## Compartment Review Presentation

## Traverse City Forest Management Unit

Compartment 61037
Entry Year 2017
Acreage: 2,491
County Manistee
Management Area: Manistee Plains
Revision Date: 2014-12-22
Stand Examiner:

## Legal Description:

T24N, R13W, sections 19, 20, 29, 30, 31 -- T23N, R13W, sections 1, 12

## Identified Planning Goals:

This compartment is designated as Mixed Use in the Pere Marquette State Forest Resource Management Plan. This designation places equal importance on the production of wood fiber, wildlife habitat, recreation, and scenic and aesthetic values. Maintaining vegetative cover for Second and Third Creeks, which are the headwaters of Bear Creek, will be a priority.

## Soil and topography:

Major soil types for this Resource Management Unit are Roscommon-AuGres-Croswell - poorly drained sands; Montcalm-McBride-Kalkaska, Kalkaska-Rubicon - deep, droughty sands; Carlisle-Carbondale-Karston - mucks; Saugatuck somewhat poorly drained. Terrain is flat and level in the majority of the western block of this compartment and gently rolling in the eastern block of the compartment.

## Ownership Patterns, Development, and Land Use in and Around the Compartment:

This compartment consists of a west and east block. The west block is contiguous ownership surrounded by more state land to the west and private parcels to the east. Benzie Schools own 160 acres adjacent to state land in section 20.

The east block consists of two smaller blocks. The north is surrounded by private on three side with some adjoining state land on the east in Wexford County. There is an 800 MHz radio tower located in the southeast corner of this block that is under lease to Michigan State Police, Michigan Building Authority. The southern block of is private to the north and west, state land in Wexford County adjoins on the east, and Forest Service property adjoins to the south.

## Unique Natural Features:

First, Second and Third Creek flow through the compartment. The origin of a tributary to Third Creek is a spring in stand 63. Together these creeks form Bear Creek which is a designated trout stream.

## Archeological, Historical, and Cultural Features:

None known.

## Special Management Designations or Considerations:

No special designations however, maintaining vegetative cover for Second and Third Creeks is a priority.

## Watershed and Fisheries Considerations:

First, Second, and Third Creeks flow through Compartment 37. All three are Designated Trout Streams, with naturally reproducing populations of brown trout, brook trout, steelhead, coho salmon, and Chinook salmon. These creeks are all headwater streams of Bear Creek, a wild trout, salmon, and steelhead stream, and also a Blue-Ribbon Trout Stream. BMPs should be followed when planning timber harvest activities near these fragile trout streams.

## Wildlife Habitat Considerations:

Section 1, 12, and the SE portion of the compartment in section 29 consist of a broad moraine ridge (LTA 2211). Large, rolling hills and excessively drained soils typify this land type. Within this compartment, northern hardwoods, aspen, planted pine, and small openings are represented within this LTA. A flat lake plain with sandy loam soils (LTA 6111) rests on the far west portion of Compartment 37. Upland shrub openings, northern hardwoods, and planted pine are represented here. The remainder of the compartment consists of a flat, lake plain with poorly drained peat or muck (LTA 6149). Lowland forests dominate this LTA type within compartment 37.

Wildlife considerations for northern hardwood stands involve maintaining tree species diversity, the protection of den/nesting trees \& snags, coarse woody debris (cwd), and maintaining a scattering of mast-producing trees/shrubs. This will insure use by many species of wildlife. Examples of species found include downy woodpecker, porcupine, sharp-shinned hawk,
spotted salamander, wild turkey, and white-tailed deer. Ruffed grouse use different aged aspen throughout their life cycle. Younger stands provide cover while older stands provide catkins (food source) for the winter months. Within each compartment, clearcutting helps facilitate different sized aspen trees by mimicking the necessary disturbance vital for regeneration. The inclusion of CWD trees for drumming logs and maintaining berry-producing shrubs is also desirable. Woodcock prefer areas that are similar to grouse, but with a higher water table. The moist soils allow woodcock to forage. Openings are evaluated for wildlife value and may require hand-felling to maintain this habitat type. Many wildlife species rely on small forest openings. These openings provide grassy nest sites, plentiful seeds and insects, and cover. Examples of species include northern flicker, cottontail rabbit, and garter snake. Upland shrub openings are important to wildlife because they contain many berry-producing trees/shrubs. Lowland forests are important deer wintering grounds. Other wildlife species found here include snowshoe hare, black bear, barred owl, and woodland jumping mouse. Wildlife considerations include mimicking natural disturbances. Small habitat cuts imitate windthrows that occurred historically. These cuts allow sunlight to reach the ground, which increases the growth of sapling trees. These cuts not only rejuvenate the forest, but also provide a food source for wintering white-tailed deer.

## Mineral Resource and Development Concerns and/or Restrictions

Surface sediments consist of lacustrine sand and gravel and minor end moraine of coarse-textured till. The glacial drift thickness varies between 400 and 800 feet. Beneath the glacial drift is the Devonian Ellsworth Shale. The Ellsworth is used for cement products. The nearest gravel pit is located to the north and potential should be good on the uplands. This area is located within the Niagaran reef trend and also has Antrim Shale gas production. Most of the State land is currently leased for oil and gas development.

## Vehicle Access:

There is no access to the block of state land in T23N, R13W, section 12. This is a 320 acre block that was previously accessed by crossing private property. Opportunities exist to develop a road into this section from the adjoining state land in Wexford County. This option should be further explored in cooperation with the Cadillac FMU field staff.

