Michigan Department of Natural Resources, Forest, Mineral & Fire Management Division

HIGH CONSERVATION VALUE AREA (HCVA) AND ECOLOGICAL REFERENCE AREA (ERA)

MANAGEMENT AND MONITORING FORMS PACKET

Portions of this information are exempt from Michigan's Freedom of Information Act, 1976 PA 442, MCL 15.243

BACKGROUND AND INSTRUCTIONS

Prior to using this packet material and forms please refer to Work Instruction 1.4 Biodiversity Management on State Forestlands and the Conservation Area Management Guidelines available on line at: http://www.michigan.gov/dnr/0,1607,7-153-30301_33360-144865--,00.html.

Identified HCVAs and ERAs will be managed to conserve, protect, maintain, and/or enhance their defined conservation objectives or values. The management methods used will vary depending on the objective and type of designation. On DNR-managed lands, Ecological Reference Areas may be protected through a variety of mechanisms (refer to Conservation Area Management Guidance). Management activities or prescriptions in Ecological Reference Areas are highly restricted to those that maintain or enhance the defined attributes and values and protect the immediate natural resource values or human health and safety.

This packet is for each High Conservation Value Area (HCVA) without an existing management plan and all Legally Dedicated State Natural Areas, Ecological Reference Areas (ERA), Critical Dunes and Coastal Environmental Areas on state forest land. Its purpose is to: 1.) document baseline information on each area and it's conservation values, threats, management goals and objectives, and 2.) to track changes in threats, when management activities are carried out, monitor if they are effective, and capture needed changes in management determined not to be effective.

Keep the original copies of these forms in the Compartment/Stand File within each FMU and send copies to respective DEQ and DNR program managers and the DNR, FMFM Forest Resource Management Section, Monitoring Specialist.

PART I: HCVA BASELINE INFORMATION, GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

COMPLETE FOR EACH HCVA WITHOUT AN EXISTING MANAGEMENT PLAN

PART I TO ACCOMPANY PART I

- SECTION 1: SITE INFORMATION
 - A. HCVA TYPE
 - B. SITE, CONTACT AND A DMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION
 - C. OWNERSHIP INFORMATION
 - D. CONSERVATION PARTNERS
 - E. OTHER DOCUMENTS RELATED TO THIS HCVA

SECTION 2: CONSERVATION VALUES (TARGETS)

- A. BIODIVERSITY VALUES
- B. SOCIAL/ECONOMIC VALUES
- C. INFRASTRUCTURE/FACILITIES VALUES

SECTION 3: CURRENT CONDITIONS (THREATS)

A. VALUE OR TARGET VIABILITY (POOR, FAIR, GOOD, VERY GOOD)

B. CURRENT PRIMARY THREATS

SECTION 4: MANAGEMENT GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

PART II: HCVAMONITORING

SECTION 5: COMPLIANCE MONITORING (WERE TASKS COMPLETED?)

SECTION 6: EFFECTIVENESS MONITORING AND RECOMMENDATIONS (HOW WELL DID MANAGEMENT WORK OR WERE OBJECTIVES ACHIEVED? WHAT ARE NEXT THE STEPS?)

SECTION 7: THREATS MONITORING FIELD FORM - STAND ALONE FORM (WHAT IS THE STATUS OF VALUES OR TARGETS?)

- MAY BE COMPLETED BY ANYONE FOR ANY HCVA
- OR PART OF MONITORING PACKET TO ACCOMPANY PART I AND PARTS II, SECTIONS 6, 7 AND PART III.

Helpful References:

Marqoluis, R. and N. Salafsky. 1998. Measures of Success. Island Press, Washington, DC.362 pp.

The Nature Conservancy. 2005. CAP (Conservation Action Planning) Toolkit - version 08-23-05. See 2007 overview at http://sites-conserveonline.org/dcs/projects/art10152.html and the workbook at http://www.conserveonline.org/2003/07/s/ConPriMgmt_v4

Page 1 of 10

DRAFT Bryan Creek Dry-mesic Northern Forest ERA Management Plan – Revised 10/23/2007 Gwinn Forest Management Unit - ERA Re-surveyed by MNFI August 7, 2007

