Michigan Department of Natural Resources, Forest, Mineral & Fire Management Division

HIGH CONSERVATION VALUE AREA (HCVA) AND ECOLOGICAL REFERENCE AREA (ERA) MANAGEMENT AND MONITORING FORMS PACKET

DNR DNR

Portions of this information are exempt from Michigan's Freedom of Information Act, 1976 PA 442, MCL 15.243

BACKGROUND AND INSTRUCTIONS

Prior to using this packet material and forms please refer to Work Instruction 1.4 Biodiversity Management on State Forestlands and the Conservation Area Management Guidelines available on line at: http://www.michigan.gov/dnr/0,1607,7-153-30301 33360-144865--,00.html.

This packet is for each High Conservation Value Area (HCVA) without an existing management plan and all Legally Dedicated State Natural Areas, Ecological Reference Areas (ERA), Critical Dunes and Coastal Environmental Areas on state forest land.

Its purpose is to: 1.) document baseline information on each area and it's conservation values, threats, management goals and objectives, and 2.) to track changes in threats, when management activities are carried out, monitor if they are effective, and capture needed changes in management determined not to be effective.

Keep the original copies of these forms in the Compartment/Stand File within each FMU and send copies to respective DEQ and DNR program managers and the DNR, FMFM Forest Resource Management Section, Monitoring Specialist.

PART I: HCVA BASELINE INFORMATION, GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

- ☐ COMPLETE FOR EACH HCVA WITHOUT AN EXISTING MANAGEMENT PLAN
- PART I TO ACCOMPANY PART II

SECTION 1: SITE INFORMATION

- A. HCVA TYPE
- B. SITE, CONTACT AND ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION
- C. OWNERSHIP INFORMATION
- D. CONSERVATION PARTNERS
- E. OTHER DOCUMENTS RELATED TO THIS HCVA

SECTION 2: CONSERVATION VALUES (TARGETS)

- A. BIODIVERSITY VALUES
- B. SOCIAL/ECONOMIC VALUES
- C. INFRASTRUCTURE/FACILITIES VALUES

SECTION 3: CURRENT CONDITIONS (THREATS)

- A. VALUE OR TARGET VIABILITY (POOR, FAIR, GOOD, VERY GOOD)
- B. CURRENT PRIMARY THREATS

SECTION 4: MANAGEMENT GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

PART II: HCVA MONITORING

SECTION 5: COMPLIANCE MONITORING (WERE TASKS COMPLETED?)

SECTION 6: EFFECTIVENESS MONITORING AND RECOMMENDATIONS (HOW WELL DID MANAGEMENT WORK OR WERE OBJECTIVES ACHIEVED? WHAT ARE NEXT THE STEPS?)

SECTION 7: THREATS MONITORING FIELD FORM - STAND ALONE FORM (WHAT IS THE STATUS OF VALUES OR TARGETS?)

☐ MAY BE COMPLETED BY ANYONE FOR ANY HCVA

OR PART OF MONITORING PACKET TO ACCOMPANY PART I AND PARTS II, SECTIONS 6, 7 AND PART III.

Helpful References:

Margoluis, R. and N. Salafsky. 1998. Measures of Success. Island Press, Washington, DC.362 pp.

The Nature Conservancy. 2005. CAP (Conservation Action Planning) Toolkit - version 08-23-05. See the 2007 overview at http://sites-conserveonline.org/dcs/projects/art10152.html and the workbook at http://www.conserveonline.org/2003/07/s/ConPrjMgmt v4