## Survey Needs:

Corners in T23N, R13 W, section 1 need to be established along the private boundaries to the north.

## Recreational Facilities and Opportunities:

The Betsie River Snowmobile Trail (Trail \#3) crosses the northwest corner of section 19. The North Country Trail runs through section 12 (NEED TO VERIFY NCT-TN). If the snowmobile trail will be impacted by timber treatments, then appropriate measures identified in DNR Policy \& Procedures "32.22XX Best Management Practices for Forest and Wildlife Management Adjacent to Recreation Trails" should be taken into consideration. The compartment also supports dispersed recreation, fishing along First, Second, and Third Creeks as well as hunting opportunities throughout the compartment. (T.M.N. 12/9/14).

## Fire Protection:

Local protection provided by Copemish-Cleon VFD for the west block of the compartment in Cleon Twp. and by Maple Grove VFD for the east block of the compartment located in Marilla Twp. Department of Natural Resources coverage provided from the Platte River Field Office and the Traverse City Forest Management Unit. There has not been a high occurrence of fire in this area, and urban interface is not too much of a concern. Access is acceptable in most of this compartment.

## Additional Compartment Information:

Recommended Land Acquisitions: none.
Recommended Land Disposals: none.
The following reports from the Inventory are attached:
Total Acres by Cover Type and Age Class
Cover Type by Harvest Method
Proposed Treatments - No Limiting Factors
Proposed Treatments - With Limiting Factors
Stand Details (Forested and Nonforested)
Dedicated and Proposed Special Conservation Areas
Site Condition Details
The following information is displayed, where pertinent, on the attached compartment maps:
Base feature information, stand boundaries, cover types, and numbers
Proposed treatments
Site condition boundaries
Details on the road access system
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| (4) Snowmobile Trails |  |
| Rivers |  |
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| Forest Covertype |  |
| - 411 - Northern Hardwood |  |
| 413 - Aspen |  |
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| 421 - Planted Pines |  |
| 422 - Natural Pines |  |
| 430 - Upland Mixed Fores |  |
| 611 - Lowland Deciduous Forest 612 - Lowland Coniferous Forest |  |
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Age Class


Traverse City Mgt. Unit
Acres of Harvest
Commercial Harvest - 256
Harvests with Site Condition - 0
Next Step Harvest - 0
Habitat Cut-1

## Cover Type by Harvest Method



Proposed and Next Step Treatments by Harvest Method



Prescription Conduct heavy thinning on these two separate portions of stand 4. Reduce BA to $50-80 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ac}$. This will account for retention on stand 4 while Specs: also addressing aesthetic concerns along Thompsonville rd and nearby snowmobile trail.

Next Step
Treatments:
Acceptable
Regen:
Other Old next step comments:
Comment:
Proposed Start Date: $\quad 10 / 1 / 2016$


## Habitat Cut: <br> <br> Site Condition: None

 <br> <br> Site Condition: None}Prescription Monitor habitat cut for success of regen.
Specs:
Next Step
Treatments:
Acceptable Aspen, balsam fir, spruce species, wp and red maple.
Regen:
Other Percent to Treat $=100 \%$ Old next step comments:
Comment:
Proposed Start Date: $\quad$ 10/1/2014

| 6 | 61037006-Cut | 100.0 | $42110-$ Planted <br> Red Pine | Sawtimber <br> Well | 62 | $81-110$ | Harvest | Clearcut with <br> Retention | 4211 - Planted <br> Red Pine | Even-Aged Draft Proposal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Habitat Cut: Site Condition: None

Prescription Final harvest red pine, protect existing red pine regen pockets when possible. Mark to leave some red pine along snowmobile trail and along NE Specs: edge of stand for retention and aesthetic purposes. Replant stand to red pine - see next step treatment.

Next Step 1-SitePrep, Trenching; 2-Monitoring, Artificial Regen(1yr); 3-Monitoring, Artificial Regen(3yr); 4-Planting, Initial Plant Treatments:

Acceptable red pine-plantation spacing.
Regen:
Other Old next step comments:
Comment:
Proposed Start Date: $\quad$ 10/1/2016

| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{n} \\ & \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ | Treatment Name | Acres | Stand CoverType | Size Density | Stand Age | BA Range | Treatment Type | Treatment Method | Cover Type Objective | Age Structure | Approval Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 61037006- } \\ & \text { Cutx } \end{aligned}$ | 4.7 | 42110 - Planted Red Pine | Sawtimber Well | 62 | 81-110 | Harvest | Crown Thinning | 4211 - Planted Red Pine | Even-Aged | Draft Proposal |

## Habitat Cut:

## Site Condition: None

Prescription Conduct heavy thinning on stand, reducing BA to $40-70 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ac}$. This treatment is aimed at steering stand towards a more natural looking, large Specs: tree management area. Remainder of stand south of creek is to be final harvested and replanted to red pine so this treatment will provide size class diversity in red pine, account for aesthetics along Read/Thompsonville roads and also provide within stand retention for stand 6.
Next Step
Treatments:

## Acceptable

Regen:
Other Old next step comments:
Comment:
Proposed Start Date: $\quad$ 10/1/2016

| 25 | 61037025-Cut | 11.9 | $4139-$ Aspen, <br> Mixed Deciduous <br> Sabitat Cut: | Poletimber <br> Well | 49 | Unspec <br> ified | Harvest | Clearcut with <br> Retention | 413 - Aspen |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Prescription Final harvest all aspen and red maple within treatment area. Leave all conifers - balsam fir, white pine, cedar, etc to provide for retention while Specs: also maintaining pockets of thermal cover and a degree of size diversity. Avoid wet areas within stand. Treatment area may change when timber sale preparation takes place depending on lowland pockets found during sale set up - expand harvest area wherever possible.
Next Step
Treatments:
Acceptable Aspen, red maple \& balsam fir.
Regen:
Other Old next step comments:
Comment:
Proposed Start Date: $\quad 10 / 1 / 2016$

| 79 61037079-Cut | 48.24110 - Sugar Maple Association | Sawtimber Well | 95 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 111- \\ & 140 \end{aligned}$ | Harvest | Single Tree Selection | 4112 - Maple, Beech, Cherry Association | Even-Aged Draft Proposal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Habitat Cut: Site Condition: None

Prescription Conduct selection harvest to remove remaining defect along with all beech and ash aside from larger beech that are deemed valuable from a
Specs: wildlife standpoint as cavity trees, standing snags and CWD. In addition, higher quality individual trees will need to be removed to attain desired stocking levels. Stocking is slightly variable but overall shoot for a target residual BA of $80 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ac}$. Place a few canopy gaps throughout stand where advanced/desirable regen is present, expect residual BA to obviously be lower in these locations. Quality is relatively consistent throughout aside from NE finger and the small lobe that extends and connects to stand 87 - In these areas beech and IW regen is more concentrated and quality of overstory is slightly less - may want to consider excluding the latter lobe from sale area in order to protect existing regen. Do not cut any conifers - white pine/hemlock.

Next Step
Treatments:
Acceptable Cherry, beech, ironwood, sugar maple, white pine, hemlock and white ash are to be expected and should be considered acceptable.
Regen:
Other Survey will be needed for this treatment, survey request has been submitted and added to the priority list as of March, 2015. Old next step Comment: comments:

Proposed Start Date: $\quad$ 10/1/2016


Report 5 - Site Conditions
Traverse City Mgt. Unit
Patrick Cotant : Examiner

## Availability for Management

| Total | Acres | Acres |  | Dominant Site Conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acres | Available | Not Available |  | 2 A | 2 F | 2G | 2 H | 3A | 3D | 5C | 5E | 5T |
|  | 0 | 0 | Red Pine |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | Aspen |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Red Pine |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 100\% | Red Pine |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Red Pine |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Northern Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Northern Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | White Pine |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Northern Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Red Pine |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Red Pine |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Red Pine |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Red Pine |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Aspen |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Northern Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Northern Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Cedar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Red Pine |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Red Pine |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Red Pine |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Red Pine |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total Forested Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Relative Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Site <br> No. Cond Availability | Dominant Site Condition | Acres | Other Site Condition | Other Site Condition | Other Site Condition | Other Site Condition |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Unavailable | 5E: Long-Term Retention | 7 | 3J: Water quality / <br> BMPs (stream, river, or <br> lake) | Unspecified | Unspecified | Unspecified |

## Comments:

Report 5 - Site Conditions
Traverse City Mgt. Unit
Patrick Cotant : Examiner

| 2 | Available | 5C: Delay treatment for age/size class diversity or exceptional site quality | $8$ | 2B: Unknown if access through adjacent landowner(s) is possible | Unspecified | Unspecified | Unspecified |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comments: <br> Small acreage, hold until next YOE and attempt to find alternative access fro this stand as well as stand 100. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Available | 5T: Contigency Treatment for Forest Health Concerns | 42 | Unspecified | Unspecified | Unspecified | Unspecified |
| Comments: <br> BBD disease research plot within stand - follow up with Deb McCullough next YOE and consider treatment at that time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Available | 5C: Delay treatment for age/size class diversity or exceptional site quality | 151 | 2B: Unknown if access through adjacent landowner(s) is possible | Unspecified | Unspecified | Unspecified |

## Comments:

Large acreage, variable stocking/quality throughout. Wait until next YOE to conduct harvest on portion of this stand. Spoke with landowner to the north who has a trail leading to this area and he was somewhat reluctant to discuss the possibility of access through his property. Walked the trail that would be used and I'm not sure it would be a viable option for this amount of volume. Possibility of going to the east through Wexford County - would require road building.

| 5 | Unavailable | 2F: Too steep | 6 | Unspecified | Unspecified | Unspecified | Unspecified |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comments: <br> exotic species removal (scotch pine) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Unavailable | 2F: Too steep | 10 | Unspecified | Unspecified | Unspecified | Unspecified |
| Comments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Available | 5T: Contigency Treatment for Forest Health Concerns | 42 | 5C: Delay treatment for age/size class diversity or exceptional site quality | Unspecified | Unspecified | Unspecified |

## Comments:

Portion of beech bark disease research plot that lies within stand 81 carries over into very western edge of this stand. Variable quality/density - consider treating next YOE after BBD research concludes with a seed tree type harvest - breaking up block of hardwood in this area of state land.