	PART I: HCVA BASI A: H	ELINE INFORM SECTION 1: SI CV A TYPE – <u>CH</u>	IATION , GOALS AND LEINFORMATION IECK ALL THAT APPLY	OBJEC	TIVES
 Critical Dune as de Legally Dedicated S Ecological Reference Endangered Specie Kirtland Warbler Piping Ployer 	fined by DEQ State Natural Area ce Area: Bryan Creek dry-m forest es Management Area	esic northern	 Environmental Area a State Natural or Scer Quiet Area: Other: 	as define nic River	d by DEQ
Other:	0				
	SPECIAL CONSE	RVATION AREA	- LIST OTHER CATEGORIE	ES BELOW	l
SCA Bryan Creek Tro SCA in surrounding s	but Stream – Type I stands for wildlife-fisheries <u>B: SITE C</u> creek Dry Northern Fores	ontact and Ad) along Bryan Creek DMINISTRATIVE INFORMAT Other Names	ION	
Site Name: Diyan	Seek Dry Northern Fores				•
ReportDate	Forest Mgt Unit	Compartmen	t Number(s)		lap Attached
10/23/07	Gwinn Forest Management Unit	55 2009 YOE 56 2003 YOE	er(s) Stand 8, 44 Stand 2	□ S File I	hape File in OI/IFMAP GDSE Location/Name
County(ies) Marquette		Township(s) T44N, R26W	Range(s) Section(s) ½ Section(s) ½ Section 7	ec. Option	nal if mapped
Name of individual con	npleting this form (first and la	ist)	Telephone		Email Address
Kim Herman, Monitor	ing Specialist, Forest, Min	eral, Fire	(906) 786-2351, Escanaba	1	hermank@michigan.gov
Manage John Koski, Forester Terry MacFadden, Wi Brian Gunderman, Fi	ement Division (FMFMD) , FMFMD Idlife Biologist, Wildlife Div sheries Biologist, Fisheries	vision s Division	(906) 346-9201 Gwinn (906) 228-6561 Gwinn/Mar (906)353-6651 Baraga	rquette	koskij@michigan.gov mcfaddet@michigan.gov gunderb@michigan.gov
Additional contact info	rmation	aat) if annliaahla	Telephone		Email Address
William Brondyke, Gy Gerald Mohlman Mike Koss, Wildlife E Rob Atkinson, Wildliff	cologist e Technician		(906) 346-9201 (906) 346-9201 (906) 346-9201 (906) 228-6561		brondykw@michigan.gov mohlmang@michigan.gov kossm@michigan.gov
Name of DNR/DEQ Pr Ron Yesney, Recreat	ogram Contact if Applicable ion Specialist, FMFMD		Telephone (906)228-6561		Email Address yesneyr@michigan.gov
☐ Volunteer (s) Number of Volunteers: Name of Group: Contact Name:			Telephone ()		Email Address
	C: OWNERSHIP INFORMATIC	ON - CHECK ALL	THAT APPLY AND INCLUD	ENAMEC	DF THE UNIT:
State Forest Land: State Park/Recreation	Gwinn Forest Management on Area:	Unit	☐ State Game Area: ☐ Other or Private Land	(describe	e):

D: Conse	RVATION PARTNERS – FILL IN ALL KNOWN PARTNERS
Name of Organization	Name of Organization
Name of Organization	Contact Name:
	Email Address
	l elephone ()
leiephone (
Name of Organization	Name of Organization
Contact Name:	Contact Name:
Email Address	Email Address
Telephone ()	Telephone ()
E: OTHER DOCUMENTS R	ELATED TO THIS HCVA – <u>CITATION AND LOCATION WHERE STORED</u>
Cohen, J.G. 2002. Natural community a	bstract for dry mesic northern forest. Michigan Natural Features Inventory,
Lansing, MI.12 pp.	
Michigan Natural Features Inventory Fl	lement Occurrence Record Dry Northern Forest FO Num 10
mongan Natura i catures inventory Li	iement obtarrende record bry Northern Forest EO Wall To.
Slaughter, B. 2007. Site Summary for B	Brvan Creek Dry Northern Forest Element Occurrence (EO NUM) 10 (now
known as Dry Mesic Northern F	orest EQ Num 43) Surveyed August 7, 2007, Michigan Natural Features
Inventory Michigan State Unive	ersity 2 names
inventory, interngun etate entre	isity z pages.
Slauaghter B. 2007. Dry northern forest\Bry	/an Creek EO-10-993 Plant Species Lists 08/07/07
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	·

SECTION 2: CONSERVATION VALUES/TARGETS - CHECK ALL THAT APPLY

A: BIODIVERSITY VALUES

here are a number of ways to describe biodiversity values - check all that apply.