	SECTION 1: SIT	IATION , GOALS AND O FEINFORMATION	BJECTI	VES			
☐ Critical Dune as defined by DEQ ☐ Legally Dedicated State Natural Area ☑ Ecological Reference Area ☐ Endangered Species Management Area ☐ Kirtland Warbler ☐ Piping Plover ☐ Other:	□ Legally Dedicated State Natural Area □ State Natural or Scenic River □ Ecological Reference Area □ Quiet Area: □ Endangered Species Management Area □ Other: TNC Natural Areas Registry □ Kirtland Warbler □ Piping Plover						
	ISERVATION AREA	- LIST OTHER CATEGORIES	BELOW				
Proposed State Natural Area; Coded as Stand							
Date: Site Name:	, CONTACT AND AD	Other Names	N				
Crawford Red Pines Natural	Area	Dyer Red Pine Propos	ed Natur	al Area			
ReportDate Forest Mgt Unit Grayling 9/4/2007	Compartmen Number(s) 278 280	t Stand Number(s) 35 (12 acres) 11 (8 acres)	⊠ Sha	o Attached upe File in OI/IFMAP GDSE cation/Name FMFM-RAU			
County(ies)	Township(s)	Range(s)	Section				
Crawford	Optional if ma	apped Optional if mapped R01W	30, 31	al if mapped			
Name of individual completing this form (first and ⊠ Check if DNR Employee Richard Hausler Kim Herman	d last)	Telephone (906) 786-2351		mail Address ermank@michigan.gov			
Additional contact information Name of individual providing information (first ar Susan Thiel, Manager, Grayling Forest Mar 1955 N. I-75 BL, Grayling, MI 49738 Elaine Carlson, Wildlife Biologist, Mio Joshua Cohen, Ecologist, Michigan Natural	nagement Unit,	Telephone	T C	mail Address HIELSJ@michigan.gov ARLSONE@michigan.gov ohenj@michigan.gov			
Name of DNR/DEQ Program Contact if Applicate Raymond Rustem, Natural Heritage Unit Mgr., 'Amy Clark Eagle, Natural Areas Program, Wildli	Wildlife Division	Telephone (517) 373-2457 (517) 241-1406		mail Address rustemr@michigan.gov eaglea@michigan.gov			
☐ Volunteer (s) Number of Volunteers: Name of Group: Contact Name:		Telephone ()		mail Address			
C: OWNERSHIP INFORMA	TION - CHECK ALL	THAT APPLY AND INCLUDE I	NAME OF	THE UNIT:			
☑ State Forest Land: Grayling Forest Ma☐ State Park/Recreation Area:		Reservation		Camp Grayling, Military			
D: Conser	VATION PARTNERS	 FILL IN ALL KNOWN PART Name of Organization Mic 		rural Areas Council			
Name of Organization: The Nature Conservanc Contact Name: Tina Hall Director of Conservati Email Address: chall@tnc.org Telephone: 906-225-0399		Contact Name: Phyllis Hig Email Address: higmanp@ Telephone (517)373-4	man michigan				

Page 3 of 9

Name of Organization	Name of Organization
Contact Name:	Contact Name:
Email Address	Email Address
Telephone ()	Telephone ()

E: OTHER DOCUMENTS RELATED TO THIS HCVA - CITATION AND LOCATION WHERE STORED

Chown, G.A., S.D. Kvarnberg, R.A. Politizer, S.J. Shipe, J.F. Welsh and C.G. Wertheim. 1986. Naturalarea management of old-growth red pine. Master.s Project, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI. 179 pp. copy in FMFM Lansing office Poole, Morgan, Voss, Edward G., et. al.,1984. Crawford Red Pine Reconnaissance Report; Michigan Natural Areas Council

Barnes, Burton V. 1989. Old-Growth Forests of the Northern Lakes States: A Landscape Ecosystem Perspective. Natural Areas Journal. 9(1): 45:57.

Cohen, J.G. 2002. Natural Community Abstract For Dry Northern Forest. Michigan Natural Features Inventory, Lansing, MI. 14 pp.

Michigan Natural Features Inventory Element Occurrence Record 2007. Dry Northern Forest-Crawford Red Pines.

SECTION 2: CONSERVATION VALUES/TARGETS - CHECK ALL THAT APPLY

A: BIODIVERSITY VALUES

here are a number of ways to describe biodiversity values - check all that apply.

. Natural Communities – Based on Michigan Natural Features Inventory Community Classification.

GO to: http://web4.msue.msu.edu/mnfi/data/MNFI_Natural_Communities.pdf; http://web4.msue.msu.edu/mnfi/pub/abstracts.cfm

Quality Rank comes from specific MNFI Element Occurrence Records (EOR) in the FMFM IFMAP Biodiversity Data Layer.

