DETAILS

\* This is a partial list of SCAs for this compartment. Not included are those areas identified under other Department initiatives (Natural Rivers, Deer Wintering Areas, etc.). Those will be identified in separate, future map and report products.

### Data updated before 10:00 AM

Stand	SCA Type	SCA Name	Acres	Comments

Compartment: 079 Year of Entry 2012



## **8 – DEDICATED CONSERVATION AREA DETAILS**

\* This is a list of Dedicated Biodiversity Areas for this compartment along with a 1/4 mile buffer surrounding the compartment. Refer to Dedicated Conservation Area Map for areas that the below listed Conservation Areas are located.

Conservation Area	Туре	Description	Data updated before 10:00 AM	ERA = Ecological Reference Area HCVA = High Conservation Value Area SCA = Special Conservation Area
SCA	Habitat Area	An area that provi and Waterfowl Pro openings and sava endangered or thr general in nature, covered by specie	de some specific need for the life cycle of oduction Areas, deer wintering complexes i annas. Habitat areas are distinct from critic eatened species (such as Kirtland's warble are not primarily associated with threatene is recovery plans that are developed in coc	wildlife species, including State Wildlife Areas in lowland conifer communities, grassland cal habitat designated for recovery of er or piping plover areas) in that they are more ed or endangered species, and are not operation with Federal agencies.