. Natural Communities – Based on Michigan Natural Features Inventory Community Classification.

GO to: http://web4.msue.msu.edu/mnfi/data/MNFI Natural Communities.pdf; http://web4.msue.msu.edu/mnfi/pub/abstracts.cfm

Quality Rank comes from specific MNFI Element Occurrence Records (EOR) in the FMFM IFMAP Biodiversity Data Layer.

Chk Box	Community Name	State Rank	Global Rank	Quality Rank A.B.C.D	Chk Box	Community Name	State Rank	Global Rank	Quality Rank A.B.C.D
	Alvar [Alvar grassland]	S1	G2?			Lakeshore cliff			
	Bedrock glade					Basalt lakeshore cliff	S1	G3?	
	Basalt bedrock glade	S2	G3			Sandstone lakeshore cliff	S2	G3	
	Igneous bedrock glade	S2	G3G4			Volcanic conglomerate lakeshore cliff	S1	G3?	
	Limestone bedrock glade [Alvar glade]	S2	G2?			Mesic northern forest [Northern hardwood forest; Hemlock-hardwood forest]	S3	G4	
	Sandstone bedrock glade	S2?	G3G4			Mesic prairie	S1	G2	
	Volcanic conglomerate bedrock glade	S2	G3			Mesic sand prairie	S1	G1?	
	Bedrock lakeshore					Mesic southern forest [Southern hardwood forest]	S3	G3?	
	Basalt bedrock lakeshore	S2	G3			Muskeg	S3	G4	
Π	laneous bedrock lakeshore	S2	G?		Ē	Northern bald [Krummholz ridgetop]	S1	GU	
	Limestone pavement lakeshore	S2	G3			Northern fen	S3	G3	
	[Alvar pavement]	02	00			Normon	00	00	
	Volcanic conglomerate bedrock lakeshore	S2	G3			Northern shrub thicket	S5	G4	
	Bog	S4	G3			Northern swamp	S3?	G4	
	Boreal forest	S3	GU			Northern wet meadow	S4	G4	
	Bur oak plains	SX	G1			Northern wet-mesic prairie	S1	GNR	
	Cave	S1	G4?			Oak barrens	S1	G2?	
	Cliff					Oak openings	S1	G1	
	Dry acid cliff	S2?	G4			Oak-pine barrens	S2	G3	
	Dry non-acid cliff	S2	G4			Open dunes	S3	G3	
	Moist acid cliff	S2	G4			Patterned fen	S2	GU	
	Moist non-acid cliff	S2	G4			Pine barrens	S2	G3	
	Coastal plain marsh	S2	G2			Poor conifer swamp	S4	G4	
	Cobble beach [Cobble shore]	S3	G3?			Poor fen	S3	G3	
	Dry northern forest [Pine forest]	S3	G3?			Prairie fen	S3	G3	
	Dry sand prairie	S2	G3			Relict conifer swamp	S3	G3	
	Dry southern forest [Oak forest]	S3	G4			Rich conifer swamp	S3	G4	
\boxtimes	Dry-mesic northern forest [Pine -hardwood forest]	S 3	G4	в		Sand/gravel beach	S3	G3?	
	Dry-mesic southern forest [Oak-hardwood forest]	S3	G4			Sinkhole	S2	G3G5	
	Emergent marsh	S4	GU			Southern floodplain forest	S 3	G3?	
Π	Great Lakes barrens	S2	G3			Southern shrub-carr	S5	GU.	
Π	Great Lakes marsh	53	G2			Southern swamp	53	G3	
Π	Hardwood-conifer swamp	53	G4			Southern wet meadow	53	G32	
	Hillside prairie	S1	C3			Submergent marsh	S4	GU.	
	Inland salt marsh	S1	C1			Wet prairie	52	63 63	
	Interdupal wetland	52	C22			Wet-mesic prairie	52 52	C2	
	Intermittent wetland [Boggy	02	02:				02	02	
	seepage wetland]	53	G2			vvooded dune and swale complex	53	G3	
	Inundated shrub swamp	S3	GU			Woodland prairie	S2	G3	
	Lakeplain mesic sand prairie	S1	G1						

Other information if known.