Chk Box	Community Name	State Rank	Global Rank	Quality Rank A,B,C,D	Chk Box	Community Name	State Rank	Global Rank	Quality Rank A,B,C,D
	Alvar [Alvar grassland]	S1	G2?	, , ,		Lakeshore cliff			
	Bedrock glade					Basalt lakeshore cliff	S1	G3?	
	Basalt bedrock glade	S2	G3			Sandstone lakeshore cliff	S2	G3	
	Igneous bedrock glade	S2	G3G4			Volcanic conglomerate lakeshore cliff	S1	G3?	
	Limestone bedrock glade [Alvar glade]	S2	G2?			Mesic northern forest [Northern hardwood forest; Hemlock-hardwood forest]	S 3	G4	
	Sandstone bedrock glade	S2?	G3G4			Mesic prairie	S1	G2	
	Volcanic conglomerate bedrock glade	S2	G3			Mesic sand prairie	S1	G1?	
	Bedrock lakeshore					Mesic southern forest [Southern hardwood forest]	S 3	G3?	
	Basalt bedrock lakeshore	S2	G3			Muskeg	\$3	G4	
	Igneous bedrock lakeshore	S2	G?			Northern bald [Krummholz ridgetop]	S1	GU	
	Limestone pavement lakeshore [Alvar pavement]	S2	G3			Northern fen	S3	G3	
	Volcanic conglomerate bedrock lakeshore	S2	G3			Northern shrub thicket	S5	G4	
	Bog	S4	G3			Northern swamp	S3?	G4	
	Boreal forest	S3	GU			Northern wet meadow	S4	G4	
	Bur oak plains	SX	G1			Northern wet-mesic prairie	S1	GNR	
	Cave	S1	G4?		2	Oak barrens	S1	G2?	
	Cliff			\		Oak openings	S1	G1	
	Dry acid cliff	S2?	G4		1	Oak-pine barrens	S2	G3	
	Dry non-acid cliff	S2	G4			Open dunes	S3	G3	
	Moist acid cliff	S2	G4			Patterned fen	S2	GU	
	Moist non-acid cliff	S2	G4			Pine barrens	S2	G3	
	Coastal plain marsh	S2	G2			Poor conifer swamp	S4	G4	
	Cobble beach [Cobble shore]	S3	G3?			Poor fen	S3	G3	
\boxtimes	Dry northern forest [Pine forest]	S3	G3?	B/C		Prairie fen	S3	G3	
	Dry sand prairie	S2	G3			Relict conifer swamp	S3	G3	
	Dry southern forest [Oak forest]	S3	G4			Rich conifer swamp	S3	G4	
	Dry-mesic northern forest [Pine-hardwood forest]	S 3	G4			Sand/gravel beach	S 3	G3?	
	Dry-mesic southern forest [Oak-hardwood forest]	S3	G4			Sinkhole	S2	G3G5	
	Emergent marsh	S4	GU			Southern floodplain forest	S3	G3?	
	Great Lakes barrens	S2	G3			Southern shrub-carr	S5	GU	
	Great Lakes marsh	S3	G2			Southern swamp	S3	G3	
	Hardwood-conifer swamp	S3	G4			Southern wet meadow	S3	G3?	
	Hillside prairie	S1	G3			Submergent marsh	S4	GU	
	Inland salt marsh	S1	G1			Wet prairie	S2	G3	
	Interdunal wetland	S2	G2?			Wet-mesic prairie	S2	G2	
	Intermittent wetland [Boggy seepage wetland]	S3	G2			Wooded dune and swale complex	S3	G3	
	Inundated shrub swamp	S3	GU			Woodland prairie	S2	G3	
	Lakeplain mesic sand prairie	S1	G1						

Other information if known.

2. **Ecological Systems** .Check Applicable Regional Landscape Ecosystem (Section), Subsection, and Sub-subsection from Albert, Dennis A. 1995. Regional landscape ecosystems of Michigan, Minnesota, and Wisconsin: a working map and classification. Gen. Tech. Rep. NC-178. St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station. 250 pp