Report 5 - Site Conditions
Traverse City Mgt. Unit
Patrick Cotant : Examiner

| 8 | Unavailable | 3D: Recreational / Scenic values | 2 | Unspecified | Unspecified | Unspecified | Unspecified |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Unavailable | 2H: Blocked by physical obstacle (e.g. upland stand in a lowland area) | 23 | 2F: Too steep | 3A: Potential old growth / biodiversity | Unspecified | Unspecified |
| Comments: <br> Despite the walk-in access that was gained by the state, this area continues to be inaccessible for commercial purposes. In addition this particular stand is quite steep in places and does provide a nice pocket of biodiversity and potential old growth conditions. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | Unavailable | 3A: Potential old growth / biodiversity | 7 | Unspecified | Unspecified | Unspecified | Unspecified |
| Comments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Unavailable | 2H: Blocked by physical obstacle (e.g. upland stand in a lowland area) | 10 | Unspecified | Unspecified | Unspecified | Unspecified |

## Comments:

Despite walk-in access that was gained by the state, this stand is not operable on a commercial basis. It was set up as a timber sale last YOE and was not sold due to access issues.

| 12 | Unavailable | 2A: Adjacent landowner denied access | 8 | 2F: Too steep | Unspecified | Unspecified | Unspecified |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Access not granted last YOE, have not been able to contact landowner this inventory cycle. Aside from access issues, there are some BMP issues along the western edge along with areas of stand that are too steep. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | Unavailable | 2G: Too wet (sensitive soils, does not include access issues) | 77 | 3J: Water quality / BMPs (stream, river, or lake) | Unspecified | Unspecified | Unspecified |

## Comments:

Stand is wet throughout with second creek flowing through it along with many feeder creeks and seeps.

Report 5 - Site Conditions
Traverse City Mgt. Unit
Patrick Cotant : Examiner

Compartment: 37
Year of Entry: 2017

| 14 | Unavailable | 1C: Other dept or div proc/practices | 45 | Unspecified | Unspecified | Unspecified | Unspecified |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | Unavailable | 1C: Other dept or div proc/practices | 44 | Unspecified | Unspecified | Unspecified | Unspecified |
| Comments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | Unavailable | 1C: Other dept or div proc/practices | 34 | Unspecified | Unspecified | Unspecified | Unspecified |
| Comments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | Unavailable | 2G: Too wet (sensitive soils, does not include access issues) | 20 | Unspecified | Unspecified | Unspecified | Unspecified |
| Comments: wet stand, seeps present along with significant sheet flow, standing water and lowland soils/muck. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Unavailable | 1C: Other dept or div proc/practices | 30 | Unspecified | Unspecified | Unspecified | Unspecified |
| Comments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | Unavailable | 2G: Too wet (sensitive soils, does not include access issues) | 53 | 3J: Water quality / BMPs (stream, river, or lake) | Unspecified | Unspecified | Unspecified |

## Comments:

Lowland, very wet stand for the most part. Also has second creek flowing through majority of southern half of stand and contains some feeder creeks and seeps throughout.

|  | Traver Patrick | City Mgt. Unit <br> otant : Examiner |  | ort 5 - Site | ns | Compartm Year of En |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | Unavailable | 2G: Too wet (sensitive soils, does not include access issues) | 106 | Unspecified | Unspecified | Unspecified | Unspecified |
| Comments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | Available | 5C: Delay treatment for age/size class diversity or exceptional site quality | 38 | Unspecified | Unspecified | Unspecified | Unspecified |
| Did not want to assign a 'hard' site condition to the remainder of this stand that does not have a treatment applied as these treatment island will be expanded where possible - however much of this area is wet and may be inoperable regardless of the time of year. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Report 6 - PROPOSED SPECIAL CONSERVATION AREA* (SCA) DETAILS

* This is a partial list of SCAs for this compartment. Not included are those areas identified under other Department initiatives (Natural Rivers, Deer Wintering Areas, etc.). Those will be identified in separate, future map and report products.
SCA Name


## Comments

## Report 7 - EXISTING SPECIAL CONSERVATION AREA DETAILS

* This is a list of SCA's for this compartment along with a $1 / 4$ mile buffer surrounding the compartment. Refer to the Special Conservation Area Map for locations of the below listed Conservation Areas.

| Conservation Area | Type | Description | ERA = Ecological Reference Area <br> HCVA = High Conservation Value Area <br> SCA = Special Conservation Area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCA | Cold Water Stream | A coldwater stream has temperature and dissolved oxygen conditions that allow naturally-reproduced or stocked trout populations and those of other coldwater fish species (e.g., slimy sculpin) to persist from year to year. Coldwater streams in Michigan typically provide these conditions due to substantial contributions of groundwater to their stream flows. Such streams are established by Director's action and designated as trout resources by Fisheries Order 210. |  |
| SCA | Riparian Area | A transitional influences the streams and o communities a as aesthetics, | which the terrestrial ecosystem unique conditions adjacent to lakes, diversity of plants and wildlife. Riparian cts on water quality and quantity, as well contribution to overall biodiversity. |



| S | Traverse City Mgt. Unit | Report 8 - Forested Stands |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

13 \begin{tabular}{ccccc}
$4116-$ Mixed N. <br>
Hardwood - Aspen

$\quad$ Sapling Well $20.3 \quad 7 \quad$ Immature 

Stand regenerating fairly well, some open spots most notably in <br>
the central portions of the stand. Scattered overstory hdwd <br>
trees left as retention from previous harvest. Some wet pockets <br>
with hummocky ground along southern edge of stand. Trace <br>
amounts of conifers present within stand as well.
\end{tabular}

$14 \quad 4130$ - Aspen Sapling Well 65.4

A3 stand treated last YOE - not all of stand that was prescribed was treated due to wet conditions in what has now been merged into stand 21. Aspen growing well, some browse activity. Red maple also a significant component throughout stand. (old comment) stand marginally upland, hummocky with small pockets of standing water, only traces of lowland indicators (tag alder), stand has some scattered inclusions of red maple visible on imagery