2. 🛛 Ecological Systems .Check Applicable Regional Landscape Ecosystem (Section), Subsection, and Sub-subsection from Albert, Dennis A. 1995. Regional landscape ecosystems of Michigan, Minnesota, and Wisconsin: a working map and classification. Gen. Tech. Rep. NC-178. St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station. 250 pp

Check all that apply	Name	Section Number	Subsection Number	Sub- subsection Number
\boxtimes	Section VIII. Northern Lacustrine-Influenced Upper Michigan and Wisconsin	8		
	Subsection VIII.1. Niagaran Escarpment and Lake Plain	8	1	
	Sub-subsection VIII.1.1. St. Ignace	8	1	8.1.1.
	Sub-subsection VIII.1.2. Rudyard	8	1	8.1.2.
	Sub-subsection VIII.1.3. Escanaba/Door Peninsula	8	1	8.1.3.
	Subsection VIII.2. Luce	8	2	
	Sub-subsection VIII.2.1. Seney Sand Lake Plain	8	2	8.2.1.
	Sub-subsection VIII.2.2. Grand Marais Sandy End Moraine and Outwash	8	2	8.2.2.
\boxtimes	Subsection VIII.3. Dickinson	8	3	
	Sub-subsection VIII.3.1. Northern lake Michigan (Hermanville) Till Plain	8	3	8.3.1.
\boxtimes	Sub-subsection VIII.3.2. Gwinn	8	3	8.3.2.
	Sub-subsection VIII.3.3. Deerton	8	3	8.3.3.
	Section IX. Northern Continental Michigan, Wisconsin, and Minnesota	9		
	Subsection IX.1. Spread Eagle-Dunbar Barrens	9	1	
	Subsection IX.2. Michigamme Highland	9	2	
	Subsection IX.3. Upper Wisconsin/Michigan Moraines	9	3	
	Sub-subsection IX.3.1. Brule and Paint Rivers	9	3	9.3.1.
	Sub-subsection IX.3.2. Winegar Moraine	9	3	9.3.2.
	Subsection IX.5. Lac Veaux Desert Outwash Plain	9	5	
	Subsection IX.6. Bergland	9	6	
	Sub-subsection IX.6.1. Gogebic-Penokee Iron Range	9	6	9.6.1.
	Sub-subsection IX.6.2. Ewen	9	6	9.6.2.
	Sub-subsection IX.6.3. Baraga	9	6	9.6.3.
	Subsection IX.7. Keweenaw	9	7	
	Sub-subsection IX.7.1. Gay	9	7	9.7.1.
	Sub-subsection IX.7.2. Calumet	9	7	9.7.2.
	Sub-subsection IX.7.3. Isle Royale	9	7	9.7.3.
	Subsection IX.8. Lake Superior Lake Plain	9	8	
	Section VII. Northern Lacustrine-Influenced Lower Michigan			
	Subsection VII.1. Arenac	7	1	7.1
	Sub-subsection VII.1.1. Standish	7	1	7.1.1
	Sub-subsection VI.1.2 Wingins Lake	7	1	712
	Subsection VII 2 Highplains	7	2	72
		7	2	7.2
		-	2	7.2.1
	Sub-subsection VII.2.2. Grayling Outwash Plain	1	2	7.2.2
	Sub-subsection VII.2.3. Vanderbilt Moraines	7	2	7.2.3
	Subsection VII.3. Newaygo Outwash Plain	7	3	7.3
	Subsection VII.4. Manistee	7	4	7.4
	Subsection VII.5. Leelanau and Grand Traverse Peninsula	7	5	7.5
	Sub-subsection VII.5.1. Williamsburg	7	5	7.5.1
	Sub-subsection VII 5.2 Traverse City	7	5	752
	Subsection VII 6. Program Isla	, 7	6	7.6
		(7	0	7.0
	Sub-subsection VII.6.1. Unaway	1	ю	7.6.1
	Sub-subsection VII.6.2. Stutsmanville	7	6	7.6.2
	Sub-subsection VII.6.3. Cheboygan	7	6	7.6.3
		7		

- 3. Ecological Systems
 - List name(s) of Ecosystems/Natural Communities (based on MNFI Community Classification):

Dry Mesic Northern Forest (previously classified as a dry northern forest)

<u>Overview from Cohen 2002</u>: Dry-mesic northern forests are pine- or pine/hardwood-dominated communities found on sand or loamy sand soils and occurring principally on sandy glacial outwash, sandy glacial lake plains, and less often on thin glacial drift over bedrock, inland dune ridges and coarse textured end moraines. Prior to European settlement, dry mesic northern forest typically originated in the wake of catastrophic fire and was maintained by frequent, low-intensity ground fires.