Check all that ap <u>pl</u> y	Name	Section Number	Subsection Number	Sub- subsection Number
	Section VIII. Northern Lacustrine-Influenced Upper Michigan and Wisconsin	8		
	Subsection VIII.1. Niagaran Escarpment and Lake Plain	8	1	
	Sub-subsection VIII.1.1. St. Ignace	8	1	8.1.1.
	Sub-subsection VIII.1.2. Rudyard	8	1	8.1.2.
	Sub-subsection VIII.1.3. Escanaba/Door Peninsula	8	1	8.1.3.
	Subsection VIII.2. Luce	8	2	
	Sub-subsection VIII.2.1. Seney Sand Lake Plain	8	2	8.2.1.
	Sub-subsection VIII.2.2. Grand Marais Sandy End Moraine and Outwash	8	2	8.2.2.
	Subsection VIII.3. Dickinson	8	3	
	Sub-subsection VIII.3.1. Northern lake Michigan (Hermanville) Till Plain	8	3	8.3.1.
	Sub-subsection VIII.3.2. Gwinn	8	3	8.3.2.
	Sub-subsection VIII.3.3. Deerton	8	3	8.3.3.
	Section IX. Northern Continental Michigan, Wisconsin, and Minnesota	9		
	Subsection IX.1. Spread Eagle-Dunbar Barrens	9	1	
	Subsection IX.2. Michigamme Highland	9	2	
	Subsection IX.3. Upper Wisconsin/Michigan Moraines	9	3	
	Sub-subsection IX.3.1. Brule and Paint Rivers	9	3	9.3.1.
	Sub-subsection IX.3.2. Winegar Moraine	9	3	9.3.2.
	Subsection IX.5. Lac Veaux Desert Outwash Plain	9	5	
	Subsection IX.6. Bergland	9	6	
	Sub-subsection IX.6.1. Gogebic-Penokee Iron Range	9	6	9.6.1.
	Sub-subsection IX.6.2. Ewen	9	6	9.6.2.
	Sub-subsection IX.6.3. Baraga	9	6	9.6.3.
	Subsection IX.7. Keweenaw	9	7	0 = 4
	Sub-subsection IX.7.1. Gay	9	7	9.7.1.
	Sub-subsection IX 7.2. Calumet	9	7	9.7.2.
	Sub-subsection IX.7.3. Isle Royale	9	7	9.7.3.
	Subsection IX.8. Lake Superior Lake Plain Section VII. Northern Lacustrine-Influenced Lower Michigan	9	8	
		7	4	7.4
	Subsection VII.1. Arenac	7	1	7.1
	Sub-subsection VII.1.1. Standish	7	1	7.1.1
4	Sub-subsection VII.1.2. Wiggins Lake	7	1	7.1.2
	Subsection VII.2. Highplains	7	2	7.2
	Sub-subsection VII.2.1. Cadillac	7	2	7.2.1
\boxtimes	Sub-subsection VII.2.2. Grayling Outwash Plain	7	2	7.2.2
	Sub-subsection VII.2.3. Vanderbilt Moraines	7	2	7.2.3
	Subsection VII.3. Newaygo Outwash Plain	7	3	7.3
	Subsection VII.4. Manistee	7	4	7.4
	Subsection VII.5. Leelanau and Grand Traverse Peninsula	7		7.5
		-	5	
	Sub-subsection VII.5.1. Williamsburg	7	5	7.5.1
_ ⊔	Sub-subsection VII.5.2. Traverse City	7	5	7.5.2
	Subsection VII.6. Presque Isle	7	6	7.6
	Sub-subsection VII.6.1. Onaway	7	6	7.6.1
	Sub-subsection VII.6.2. Stutsmanville	7	6	7.6.2
	Sub-subsection VII.6.3. Cheboygan	7	6	7.6.3
	~~	7		

3. Ecological Systems

X	List	name	(s)	of	Ecosy	/stems:
---	------	------	-----	----	-------	---------

Dry Northern Forest - There are 14 documented occurrences of the dry northern forest community in Michigan dominated by red pine. Only six of those occurrences, constituting just over 600 acres, are high-quality representations of this type. This rare variation of this community constitutes less than 0.01% of the present vegetation of Michigan. (Cohen, 2002)

☑ Ecological processes – some examples are connectivity, hydrology, fire, wind events, flooding, pest and disease cycles;

Describe: Frequent, low-intensity ground fires historically maintained red pine systems. The natural disturbance regime in dry northern forests is also influenced by wind, insect epidemics and periodic freezes.

☐ Underlying environmental features - soils, geology, topography, headwaters,

Describe: pitted outwash landform, xeric sandy soils, flat terrain

- ☑ Environmental gradients elevation, precipitation, temperature; **Describe:** flat to gently sloping terrain
- Species and/or community structure using during migration, during different life stages, or gradual species turnover across environmental gradients.

Describe: old growth red pine (150-200 yrs), some scattered old growth white pine, may be used occasionally as habitat by Kirtland's Warbler during migration.

 Nested large and small natural communities linked by functional or restorable ecoysystems: ERA is bordered on two sides by lowland conifers and lowland hardwoods. Mature upland oak is to the east and a portion to the north was clear-cut and planted for Kirtland's warbler habitat about 10 years ago.