15 \begin{tabular}{ccccc}
$42110-$ Planted Red <br>
Pine

 

Poletimber <br>
Well
\end{tabular}$\quad 18.4 \quad 54 \quad 111-140$

Stand treated in 2012, BA ranges from 120-150 at this time with an average of $140 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft/ac}$. Descent quality red pine, still some defect present but not much. (old comment) stand has been third row thinned, prescribe for "out of YOE" thinning to be done with compartment 38

$16 \quad$| 42120 - Planted Jack |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pine | | Poletimber |
| :---: |
| Well |$\quad 4.3 \quad 49 \quad$ Unspecifie

Small DBH jack pine stand, some mortality throughout - not sure why this stand was not harvested in 1012 when adjacent red pine stand was thinned out of YOE. Recommend treating this stand next YOE with adjacent red pine stand via final harvest of all jack pine, replant to red pine. (old comment) small diameter, but off-site and mortality beginning to show, may want to harvest with adjacent compartment and stand, possibly prescribe for "out of YOE" treatment

| 19 | 4130 - Aspen | Poletimber <br> Well | 14.4 | 31 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| S | Traverse City | Mgt. Unit | Report 8 - Forested Stands |  |  |  | Compartment: 37 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{a} \\ & \mathbf{n} \\ & \mathbf{d} \end{aligned}$ | Level 4 Cover Type | Size Density | Acres | Stand Age | BA <br> Range |  | General Comments: |  |
| 21 | 6113 - Lowland Maple | Poletimber Well | 51.6 | 45 | 51-80 | Lowland sheet flow most Cedar Ash is com | table, some seeps and y year round. Red map en clones present throu out with scattered bals some live pockets rem ple stem red maple, sta | as where ems to be ut stand. as well. ing. (old g water |
| 22 | 4319 - Mixed Upland Forest | Poletimber Poor | 17.3 | 37 | 1-50 | Black areas both bla each exist in along w | with red pine most comm ably along northern edg pine have 2 age classe but some larger poles a ecies. trace aspen along pocket draining in a sou <br> d. Clumps of JP/WP | in certain ooks like e lower of mall logs uth edge, y direction nt. |
| 23 | 4112 - Maple, Beech, Cherry Association | Poletimber Poor | 18.3 | 56 | 1-50 | Black <br> class <br> multip <br> range. <br> old two- <br> of stand <br> stuff <br> pres | mon overstory species, er log diameter individu nd stocking in lower end ore concentrated in SE es present. Well site lo Black cherry of variou hite pine. Well head, p own in a small portion | /sapling resent -25-50\% and along d in center <br> Larger lagging s stand. |

24 \begin{tabular}{llll}

\hline $4130-$ Aspen \& | Sapling |
| :--- |
| Medium | \& 11.2 \& 17


$\quad$

Unspecified

 

Young aspen stand, growing fairly well. Conifer component of bf <br>
throughout, most notably adjacent to lowland stand. (old <br>
comment) low shrub ground cover is raspberry
\end{tabular}

| 25 | 4139 - Aspen, Mixed Deciduous | Poletimber Well | 49.4 | 49 | Unspecified | Mixed stand of red maple and aspen species, white pine scattered throughout with cedar present as well. Trace hemlock along edges, more in the transition areas to adjacent stands. <br> Stand varies from up to slightly lowland/areas of high water table. (old comment) red-shouldered hawk(s) flying and calling in area, possible nest nearby |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | 6113 - Lowland Maple | Poletimber Well | 34.9 | 45 | 51-80 | Switched RM and black ash \%'s due to black ash mortality overall. Some viable pockets but lots of standing black ash snags. Variability in terms of size and stocking throughout with pockets of ash mortality being occupied with more balsam fir saps. Sand is very wet in places, most notably in southern portion of stand. (old comment) saps are predominant in canopy, no evidence of cutting seen in stand, no multiple stem red maple, <br> traces of paper and yellow birch, and elm |


| 28 | 4110 - Sugar Maple Association | Poletimber Well | 10.0 | 89 | 111-140 | Hardwood stand on NE facing slope - stand terminates at bottom of ridge where second creek is located. Descent quality, slope and BMP's preclude management, as does lack of sufficient access. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | 6120 - Lowland Cedar | Poletimber Well | 18.3 | 70 | 81-110 | Dense cedar in northern portion of stand, more deciduous in southern portion as you move closer to creek. |
| 32 | 6113 - Lowland Maple | Poletimber Well | 3.4 | 41 | Unspecified | viewed stand from edge, small stand, possibly resulting from some blowdown in the past. Red maple poles along with aspen poles are present in places, most notably near stand edges where it fazes into adjacent pole sized stands. Cedar and fir present - placed fir in understory solely based on diameter and slightly lesser height than overstory. |