Dry mesic Northern Forest In Michigan (Cohen 2002)

Bryan Creek Ecological Reference Area - Dry Mesic Northern Forest Pre (left) and Post (right) treatments (photos by G. Mohlman)

<u>Site Description from Slaughter 2007.</u> Bryan Creek Dry Mesic Northern Forest is a good quality (B rank) dominated by red pine and white pine on sandy, droughty, rather flat ground moraine, surrounded by more degraded uplands and rather extensive acidic peatlands (muskeg and poor conifer swamp). Mature, tall canopy red pines are generally 110-130 years old. White pine dominates portions of the occurrence. Patchy sub-canopy of balsam fir, black spruce, and white spruce is locally dense. Patchy ground layer is best developed in windthrow gaps, which are widespread. Human disturbances include localized cutting and fragmentation by logging roads. Evidence of fire noted on stumps, but only a small scar 10' high, perhaps due to lightning, was noted on one living red pine trunk.

Canopy is dominated by red pine *Pinus resinosa*, grading to white pine *Pinus strobus* locally. Patchy, locally dense subcanopy includes balsam fir *Abies bal samifera* (dominant), with both black and white spruce *Picea mariana* and *P. glauca*, the former likely an influence of the poor conifer swamp that borders the occurrence. Hardwoods (red maple *Acer rubrum*, white birch *Betula papirifera*) are generally uncommon in the canopy and subcanopy, but birch is locally common in the tall shrub layer. The ground layer ranges from absent under dense subcanopy to locally dense in gaps, characterized by blueberries *Vaccinium angisifolium*, *V. myrtiloides*, *Canada dogwood Cornus cananadensis*, *Canada lily Maianthemum canadense*, *star flower Trientalis borealis*, *twin flower Linnaea borealis*, and other species indicating a mesophytic (moisture loving) influence.

- Ecological processes such as connectivity, hydrology, fire, wind events, flooding, pest and disease cycles; <u>Describe</u>: Prior to European settlement, dry mesic northern forest typically originated in the wake of catastrophic fire and was maintained by frequent, low-intensity ground fires. (Cohen 2002). Patchy windthrow gaps (Slaughter 2007).
- Underlying environmental features such as soils, geology, topography, headwaters; <u>Describe</u>: Bryan Creek dry mesic northern forest is on sandy, droughty, rather flat ground moraine, surrounded by more degraded (as compared to high quality reference areas) uplands and rather extensive acidic peatlands (muskeg and poor conifer swamp) (Slaughter 2007).
- Environmental gradients such as elevation, precipitation, temperature; <u>Describe</u>:

- Species and/or community structure using during migration, during different life stages, or gradual species turnover across environmental gradients.
 <u>Describe</u>:
- □ Nested large and small natural communities linked by functional or restorable ecosystems: <u>Describe</u>:
- High quality natural communities nearby: <u>Describe</u>: Extensive acidic peatlands (muskeg and poor conifer swamp) (Slaugher 2007)
- □ Large Block Size: General Shape and Acres:
- 4. Species Assemblages List types of species assemblage targets.

☐ **Major groupings of species** - share common natural processes or have similar conservation requirements (e.g., freshwater mussels, forest-interior birds, essential pollinators).

□ Globally significant species aggregations (e.g. migratory shorebird aggregation).

- 5. Species List types of species by common and scientific name .:
 - □ Focal species keystone, wide-ranging (regional), providing linkages between ecosystems, and umbrella species.

Species:

Globally imperiled or state endangered or threatened native species - Ranked G1, G2, G3 by NatureServe, and S1, S2 by MNFI, state and/or federally listed or proposed for listing as Threatened or Endangered (MI and U.S.), and on the IUCN Red List (International).

Species:

Species of Special Concern - Due to vulnerability, declining trends, disjunct distributions, or endemic status; Ranked S3 by MNFI

<u>Species</u>: Moose are using and have historically used this area as part of a very large complex (square miles) which includes a diversity of cover types

□ Other species of greatest conservation need - Identified as part of Michigan's Wildlife Action Plan due to declining populations or other characteristics that may make them vulnerable.