■ Nested communities nearby

Large Block Size				and a survival sugar	
east and a portion to the north wa	as clear-cut and p	lanted for Kirtlan	nd's warbler habitat a	about 10 vears ago.	
Describe : ERA is bordered on tw					Э

General Shape and Acres: ERA is 14 acres in size and ovoid in shape, running SW to NE. ERA is small and fragmented by Dyer Truck Trail that bisects this ERA.

Species Assemblages – List types of species assemblage targets.

	 share common 	natural processe	es or have similar	conservation	requirements	(e.g.,
freshwater mussels, forest-interio	r birds, essentia	l pollinators).				
M Clabelly simplificant availab				-4!\		

☑ Globally significant species aggregations (e.g. migratory shorebird aggregation).

ERA is known to have been used by Kirtland Warbler during their springtime migratory period, though it is adjacent to managed Kirtland Warbler areas.

Species - List types of species by common and scientific name.:

\boxtimes	Globally imperiled or	state endangered or	threatened native spe	cies - Ranked G1, G2,	G3 by NatureServe, and
S1	, S2 by MNFI, state and	or federally listed or p	roposed for listing as TI	hreatened or Endangei	red (MI and U.S.), and on
the	e IUCN Red List (Interna	tional).		_	

☐ Focal species - keystone, wide-ranging (regional), providing linkages between ecosystems, and umbrella species.

Kirtland's Warbler, Listed as Endangered at Federal and State levels nearby in jack pine managed specifically for Kirtland's Warbler.

☐ Species of Special	l Concern - Due	to vulnerability,	declining trends,	disjunct	distributions,	or endemic s	status;
Ranked S3 by MN	IFI						

Other species of greatest	conservation need - !	Identified as part o	of Michigan's Wildlife	Action Plan due to
declining populations or	other characteristics	that may make the	em vulnerable.	

B: Known Social/Econo	MIC VALUES	C: EXISTING INFR	RASTRUCTURE/FACILITIES:	
Director's Canoeing/Kayaking Fishing Hiking/Backpacking: Hunting Photography Scenic Water (lake, river, street) Wildlife Viewing Cross Country Skiing Other	ing occurs here - prohibited b Order. eam)	☐ Boat Launch(e ☐ Bridge(s): ☐ Campground(s ☐ Interpretive Dis ☐ Marked bounds ☐ Parking lot(s): ☐ Posted use rul ☐ Scenic Overvie ☐ Toilet(s) ☐ Trails/Boardwa	s): splays: One sign "Dyer Red laries les ews	Pine"
☒ Restorative/Spiritual☐ Traditional Use/Gatherin	g			
		CTION 3: CURRENT CONDITION		
			ARGET (FROM TNC CAP TO MINIMUM INTEGRITY, VERY C	
LIST CONSERVATION VALUE/TARGET FROM SECTION 2 – A, B OR C	LIST CATEGORY OF SIZE, CONDITION, OR LANDSCAPE CONTEXT	LIST KEY ATTRIBUTE	LIST INDICATOR	LIST CURRENT STATUS POOR, FAIR, GOOD, OR VERY GOOD
DRY NORTHERN FOREST	RANK G3/S3	NUMBER OF OCCURENCES IN STATE		
OLD GROWTH RED PINE COMMUNITY 150-200 YEARS OLD	CONDITION	REGENERATION OF RED PINE	INCREASING NUMBER OF RED PINE SEEDLINGS/M2	POOR
			DECREASING NUMBER OF RED MAPLE SEEDLINGS	
OLD GROWTH RED PINE	AVERAGE SIZE OF TREES	DIAMETER AT BREAST HEIGHT AND HOW TALL THESE TREES ARE	MEASURE DBH IN CM OR INCHES, HEIGHT IN METERS OR FEET	GOOD
	THERE IS ACTUAL EVIDENCE	CE FOR AND DESCRIBE THE	BLISH BASELINE CONDITION EVIDENCE BRIEFLY AND/OR EXISTING DATA FOLLOWED B	
 ✓ Altered Fire Regime Fire suppresion is a unless fire is reintre ☐ Altered Hydrologic F pumping, dam opera ☐ Commercial & Indus landfills) ☐ Farms & Plantations ☐ Housing & Urban Designer 	allowing Red Maple to dominate oduced for ecosystem restoral Regime Changing water flow pations strial Development: factories, Agricultural operations (comes evelopment Expansion of cities)	ire frequency and/or intensity ate the understory and crowd ation. patterns outside their natural stand-alone shopping center amercial farms, industrial plares, towns, settlements, non-h	ge to natural habitats. y outside of its natural range of out RP regeneration; Site will range of variation (surface waters, office parks, train yards, dentations, feed lots, aquaculture and single development (urban a	Il convert to Red Maple ater diversion, groundwater ocks, ship yards, airports,
 ✓ Military Activities Acc Camp Grayling Milit part of transportation ✓ Natural System Mod construction, land re 	on system for military vehicles lifications Actions that conver clamation, wetland filling, rip-	y forces (military bases, defo istorically used as a parking s. t or degrade habitat to "mana rap along shoreline, levees a	lot for military vehicles and Dy aging" natural systems for hur	man welfare - <i>dam</i>
Other:				