4139 - Aspen, Mixed

Deciduous $\quad$| Sapling Well |
| :---: |

| 39 | 42100 - Planted White Pine | Sawtimber Poor | 23.6 | 63 | 51-80 | 10-40 BA of overstory WP, resulting from seed tree treatment last YOE. Second age/intermediate overstory/regen from harvest is dense and consists of red maple along with lesser amounts of black cherry and aspen species. Trace amounts of ironwood and sugar maple are present in pockets, mainly in interior of stand where denser shade is present. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 41 | 4191 - Mixed Upland Deciduous with Conifer | Sapling Well | 14.4 | 6 | Immature | Stand was final harvested in 2008-2009. Regenerating well, some higher concentrations of aspen and jack pine in places, red maple is relatively uniform throughout stand. Scattered white pine saplings along perimeter of stand. SE edge of stand slopes toward lowland stand and is hummocky, possibly seasonally wet. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42 | 42210 - Natural Red Pine | Sawtimber Well | 6.4 | 59 | 81-110 | Red pine stand made up of strip plantations, majority of which continues west into compartment 38. Overall descent quality, red maple regen somewhat dense in places along with some black cherry along edges. Treat when stands in adjacent comp 38 are treated. manage with adjacent stand, observed two Blanding's turtles sunning in vernal pool along Thompsonville Road, trace of paper birch in canopy |



| S | Traverse City Mgt. Unit |  |  | Report 8 - Forested Stands |  |  | Compartment: 37 <br> Year of Entry: 2017 <br> General Comments: | $2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{n} \\ & \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ | Level 4 Cover Type | Size Density | Acres | Stand Age | BA <br> Range |  |  |  |
| 49 | 6113 - Lowland Maple | Poletimber Well | 44.7 | 86 | 81-110 | Lowland ground th of invento cattails form is black as stand. of water until den available, | significant standing wat walking pipeline through gh ice into water 8-12" d d along Thompsonville rd. e descent quality stems Some hemlock scattered od quality lowland maple sibly operable during dry or high flotation technolo ve stick nest in the north entory basal area was 130 | ummocky nd at time Areas of ed maple rtality in d, spots iods, hold ecomes of stand, |
| 51 | 6113 - Lowland Maple | Sawtimber Well | 15.5 | 91 | 81-110 | Lowla <br> Scattered balsam fir most no eastern flowing in | ger red maple and aspe birch throughout as well. with some cedar and he rn edge of stand. WP y adjacent to stand 56. on. (old comment) trace sam fir in canopy | sent. <br> me large <br> k as well, <br> nt along <br> ll creek <br> cedar and |
| 52 | 6132 - Mixed Lowland Forest with Cedar | Poletimber Well | 62.0 | 95 | 51-80 | Similar to <br> creek. pres occasi maple is dominan Black maple creeks ru to Pr | larger White pine and a ole sized with occasional ing sized areas as well a die off in the cedar comp s of size throughout with saplings and log sized tr creating some open area s are taking over. small d. (old comment) stand d \#43, but without the log component | closer to er trees with <br> t. Red es being present. ere red to third ry similar tree |


| 53 | 4130 - Aspen | Sapling Well | 1.8 | $1-50$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | small pocket of regen resulting from old well site that is |
| :---: |
| regenerating and is now in the $75-100 \%$ canopy closure range - |
| overall approximately $75-80 \%$ closure. Paper birch is clumpy in |
| places, WP understory is present and 2 ages of white pine were |
| observed. (old comment) stand changed from non-forested to |
| forested, old well site that has naturally reforested |

$\left.\begin{array}{lcccc}\hline 55 \begin{array}{c}\text { 6118-Lowland } \\ \text { Deciduous with Cedar }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Poletimber } \\ \text { Well }\end{array} & 90.1 & 91 & 81-110\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Mixed lowland stand, ash declining rapidly. Reduced \% quite a } \\ \text { bit from last YOE, expect ash to be gone by next YOE } \\ \text { unfortunately. Cedar is more dominant in places, scattered } \\ \text { Tam and WP along with some small clones of aspen }\end{array}\right\}$

Lots of variability in size throughout stand - resulting in age of 46 and a sapling size class. Significant mortality in ash as well some not as significant as some other stands in this swamp complex. (old comment) appears to be uneven aged, diameter ranges from 1 to 6 inches, age recorded is from larger diameter
( 5 inch trees), traces of yellow birch and cedar in canopy
$\left.\begin{array}{lccccc}\hline 60 & \begin{array}{c}\text { 4319-Mixed Upland } \\ \text { Forest }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Poletimber } \\ \text { Well }\end{array} & 9.5 & 71 & 81-110\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Aspen more prevalent adjacent to PVT - WP dominant } \\ \text { throughout rest of stand with aspen/rm present as well. Some } \\ \text { cedar/bf along southern stand edge where stand fazes into } \\ \text { adjacent lowland type. (old comment) rma appears to be } \\ \text { multiple aged, several aspen clones in northwest part of stand, } \\ \text { stand is mixed, but white pine dominates south east two thirds } \\ \text { of stand }\end{array}\right]$

63 \begin{tabular}{c}
$4110-$ Sugar Maple <br>
Association

$\quad$

Sawtimber <br>
Well
\end{tabular}$\quad 23.2$

Very high quality stand, sugar maple dominant with ash, beech, basswood and cherry represented in good numbers. Trace yellow birch throughout, red maple more common along west edge. Hemlock in understory most notably in northern portion of stand - small spring forming tributary to 3rd creek also contains significant hemlock, trace in overstory in this specific location.
Topo is significant in parts, slopes to the west where stand fazes into adjacent lowland. Fair amount of browse on hdwd regen that is present. BBD and EAB are present and quite advanced on most individuals. BA range 140-200 based on measurements, averages approximately 170-180. (old comment) stuck increment borer in previous stand, age estimated based on no evidence of treatment, and ages of surrounding lowland hardwood types, possible candidate for old growth/bio-diversity in combination with other lowland types
East of Yates Road could create a nice "block" of og/bd, very nice hardwood stand with extremely difficult access