Species:



Gwinn Forest Management Unit - ERA Re-surveyed by MNFI August 7, 2007

B: KNOWN SOCIAL/ECONO	MIC VALUES	C: EXISTING INFR	ASTRUCTURE/FACILITIES:	
 Archaeological Historical: Camp 10 Log Recreational: Canoeing/Kayaking Fishing: Trout Fishin Hiking/Backpacking: Hunting: deer, bear, a Photography Scenic Water (lake, river, street Wildlife Viewing: Moor occasionally from accos Country Skiing Other : Trail Gwinn Restorative/Spiritual 	gging Camp og in Bryan Creek and birds eam) Bryan Creek Trout Str ose move through the area djacent habitats. to Republic Snowmobile Tr	American Disal Boat Launch(e Bridge(s): On Campground(s Interpretive Dis Marked bounda Parking lot(s): Posted use rule Scenic Overvie Toilet(s) Trails/Boardwa Snowmobile Other:	bility Accessibility (ADA) Cons s) Bryan Creek): plays : aries es ews lks : Trail Number 32 from C Trail	siderations Swinn to Republic
	9 Se	CTION 3: CURRENT CONDITION		
D. Cu	RRENT STATUS/VIABILITY O	F CONSERVATION VALUE/TA	RGET (FROM TNC CAP TO	
STATUS DEFINITIONS – POU LIST CONSERVATION VALUE/TARGET FROM SECTION 2 – A, B OR C	OR - IMMINENT LOSS, FAIR LIST CATEGORY OF SIZE, CONDITION, OR LANDSCAPE CONTEXT	– VULNERABLE, GOOD – N LIST KEY ATTRIBUTE	LIST INDICATOR	LIST CURRENT STATUS POOR, FAIR, GOOD, OR VERY GOOD
Dry Mesic Northern Forest	CONDITION	NATURAL PROCESSES FIRE WIND THROW	NATURALLY REGENERATING AND MATURE RED PINE & WHITE PINE	GOOD - FAIR
Moose	LANDSCAPE CONTEXT	LARGER COMPLEX OF WETLAND AND VARIETY OF UPLAND HABITATS	Moose Sign (tracks)	Fair
RECREATION	ROUTE FROM REPUBLIC TO GWINN	SNOW MOBILE TRAIL	LACK OF EROSION AND OVERUSE	GOOD
	E. : INITIAL PRIMARY THRE	EATS ASSESSMENT TO ESTAI	BLISH BASELINE CONDITION	
DO THIS INITIA	LLY FROM AERIAL PHOTOS,	LOCAL KNOWLEDGE, AND E	EVIDENCE BRIEFLY AND/OR XISTING DATA FOLLOWED B	<u>ATTACH PHOTOS</u> Y A SITE VISIT.
 A. Habitat Conversion & Altered Fire Regime Frequent stand per Altered Hydrologic F pumping, dam opera Commercial & Indust landfills) Farms & Plantations Housing & Urban De homes, shopping are Military Activities Activ	Degradation – Complete or -suppression or increase in repetuating surface fires ha Regime Changing water flow trial Development factories, Agricultural operations - con evelopment Expansion of citie eas, offices, schools, hospita- tions by formal or paramilitar ifications Actions that conver clamation, wetland filling, rip- eccreation sites with a substar	substantial loss of or damag fire frequency and/or intensity ve been suppressed over ti patterns outside their natural , stand-alone shopping center mmercial farms, industrial plan es, towns, settlements, non-he ls y forces (military bases, defoi rt or degrade habitat to "mana -rap along shoreline, levees a natial footprint ski areas, golf c	ge to natural habitats. y outside of its natural range of he last 80 years (1920). range of variation (surface wa rs, office parks, train yards, de ntations, feed lots, aquacultur ousing development - urban a liation, munitions testing : aging" natural systems for hur and dikes courses, resorts, county parks	of variation: ater diversion, groundwater ocks, ship yards, airports, re areas, suburbs, villages, man welfare - dam