Common St. Johnswort (Hypericum perforatum) like occur along the surrounding roads and skid trails...

☑ Problematic Native Species: conversion of site to red maple.

☐ Hybrid Species

Gra	ayling Forest Management Unit -Camp Grayling Military Reservation - ERA Re-surveyed by MNFI, June 16, 2006
Н.	Climate Change – Evidence of impacts from long-term changes linked to global warming and other climate issues.
	☐ Climate Variability – Intensification and/or alteration of normal weather patterns - droughts, high wind or rain event.
	☐ Habitat Shifting & Alteration
I.	Other
	SECTION 4: RECOMMENDED MANAGEMENT GOALS AND ACTIVITIES <u>LIST GOAL(S), FOR EACH VALUE, RELATED THREAT ABATEMENT, MAINTENANCE OR ENHANCEMENT NEED IDENTIFIED IN SECTIONS 2</u>
	HECK ALL GOAL CATEGORIES THAT APPLY
	NATURAL COMMUNITY MAINTENANCE OR ENHANCEMENT GOALS
\geq	ECOLOGICAL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE OR ENHANCEMENT GOALS
F	SPECIES MAINT ENANCE OR ENHANCEMENT GOALS SPECIES RESTORATION GOALS
Ē	SOCIAL ECONOMIC GOALS
	INFRASTRUCTURE/FACILITIES GOALS
	ADMINISTRATIVE GOALS—PROTECTION STATUS; CAPACITY BUILDING; FUNDING, VOLUNTEERS OAL# AND DESCRIPTION FROM SECTIONS 2 AND 3
	oal 1: Maintain and enhance this Red Pine dominated ecosystem; establish conditions favorable for likelihood of developing a red pine
Ŭ	based understory to replace the old growth
	Task1: To achieve goal #1, the first task is to develop a restoration plan involving prescribe burning and/or mechanical treatments schedules.
	Task 2 If the prescribed burn plan option is not able to be implemented, then serious consideration should be given to applying an
	herbicide such as Triclopyr (Garlon). For example, Triclopyr is a selective herbicide for broadleaf species only and can be applied on the lower 12 inches of hardwood sapling (basal spraying). This insures that the coniferous components of the
G	understory remain unharmed. Instead of spraying, could also girdle trees. oal 2: Prevent introduction of invasive plants
Ŭ	Task 1: Initiate monitoring for invasive species along boundaries and trails.
	Task 2: Continue posting the current director's order prohibiting camping and motor vehicle use. Task 3: Investigate possibilities for rerouting Dyer Truck Trail Road around this ERA and block the road where it enters the general
	range of the ERA.
G	Task 4: Monitor the ERA for illegal ORV use and issue tickets when this occurs. oal 3: Increase public knowledge and awareness of this ERA. Old growth red pine dominated stands are very rare in Michigan. This ERA
aı	nd Roscommon Red Pines NA are generally considered the only two old growth red pine systems located in Michigan.
G	oal 4: Enact protections and management to protect this ERA from windthrow. Task1: Be sure any treatments adjacent to the ERA do not increase the threat of windthrow damage to the ERA.
	oal 5: Examine if this area can be buffered or Increase the size of this ERA to increase its Element Occurrence ranking from B/C to at least
а	solid "B", possibly to an "A:" over several decades. Tasks 1: Work with Wildlife Division to examine surrounding areas for buffering or expansion of the ERA
G	oal 6: Determine adequacy of current protection level
Ŭ	Task 1: Work with State Natural Areas Program to determine potential for legal dedication as a state natural area.
	Task 2: Work with Conservation Partners to develop State Natural Areas Program nomination based on review in Task 1.
G	oal 7:
G	oal 8:
G	oal 9:
G	oal 10