| 64 | 6113 - Lowland Maple | Sapling <br> Medium | 16.1 | 31 | Immature | Lots of ash mortality seen from location where stand was viewed - was not able to traverse entire stand. Due to ash mortality, canopy closure is actually on the lower end of the identified range. Very wet stand with year-round drainage running in a NEerly direction eventually forming a trib to creek to the NE of stand. (old comment) similar to stand 47-sap, but lower percent canopy closure, no pole size trees in this stand, heavy lowland shrub component in sub-canopy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65 | 6112 - Lowland Aspen | Poletimber Well | 34.0 | 46 |  | Mixed stand of aspen and red maple in the 4-6" range with some variability throughout. Larger diameter WP scattered throughout stand, most notably in north/eastern portions of stand. Stand is quite wet with some higher ground pockets along Thompsonville Rd. Occasional dense pockets of bf, trace pb as well. |



| S Traverse City Mgt. Unit |  | Report 8 - Forested Stands |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 80 | 42101 - Planted White <br> Pine, Mixed Deciduous | Poletimber <br> Well | 2.1 | 53 | $141-170$ | OK quality white pine stand. Small in size, manage with <br> adjacent hardwood stand. sugar maple and black cherry are <br> present throughout, fair quality. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 81 | 4112 - Maple, Beech, Cherry Association | Sawtimber Well | 42.4 | 88 | 111-140 | Low quality hardwood stand, beech and red maple most common with sugar maple throughout as well. White pine patches that look like they may be extensions of the small WP plantation to the north are present within this stand, most notably in the northern portions. (old comment) log/stage 2 reason $=$ treatment decision? stand was under planted with white pine, good quality in the few areas where it was not supressed, lots of lower quality red maple, possible selection to encourage sugar maple and black cherry, excellent black cherry regeneration is occuring in the stand to the north as a result of selection cut 6-8 years ago, full stage 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 82 | $\begin{aligned} & 42110 \text { - Planted Red } \\ & \text { Pine } \end{aligned}$ | Sawtimber Medium | 27.2 | 55 | 51-80 | Stand treated last YOE via shelterwood. BA in lower end of 5080 range. Significant beech/IW regen throughout stand with some $B C$ regen in places. (old comment) heavy ironwood regeneration in north end of stand, hardwood site, slash in spots, heavy near old landing area between the two polygons, stand is in a valley, little risk of windthrow, possible heavy thin or shelterwood to promote hardwood regeneration (this was done in 2007). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 83 | 4110 - Sugar Maple Association | Sawtimber Well | 17.4 | 85 | 81-110 | Stand treated last YOE, descent quality, dominated mostly by sugar maple. Some mortality along eastern edge of stand, not nearly as significant as in stand 103. good site, good quality sugar maple, |



| 91 | 4112 - Maple, Beech, Cherry Association | Poletimber Well | 72.6 | 65 | 141-170 | Variable quality hardwood stand, red maple dominant in places, clumpy form in most individuals. Some quality stems. Sugar maple is present throughout with some areas containing greater concentrations, poles are descent quality, some fair quality log sized trees. While not consistent, black cherry and beech are represented well throughout stand with some very nice quality individuals present, most notably black cherry. Scattered pockets of sm and bc regen, overall denser beech regn and lower overall quality in southern peninsula of stand - more beech present, very clumpy/multi stemmed form. (old comment) east part of stand has a lot of black cherry with some good quality/potential, some cherry regeneration present, could probably regenrate cherry on this site |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

92 \begin{tabular}{ccccc}
$4112-$ Maple, Beech, <br>
Cherry Association

 

Poletimber <br>
Well

$\quad$

13.9

$\quad 87$

$81-110$
\end{tabular}

Small stand, slightly higher quality than hardwood stands to the east. Difficult access, possibly served as a sort of retention when adjacent aspen stands were final harvested, similar to stand 96 to the south. (old comment) very similar to stand 92, because of access issues treat at same time as $92 . .$. so if 92 advances as an AOI this should also

| 94- Planted Red | Poletimber |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pine | Well | 4.1 | 52 | | 111-140 |
| :---: | | Treated last YOE, small stand, provides small area of diversity |
| :---: |
| in larger area of hdwd. Some turkey activity w/in stand at time |
| of inventory. Beech regen popping up after most recent |
| treatment. (old comment) treat with stand 75 |



|  | Traverse City | Mgt. Unit | Report 8 - Forested Stands |  |  |  | Compartment: 37 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{a} \\ & \mathbf{n} \\ & \mathbf{d} \end{aligned}$ | Level 4 Cover Type | Size Density | Acres | Stand Age | BA Range |  | General Comments: |  |
| 102 | 4139 - Aspen, Mixed Deciduous | Poletimber Well | 7.5 | 62 | 51-80 | Majo places. with r clumpy comme of older top of maple | minated by BTA which is up remainder of speci most common overal present along with sug nd is aspen that has grow couple of 22 " bigtooth as to be multiple aged, lo n (estimate their age to | ning in mposition kets of le. (old ong edge stand at pole size ound 55) |
| 103 | 4112 - Maple, Beech, Cherry Association | Poletimber Medium | 101.3 | 65 | 51-80 | Relativ typica Sig Docum with dro storm stand has some | hdwd stand - BA ranges e of this range with some throughout, most notably ac, Lint, MDNR) ETC de of harvest may have be ough to cause mortality. herry with some good qualy on present, could probab herry on this site | 50-90, eption. SM. ion along e perfect part of potential, genrate |
| 104 | 42111 - Planted Red Pine, Mixed Deciduous | Sapling Medium | 9.4 | 2 | Immature | Fair establis most cherry | ne seedlings, some mort mpetition with thick grass/ Thompsonville rd. Red ke up remainder of stock onal WP volunteers. | ack of ge layer, , black long with |
| 111 | 42110 - Planted Red Pine | Sapling Well | 5.4 | 6 | Immature | Stand is mortality | , growing well. Some sm ut overall good plantatio stocking. | ockets of acing and |