-

Gwinn Forest Management Unit - ERA Re-surveyed by MNFI August 7, 2007 B. Transportation Infrastructure - Long narrow corridors altering, fragmenting, and disturbing natural habitat and species, including soil erosion/sedimentation, and providing routes for invasive or problematic species. Flight Paths : Railroads: Roads and Trails: DNR Camp Ten Road bisects the ERA Shipping Lanes : ☑ Trails:. Existing snowmobile trail bisects through the ERA East/ West may increase access to ERA from ATVs. Utility Lines. Stream Crossings - culverts, bridges : Other: C. Energy & Mining - Production of non-biological resources having negative impacts to conservation values. □ Mining – Exploring, developing, and producing. □ Oil & Gas Drilling Renewable Energy – Exploring, developing, and producing. D. Biological Resource Harvesting -Over or under consumption of "wild" resources resulting in loss of conservation values. Gathering – Harvesting plants, fungi, and other non-timber/non-animal products for commercial, recreation, or subsistence purposes. Grazing Hunting, Trapping & Fishing Timber Harvesting: Recreation & Research - Non-consumptive uses of biological resources resulting in damage to natural resources. E. Human-Powered Recreation - mountain bikes, hikers, backpackers, cross-country skiers, rock climbers, canoeists, kayakers, hanggliders, birdwatchers, photographers Motor-Powered Recreation - Traveling outside of established transport corridors: off-road vehicles, motorcycles, motorboats, jet-skis, snowmobiles, ultra-light planes, Potential increased ATV use during snow free months due to access provide by snowmobile trail and opening up of understory in dry-mesic northern forest ... Scientific Research – *Ecosystem manipulations* F. Pollution - Introduction of exotic and/or excess materials from point and non-point sources with evidence of resource damage. Chemicals & Toxins Greenhouse Gasses - CO2, methane Light Pollution □ Noise Pollution □ Nutrient Loads □ Radioactive Materials Salt/Brine Solid Waste – garbage, litter □ Thermal Pollution Usaste & Residual Materials – dredge spoil, water treatment residuals, slash, mine tailings, excess sediment loads.

Gwinn Forest Management Unit - ERA Re-surveyed by MNFI August 7, 2007

G. Invasive & Other Problematic Species & Genes - Aquatic or terrestrial non-native and native species or genetic materials that have or are predicted to have harmful effects on biodiversity following their introduction, spread and/or increase in abundance.

List species, extent of infestation and fill out Forest Health Form.

Introduced Genetic Material □ Invasive Species :

Problematic Native Species :

Hybrid Species

H. Climate Change – Evidence of impacts from long-term changes linked to global warming and other climate issues.

Climate Variability – Intensification and/or alteration of normal weather patterns - droughts, high wind or rain event.

□ Habitat Shifting & Alteration

Other

SECTION 4: RECOM	MEN	IDED M	ANAGEMENT GO	ALSA	ND ACTIVITIES

LIST GOAL(S), FOR EACH VALUE, RELATED THREAT ABATEMENT, MAINTENANCE OR ENHANCEMENT NEED IDENTIFIED IN SECTIONS 2

and 3

CHECK ALL GOAL CATEGORIES THAT APPLY

NATURAL COMMUNITY MAINTENANCE OR ENHANCEMENT GOALS

- ECOLOGICAL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE OR ENHANCEMENT GOALS
- SPECIES MAINTENANCE OR ENHANCEMENT GOALS
- □ SPECIES RESTORATION GOALS

SOCIAL ECONOMIC GOALS

□ INFRASTRUCTURE/FACILITIES GOALS

Administrative Goals- Protection Status; Capacity Building; Funding, Volunteers

GOAL # AND DESCRIPTION FROM SECTIONS 2 AND 3

Goal 1: Maintain and restore high quality dry-mesic northern forest

Objective 1: Restore natural pine regeneration using prescribed fire and timber harvest when appropriate.

Currently the balsam fir and white spruce understory has reached a size and density too large (they have become ladder fuels) for fire alone to meet the ecological objective.

- Task 1: In Stand 2, Compartment 56 the balsam and spruce has been removed. The objective will be met by waiting for the existing seed bank to germinate, followed by low intensity prescribed fire to reduce spruce and fir and provide a seed bed for pine.
- Task 2. In stand 8, Compartment 55, wait for next entry period 2019 for balsam and spruce to reach merchantable quality, harvest the balsam fir and spruce and follow up with prescribed fire. Objective2: Evaluate opportunities to expand management for dry-mesic northern forest into adjacent stands.

Task 1: In 2009 YOE this is being accomplished in Stands 9, 12, and 74 and coded into the SCA.

Objective3 : Restrict ORV traffic to existing roads.

Task 1: Block appropriate roads

Task 2: Establish a gate on the snowmobile trail east of the ERA in the most effective location.

Task 3: Enforce land use rules.

Task 4: Put interpretive signs along Camp Ten Road.