| Stand | Cover Type | Acres | Managed Site | General Comments: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 6220-Alder/willow | 7.4 | No | Hatches (Second) Creek. Looks like mostly tag alder from edge, with some willow. An occassional maple, a trace of cedar, an occassional fruiting shrub. A little bit of joe pye weed. |
| 8 | 122 - Road/Parking Lot | 3.0 | No |  |
| 11 | 6220 - Alder/willow | 35.7 | No | From edge, appears to be all tag alder with some maples mixed in. From imagery, there appears to be small areas of grasses/sedges or maybe cattails along the river. |
| 12 | 122 - Road/Parking Lot | 3.8 | No |  |
| 17 | 3101 - Poverty Grass, Cladonia | 2.9 | No | Really dry site. Single clump of low shrub, possibly sand cherry. Poverty grass/cladonia with some spotted knapweed. Sapling/pole black cherry. Part of a bigger opening that is private. |
| 18 | 3202 - Autumn Olive/Honeysuckle | 1.4 | No | Two track leading to this stand is grown in with autumn olive and raspberry. An old well pad that was planted to autumn olive. |
| 26 | 3105 - Mixed Upland Herbaceous | 1.2 | No | Old well pad. Growing in with white pine and balsam popular. Center has poverty grass, spotted knapweed, and rubus sp. Perimeter is bracken fern. Let succeed. |
| 29 | 6220 - Alder/willow | 10.1 | No | Stand is a riparian area along Bear Creek with minimal trees throughout, mainly alder with scattered hummocks of slightly higher ground where a mixture of white pine, occasional red maple and trace cedar and balsam fir have become established. (old comment) From road, it appears to be all tag alder. A few pine mixed in. Various fruiting shrubs and mixed grasses. |
| 30 | 3105 - Mixed Upland Herbaceous | 1.5 | No | There's a pipe with cap here. Old well pad. Possibly a spot to plant something or leave as-is. |
| 35 | 122 - Road/Parking Lot | 28.0 | No | Phragmites along roadway, concentrated to areas <1 mile north of 13 mile rd where high water table exists. |
| 40 | 122 - Road/Parking Lot | 9.5 | No |  |
| 46 | 6239 - Mixed Emergent Wetland | 31.9 | No | Lots of sedges. Some dead standing timber. Fern and mixed forbs. |
| 50 | 3104 - Degraded | 1.2 | No |  |
| 54 | 330 - Low-Density Trees | 1.4 | No | opening, near road, was not planted. E/W portion of stand looks to be some sort of easement to compression facility located to the west. |
| 57 | 3104 - Degraded | 15.0 | No | East and west fields seperated by hill and two treed lobes. West part has allot of spotted knapweed, and old apple tree and a hole (old foundation?). East field has about $60 \%$ cool summer grasses, $40 \%$ spotted knapweed. |


| Stand | Cover Type | Acres | Managed Site | General Comments: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 61 | 3104 - Degraded | 2.8 | No | A cabin is on edge. Uncertain of private land line. Better let succeed, even though it would be nice to cut down encroaching pine. Borderline degraded. Part of a larger opening with spotted knapweed to east. |
| 73 | 3104 - Degraded | 1.4 | No | Schmude Oil Inc. Cleon No. 1-31 Oil well head. Not "Degraded", but "Low Intensity Urban". |
| 74 | 3302 - Low Density Conifer Trees | 3.9 | No | Log-sized white pine with smaller ones. A few sapling/pole aspen mixed in. All bracken fern. Center is openland. |
| 76 | 122 - Road/Parking Lot | 0.8 | No |  |
| 78 | 3105 - Mixed Upland Herbaceous | 1.0 | No | 60 ft by 60 ft area of red maple seedling/sapling encroachment on NE side. Center is degraded with spotted knapweed/milkweed/sheep's sorrow. |
| 88 | 3303 - Mixed Low Density Trees | 4.1 | No | Ground cover is almost all cladonia. Jack pine and red maple mixed in. Traces of poverty grass, st. johns wort, sheep's sorrow, and beech seedlings. |
| 89 | 3101 - Poverty Grass, Cladonia | 1.1 | No | Nice opening. |
| 90 | 3103 - Rubus-Fern | 2.1 | No | Frost pocket. Black cherry with an occassional juneberry. All bracken fern. |
| 98 | 3104 - Degraded | 2.2 | No | Not "Degraded" but "Low Intensity Urban". FCC Antenna Structure Registration Number 1056911. Bracken fern, grasses, st. johns wort along perimeter. |
| 101 | 3301 - Low Density Deciduous Tree | 10.0 | No | Did not visit stand. Access? From imagery, appears to be low density deciduous trees with northern hardwood species, possibly cherry. |
| 112 | 330 - Low-Density Trees | 4.7 | No | This stand consists of an easement that the state of Michigan obtained in 20052007. The easement was surveyed in January, 2007 by DNR surveyors. |
| 118 | 310 - Herbaceous Openland | 0.9 | No | Old well site, was not planted through when adjacent stands/strips were treated/planted. |

